



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_46132
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Agronomic and Economic Performance of Hot Pepper (<i>Capsicum annum</i> L.) in Response to Blended Fertilizer Supply at Asossa, Western Ethiopia</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>All text:</b> I added the line numbers to make possible the correction.</p> <p><b>Line 16:</b> You used initials and there is no mean to them through in the abstract</p> <p><b>Line 23:</b> I think there is a mistake in the term "framers". Is it wouldn't farmers? It is repeated in the conclusions.</p> <p><b>Line 37-61:</b> your second paragraph has 24 lines. It is too large. Rewrite and divide the paragraph.</p> <p><b>Line 63-66:</b> Partial budget analysis is a economic analysis and you explain about it in the after statement. Remove it of the first phrase and write about it through in the paragraph, like you did.</p> <p><b>Line 63-77:</b> Rewrite and divide in two paragraphs. The paragraphs need to be grouped in special. In general you introduction has 3 paragraphs too large. This need to be reorganized.</p> <p><b>Figure 1 and 2:</b> I think that figure 1 and 2 is not necessary. Be sure these figure really are indispensable to the manuscript. Figure 2 shows the distribution of rainfall but, throughout the text, the authors did not describe the moment when the experiment was carried out in the year. As the rainfall distribution has already been spoken in the text try to describe only what rainfall during the experiment</p> <p><b>Table 1a and 1:</b> when the authors write "1a and 1" is like if table 1 was part of table 1a. (Table 1a=table 1) and (table 1= table 2).</p> <p><b>Table 1a:</b> The experimental plot size is the same to all treatments and the soil is the same too. In table 1a, the authors adjusted the N to compensate for the shortfall of N in blended fertilizer but as the doses of blended fertilizers were increased the complement of N increased. With the increase of the doses the complement of N should reduce since the soil fertility is the same and the amount of N increases with the increase of the dose of the blended fertilizer. Is it correct? Increasing the dose is necessary to increase the complement of N?</p> <p><b>Line 210:</b> Standardize, your control should be called control in all text</p> <p><b>Line 207-220:</b> Authors did not find relationship between pods size and seeds per pod, but your discussion is based on this relation.</p> <p><b>Line 222:</b> I think you mean "...was statically non- significant"</p> <p><b>Line 344:</b> the value 52.08 there is no in the table. Is it 5208% MRR? Observe if there is some mistake or forgetting</p> <p><b>Line 357:</b> Just "conclusion". The authors must to do the recommendations through the conclusions.</p> <p><b>Line 368:</b> Framers or farmers???</p> <p><b>Line 59:</b> citation there is no in the references. Include in the references or remove of the text.</p> <p><b>Line 191:</b> citation there is no in the references. Include in the references or remove of the text.</p> <p><b>Line 218:</b> Is the name Marcelis or Mercelis?? correct. Some names are different in reference in relation to text. There is no how to know if they are the same citation or which is right. Reread all manuscript and correct.</p> <p><b>Line 226:</b> there is no in the references. insert.</p> <p><b>Line 241:</b> citation there is no in the references. Include in the references or remove of the text.</p> <p><b>Line 248:</b> citation there is no in the references. Include in the references or remove of the text.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Hidelblandi Farias de Melo</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Brazil</i></b>