



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_49255
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Landform on Agricultural Land Use Pattern: A Case Study of Salda River Basin in Purulia District, West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article failed to articulate the purpose and define the problem properly in the Introduction.</p> <p>The manuscript failed to explore the connection between soil crop yield.</p> <p>There was an inability to address the different tenets of proper agricultural land usage.</p> <p>There was no real analysis of the data and any analysis done was superficial.</p> <p>The conclusion is vague, wordy and did not seem to answer properly the research questions.</p> <p>The articles and documents cited were not current and seemed to be of low quality There were no comparisons throughout the discussion with scholarly studies of similar agricultural systems in the field.</p> <p>The article was jumbled, padded with non-essentials that contributed nothing towards the strength of argument and building a case.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The formatting of the document was very inconsistent and contained numerous errors and inconsistencies, lack of proper notation. These errors a formatting, grammar and punctuation were too numerous, and often repetitive throughout the document.</p> <p>In the following manuscript there is too much wordiness with little solid content.</p> <p>The article merely re-iterates, summarizes and describes existing information.</p> <p>The manuscript was presented in a textbook -like layout without making connections.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript was too ambitious and failed to narrowly articulate solid conclusions based on information and data presented in any significant way.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riad Hosein
Department, University & Country	University of the West Indies , Trinidad