



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_46177
Title of the Manuscript:	Geographic Information System (GIS) Based Approach To Vulnerability And Adaptation Strategies By Rural Farmers To The Impact Of Flooding In Rivers State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. a. The findings revealed that women are the most vulnerable. What supporting theory or literature affirms or rebuts the findings. This is crucial to make the discussions scholarly and academic.</p> <p>1. b. Inasmuch as the findings are rich, the discussions are NOT academically stimulating because the findings have not been linked in any way to previous findings or theories in flooding vulnerability and its impacts as well as flooding adaptation strategies in Nigeria, Africa or other countries. This weakens the richness of the discussions and must be addressed.</p> <p>2. The recommendations are interesting but may not be feasible because they fail to TASK particular agencies, institutions or actors to ensure their implementation. This needs redress.</p> <p>3. Add more references to the study. Only TEN sources have been cited in such a broad scoped research area. This would deepen the interpretations of the findings and ground them in the field of research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Add the objectives and hypothesis to the introductory section.</p> <p>The map is not clear. Show a clearer map of the study area.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is good in theme and context but lacks proper discussions of findings. It merits publication after the suggestions have been effected.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dickson Adom
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University Of Science And Technology, Ghana