

Editor's Comment:

Editor's Decision: Accepted after the recommended revisions.

Specific comments:

Title:

1. Now a good one.

Abstract:

1. FGD was incorrectly presented as FDG.

Introduction:

1. Improved.

Methods and Methodology

1. Good.

Results and Discussion

1. Results and Discussion is not improved as recommended in previous Editor's Comments;
2. The word 'conflict' is used throughout the paper. Is there only one conflict between Pastoralists and Wildlife Conservation Authority? What is that? If more conflicts then please use the word 'conflicts';
3. Subhead numberings and subhead titles are burdensome. Not improved as recommended;
4. Somewhere, sentences does not make any sense;
5. There is no need to describe elaborately the direct causes, indirect causes and such others. Representation of only the findings are enough for results portion. Excessive discussions degrade the quality of the paper. Elaborative discussion is good for Thesis, but not for Article. Just present the findings of the study along with a gist, very simple one or two paragraphs of discussion;
6. Please remove the numberings and rearrange Results and Discussion in a simple, concise result oriented write up not discussion oriented write up.

References

1. The last part of this portion is not arrange properly.

Editor's Details:

Dr. G. N. Tanjina Hasnat
Lecturer, Department of Land Administration, Faculty of Land Management and Administration,
Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh