

**Health Risk Implication among Solid Waste
Workers in Obio Akpor Local Government Area
of Rivers State.**

ABSTRACT

The aim of the study was to examine the Health Risk implication among solid waste workers in Obio Akpor LGA of Rivers state. The study was carried out at the Rivers State Waste Management Agency in Obio-Akpor Local government area of Rivers State between January 2019-March 2019. The survey method was employed and the simple random technique was adopted whilst 265 copies of the questionnaire were used to elicit information from the number of employee. The result finding showed that the major health implication that was identified was Typhoid, malaria, Diarrhea and other form of disease, this was as a result that respondents had a pre requisite knowledge of the effect of poor waste management to human health Furthermore it was revealed from the findings that the major source of solid waste is from the residential area and plastics are a major composition of the solid waste. It was discovered that majority of the individuals in Obio akpor local government area prefer to dump their waste at authorized dump site and this is normally done within 1-5days and this is done daily. it was concluded that solid waste workers should be provided with vaccination programs on typhoid and malaria , pre-employment and periodic health surveillance in order to detect early signs of disease and monitor their ability to work

Keywords: Health and solid waste

1. INTRODUCTION

Solid waste comprises of different type of discarded goods mainly left-over food, textile, glass, paper, metals and other spoiled goods [1].The process of generation, storage, collection, transportation and final disposal of waste are important process which most times involves the use of human labour in many developing country including Nigeria [2].

It is also of importance to note that waste management contributes tremendously in upholding public health by reducing the risk of diseases, however the job exposes those who are involved and are known as solid waste workers to high risk of fatal and non-fatal occupation accidents [3] .

28 However, in the early days, the population of humans were small and there
29 were relatively no adverse health effects of waste considering the large land
30 mass. People migrated from one location to another, so there was tendency
31 to relocate from previous waste dump site to new environment. Thus, waste
32 was disposed of without the fear of its consequences to the environment and
33 of any serious health risk to people [5].

34

35 As man increased on the surface of the earth, as well as the onset of
36 civilization, the quality and quantity of waste production also changed and
37 increased. The advent of industrialization has altered the nature and quantity
38 of waste generated on a higher level. The increasing complicated arena of
39 waste handling harbours significant potential for human health and safety
40 risks. [6] Contend that workers not properly and adequately managed may
41 cause some health and environmental risk which may result in sickness,
42 impaired health and well-being or significant discomfort among people [9].

43

44

45 Despite the significance of this job done by the waste workers, they are
46 exposed to several kinds of hazards in the cause of discharging their duties.
47 Major hazards faced by solid waste workers can be chemical, biological,
48 agronomic, physiological hazard.

49

50 Amongst the injuries experienced by these solid waste workers are
51 accidental injuries such perforation wounds, laceration, burns, dog and rat
52 bites which are deep cuts caused by scrap metals, jagged edges of cans and
53 bins, glass cutters or nails in waste bag and when they drop heavy
54 containers on their feet or legs [7]

55

56 In developing countries example Nigeria , waste segregation is rarely
57 practised, that is why traces of medical waste and poisonous industrial
58 wastes are mixed with the domestic waste stream [8].Furthermore nothing
59 has really be done about the health and safety of these solid waste workers.
60 The aim of solid waste workers is to remove garbage to safeguard public
61 health and welfare as well as prevent environmental pollution.

62

63 It is against this background that the aim of this research was to examine the
64 Health Risk Implication among solid waste workers in Obio Akpor Local
65 government area of Rivers State.

66

67 **2. METHODOLOGY**

68

69 The population of the study consisted of staff of the Rivers state waste
70 management agency (RIWAMA). For the purpose of the study the simple
71 random sampling technique was adopted . This technique helped in giving a
72 number to each subject or individual from the open populace putting the
73 numbers in a compartment and picking them randomly. It gave every unit of
74 the population an equal and known chance of being chosen in the sample
75 and it has to do with a definite number of population. Furthermore sampled
76 respondents were given structured questionnaires.

77 The questionnaires were self-administered randomly to selected sample
78 respondents of RIWAMA. The data retrieved from the questionnaire was put
79 together using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS). For the
80 purpose of a clear and detailed representation of data, the uses of tables
81 were employed in order to present the gathered data for the research study.
82 Descriptive analysis was used which consists of the Mean, Median mode of
83 analyzing

84

85

86 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

87

88 **3.1: Demographic Characteristics of Sampled Population**

89

90 **Table 1: Distribution of Solid waste handlers at RIWAMA according to**
91 **their job task**

Job Task	Number of employees	
	(N=265)	(%)
Street sweepers	79	30
Waste Pickers	73	28
Refuse Collector	67	25
Truck Drivers	46	17
Total	265	100

92 **Source: [8]**

93 Table 1 above shows the distribution of Solid waste handlers at RIWAMA
94 according to their job task. It reveals that majority of the respondents under
95 survey 30% (79) were street sweepers, 28% (73) were waste pickers, 25%
96 (67) were refuse collector and the least 17% (46) are truck drivers. The

97 implication of this result is that majority of the respondents have an overview
98 of the issue discussed based on their experience in the handling of solid
99 waste in course of their job description

100 **3.2 Sources and composition of solid waste in Obio Akpor LGA**

101 To examine the source and composition of solid waste in Obio Akpor LGA
102 two category of questions were asked, they included what are the source of
103 Solid waste and what are the type of Solid waste

104

105 **Sources of Solid Waste**

106 **Table 2 Sources and Types of Solid Waste (n=265)**

107 • **Multiple Responses**

*Items	Freq.	%
Residential	178	67
Industrial	87	33
Institutional	78	29
Commercial	67	25
Others	65	25

108 Source: [8]

109 Table 2 above shows the sources of solid waste as indicated by the
110 respondents. Data Analysis based on multiple response revealed that
111 majority of the respondents had their opinion that the major source of solid
112 waste is from residential buildings, 33% (87) respondents had opinion that
113 the source was from industrial, 29%(78) had opinion that the source was
114 from institutional while 25% (67) and 25% (65) respondents had opinion that
115 the major source of solid waste was from commercial and other sources not
116 mentioned respectively.

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129 **Types of Solid Waste**

130 **Table 3: Types of Solid Waste (n=265)**

Item	Freq.	%
Plastic	187	71
Metal	95	36
Food Waste	87	33
Sanitary	77	29
Glass	76	29
Hazardous Waste	66	25
Paper	45	17
Ashes	33	12
Animal Waste	24	9
Debris	22	9

131 **Source:[8]**

- 132 • Multiple Response

133 On the type of solid waste, data analysis as seen in table 3 revealed that
134 36% (95) of the respondents indication that metal was part of the
135 composition of the solid waste they handle, 33% (87) respondents indicated
136 food waste, 29% (77) indicated sanitary waste, 25% (66) respondents
137 indicated hazardous waste , 12% (33) respondents indicated ashes, 17%
138 (45) indicated paper 29% (76) respondents indicated glasses and majority
139 71% (187) indicated plastic as major composition of solid waste.

140

141 **3.3 Waste Disposal Method in Obio Akpor LGA**

142

143 To identify the waste disposal method three categories of questions were
144 asked, they included the waste disposal method, how long it takes to dispose
145 waste and how often do they dispose waste.

146

147 **Waste Disposal Method**

148 **Table 4: Waste disposal Method**

Item	Freq.	%
Authorized dump site	164	62
Unauthorized empty plot	34	13
Personal Bin	29	11
RIWAMA	23	9
Burning	15	6

Total **265** **100**

149 Source: [8]

150

151 Table 4 shows the waste disposal method adopted by residents. Data
152 analysis reveals that majority of the respondents 62% (164) indicated that
153 residents use authorized dump site to dispose their waste, 13% (34)
154 respondents indicated that residents use unauthorised empty plot so as to
155 dispose their waste, 11% (29) of the respondent indicated that most
156 residents use their personal bin , 6% (15) of the respondents indicated that
157 most residents prefer burning and 9% (25) use RIWAMA as a source of
158 dumping their waste

159

160

161 **How long does it takes to dispose waste**

162 **Table 5: Duration of Waste Disposal**

Items	Freq.	%
1-5 days	198	75
6-10 days	23	9
11-15 days	44	16
>15 days	-	-
Total	265	100

163 Source: [8]

164

165 On how long it takes residents to dispose their waste, majority of the
166 respondents said most residents normally dispose their waste within 1-5 day,
167 16% (44) of the respondents indicated that most residents dispose their
168 waste within 11-15days while 9% (23) of the respondents dispose their waste
169 within 6-10days

170

171 **Frequency of Waste Disposal**

172 **Table 6: Frequency of Waste Disposal**

Items	Freq.	%
Once a week	103	38
Daily	95	36
Twice a week	67	25
Total	265	100

173 Source: [8]

174

175 On how often they do dispose their waste, majority of the respondents
 176 indicated that majority 38% (103) indicated that residents dispose their
 177 waste once a week, 36%(95) respondents indicated that residents dispose
 178 their waste daily and 25% (67) indicated that residents dispose their waste
 179 twice a week

180

181 **3.4 Major health risk affecting solid waste solid waste workers in Obio** 182 **Akpor LGA**

183 To identify the major health risk affecting solid waste workers in Obio Akpor
 184 LGA two categories of questions were asked, they included if poor waste
 185 disposal are harmful to human health and its health implication.

186

187 **If Poor Waste disposal are harmful to Human Health**

188 Data analysis as seen in Table 7 reveals that all the respondents were
 189 conscious of the fact poor waste disposal is harmful to human health.

190 **Table 7 Harmful consequences of poor waste disposal to human Health**

Item	Freq.	%
Yes	265	100
No	-	-
I don't know	-	-
Total	265	100

191 Source: [8]

- 192 • Multiple Response

193

194

195 **Health Implication**

196 **Table 8: Health Implication**

Item	Freq.	%
Typhoid	178	67
Others	178	67
Malaria	143	54
Diarrhoea	109	41
Painful joint	67	25
Acute Back pain	56	21
Possible Liver and Kidney	23	9

197 Source: [8]

- 198 • Multiple Response

199 Table 8 shows respondents opinion on the health implication of poor waste
200 disposal, 67% (178) of respondents indicated that when waste are not
201 properly handled it could make them vulnerable to typhoid, 54% (143)
202 respondents had opinion that they could be vulnerable malaria, 41% (109)
203 respondents had opinion that they could be vulnerable to Diarrhoea, 25 %
204 (67) respondents had opinion they could be expose to experiencing painful
205 joints, 21% (56) respondents had opinion that they could be exposed to
206 experiencing acute back pain, 9% (23) had opinion that they could
207 experience a possible liver and kidney damage and 67% (178) respondents
208 had opinion that they could experience other symptoms not mentioned
209

210 **4. CONCLUSION**

211 This study assessed the Health implication among solid waste workers so as
212 to draw up a conclusion on the Health Risk Implication among solid waste
213 workers in Obio Akpor Local government area of Rivers State. On the waste
214 disposal method data analysis revealed by respondents that majority of the
215 individuals in Obio akpor local government area prefer to dump their waste
216 at authorized dump site, also it was discovered that it takes 1-5days for
217 majority of the individuals to dispose their waste and this occurs daily while
218 on the major health risk affecting solid waste workers in Obio Akpor Local
219 government area it was concluded that majority of the respondents
220 understudy had a pre requisite knowledge on the effect of poor waste
221 disposal to human health likewise the health implication of such action as
222 majority indicated that they will be prone to typhoid and other forms of
223 diseases. Finally it was concluded that solid waste workers should be
224 provided with vaccination programs on typhoid and malaria,, pre-employment
225 and periodic health surveillance in order to detect early signs of disease and
226 monitor their ability to work

227 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

228
229 The Authors would like to acknowledge the comments and suggestions from
230 Sokorie Andra from the Department of Geography and Environmental
231 Management, University of Port Harcourt. Choba , Rivers state
232

233 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

234

235 Authors have declared that no competing interest exist

236

237 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

238

239 Approval for this study was obtained from the Department of geography and
240 Environmental Management, University of Port Harcourt Choba. Also, verbal
241 informed consent was obtained from each respondent. All the participants
242 were informed that the study is voluntary and that they could opt out of the
243 study at any time. Also participants were assured that confidentiality would
244 be maintained during and after data collection and that information given will
245 be used for research purposes only. And lastly articles and authors used
246 were sighted accordingly in this research

247

248

249 **REFERENCES**

250

251

252

253

254

255 1. Alli B.O (2008), Fundamental Principles of Occupational Health and Safety. Geneva:
256 International Labour Organization.

257 2. An H, Englehard J, Fleming L and Bean. J (1999). Occupational health and safety
258 amongst municipal solid waste workers in Florida. Waste Manag Res, 17(5):369–
259 377.

260 3. Bastan. M, Cerlik. N and Schubertt. C, 2014.Assessment of Occupational and
261 Environmental Health and Safety Risks in Solid Waste Systems. Quarterly Progress
262 Report III January 31st, 2014 – May 1st, 2014University of Miami Coral
263 Gables, FL, USA. Accessed 24 August 2017

264 4. Cooney A.T(2019) Field work in Obio Akpor local government area of Rivers State

265 5. Kuijer P.P and Frings-Dresen MH(2010), Health and Safety in waste collection:
266 Towards Evidence Based workers health surveillance American Journal of Industrial
267 Medicine

268 6. Melanie S. M, (2004). ILO Organizing in the Informal Economy: A Case Study of
269 the Municipal Waste Management Industry in South Africa. Geneva, International
270 Labour Office.

271 7. Merson MH, Black R and Mills AJ (2001). International Public Health: Diseases,
272 Programs, Systems and Policies. Gaithersburg, Maryland: Aspen Publishers;
273 2001.

274 8. Olorunnishola OA, Taylor AK, Byrd L (2010). Occupational injuries and illnesses in
275 solid waste industry: a call for action. Journal of Morgan State University School
276 of Community Health and Policy.20 (2):211–23.

277 9. Saungweme., M (2012) An integrated waste management approach as a solid
278 waste managment strategy for Mbare TRownship., Zimbabwe

279
280
281
282
283

10. Taderera, H. (2012). Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems: institutional and regulatory frameworks in Zimbabwe. International Journal of Human Resource Studies Vol. 2, No. 4.

284

UNDER PEER REVIEW