



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_50614
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Commercial Organic Fertilizers on Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) Tuber Production in Sandy Loam Soil
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Organic fertilizer rate as mention in line 80-83 is not the same as in line 86-87. Confusing. Line 95-99: the unit "kg⁻¹" is confusing. Why the calculation does not based on 1 hectar? RESULT and DISCUSSION: 3.1 Morphological parameters ... the conten explaining agronomic parameter rather than morphology. So, 3.1 Agrnomic parameters</p> <p>Line 376: table 3: there is interaction fo tuber weight and yield. so that, presenting effect of individual factor is useless. It will be better if presenting in graph Line 395: table 4: the comment the same as in line 376 above. It will be better if presenting in graph</p> <p>Line 422: fig 2: do you mean single tuber weight is the same as tuber weight per plant? If it's come from destructive sample, bar chart is more fix than line chart</p> <p>1. CONCLUSION: there is significant interaction in some parameters, and therefore the conclusion must be stated for each variety</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: paragraph is too long. It wil be better to divide into some paragraphs, in order to make easy to understand Line 67: potassium 0.15 meq100g the unit must be cmol kg⁻¹ (international standart unit) Line 80-82: The treatments were: Factor A: Varieties should be come after sentence in line 77 Line 88-90: how much the content (%) in each fertilizer used ... for exp: TSP (...% P2O5) and etc Line 118: 2.7 Parameters measured "Observation" is better</p>	
Optional/General comments	Correlation analysis could be constructed to understand relationship between parameters	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullah Taufiq
Department, University & Country	Indonesian Legumes and Tuber Crop Research Institute, Indonesia