



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_46582
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOALATION OF MULTIDRUG RESISTANT BACTERIAL PATHOGENS FROM HUMAN HAIR
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Analyzing and counting bacteria from human hair might be interesting, but taking single samples from 10 different barber shops for cultivation and calculating percentages from the result only yields misleading results. The bacteria cultivated from the samples, probably picked up from the floor of the shop, might be contaminants from the barbershop itself, because the hygienic conditions of different shops might be different. Clients attending the Mega-Posh Barber Shop, or Ebony's Barber Shop, might be serviced at a much higher hygienic level than at open air shop at the market and even the hygienic condition of the client's hair could be totally different depending on the social and financial background of the clients, and even male-female hairs might yield different results. To conclude anything from a survey like this, the authors must provide an appropriate description of the sampling background and to increase the number of samples above one hundred or more.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabor Ternak
Department, University & Country	University of Pecs, Hungary