

2

3 **Health Risk Implication among Solid Waste**

4 **Workers in Obio Akpor LGA of Rivers State.**

5

6

7

8

9

10 **ABSTRACT**

11

Aims: To examine the Health Risk implication among solid waste workers in Obio Akpor LGA of Rivers state

Study design: Descriptive design

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out at the Rivers State Waste Management Agency in Obio-Akpor Local government area of Rivers State between January 2019-March 2019

Methodology: The survey method was employed whilst 265 copies of questionnaire were used to elicit information from the number of employee..

Results: The findings showed that the major source of solid waste is from the residential area and plastics is one of the major composition of solid waste furthermore it was discovered that majority of the individuals in Obio akpor local government area prefer to dump their waste at authorized dump site and this is normally done within 1-5days and this is done daily. It was also discovered that majority of the respondents had a pre requisite knowledge on the effect of poor waste disposal to human health likewise the health implication of such action as they indicated that they are prone to typhoid and other forms of diseases.

Conclusion: The Government of Rivers State should adopt a Waste Policy which entails occupational safety, health and environmental management issue

12

13 *Keywords: Health and solid waste*

14

15

16 **1. INTRODUCTION**

17 Solid waste comprises of different type of discarded goods mainly left-over

18 food, textile, glass, paper, metals and other spoiled goods [1].The process of

19 generation, storage, collection, transportation and final disposal of waste are

20 important process which most times involves the use of human labour in

21 many developing country including Nigeria [2].

22 It is also of importance to note that waste management contributes

23 tremendously in upholding public health by reducing the risk of diseases,

24 however the job exposes those who are involved and are known as solid
25 waste workers to high risk of fatal and non-fatal occupation accidents [3] .

26

27 However, in the early days, the population of humans were small and there
28 were relatively no adverse health effects of waste considering the large land
29 mass. People migrated from one location to another, so there was tendency
30 to relocate from previous waste dump site to new environment. Thus, waste
31 was disposed of without the fear of its consequences to the environment and
32 of any serious health risk to people [5].

33

34 As man increased on the surface of the earth, as well as the onset of
35 civilization, the quality and quantity of waste production also changed and
36 increased. The advent of industrialization has altered the nature and quantity
37 of waste generated on a higher level. The increasing complicated arena of
38 waste handling harbours significant potential for human health and safety
39 risks. [6] Contend that workers not properly and adequately managed may
40 cause some health and environmental risk which may result in sickness,
41 impaired health and well-being or significant discomfort among people. The
42 aim of solid waste workers is to remove garbage to safeguard public health
43 and welfare as well as prevent environmental pollution.

44

45 Despite the significance of this job done by the waste workers, they are
46 exposed to several kinds of hazards in the cause of discharging their duties.
47 Major hazards faced by solid waste workers can be chemical, biological,
48 agronomic, physiological hazard.

49

50 Amongst the injuries experienced by these solid waste workers are
51 accidental injuries such perforation wounds, laceration, burns, dog and rat
52 bites which are deep cuts caused by scrap metals, jagged edges of cans and
53 bins, glass cutters or nails in waste bag and when they drop heavy
54 containers on their feet or legs [7]

55

56 In developing countries example Nigeria , waste segregation is rarely
57 practised, that is why traces of medical waste and poisonous industrial
58 wastes are mixed with the domestic waste stream [8].Furthermore nothing
59 has really be done about the health and safety of these solid waste workers.

60

61 It is against this background that the aim of this research was to examine the
62 Health Risk Implication among solid waste workers in Obio Akpor LGA of

63 Rivers State . The specific objectives of the study were to: identify the source
64 and composition of solid waste in Obio Akpor Local Government area of
65 Rivers State, Identify the waste disposal method in Obio Akpor local
66 government area and to identify the Waste disposal method in Obio Akpor
67 Local Government area of Rivers State.

68

69 **2. METHODOLOGY**

70

71 The population of the study will consist of the staff of Rivers state waste
72 management agency (RIWAMA). For the purpose of the study the sampling
73 technique adopted was the simple random sampling technique. This
74 technique helped in giving a number to each subject or individual from the
75 open populace putting the numbers in a compartment and picking them
76 randomly. It gives every unit of the population an equal and known chance of
77 being chosen in the sample and it has to do with a definite number of
78 population. Furthermore sampled respondents were given structured
79 questionnaires.

80 The questionnaires were self-administered randomly to selected sample
81 respondents of RIWAMA. The data retrieved from the questionnaire was put
82 together using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS). For the
83 purpose of a clear and detailed representation of data, the uses of tables
84 were employed in order to present the gathered data for the research study.
85 Descriptive analysis was used which consists of the Mean, Median mode of
86 analyzing

87

88

89 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

90

91 **3.1: Demographic Characteristics of Sampled Population**

92

93 **Table 1: Distribution of Solid waste handlers at RIWAMA according to**
94 **their job task**

Job Task	Number of employees	
	(N=265)	(%)
Truck Drivers	46	17
Refuse Collector	67	25
Street sweepers	79	30
Waste Pickers	73	28

Total	265	100
-------	-----	-----

95 Source: [8]

96 Table 1 above shows the distribution of Solid waste handlers at RIWAMA
 97 according to their job task. It reveals that majority of the respondents under
 98 survey 30% (70) were street sweepers, 28 (73%) were waste pickers, 25%
 99 (67) were refuse collector and the least 17% (46) are truck drivers. The
 100 implication of this result is that majority of the respondents have an overview
 101 of the issue discussed based on their experience in the handling of solid
 102 waste in course of their job description

103 **3.2 Sources and composition of solid waste in Obio Akpor LGA**

104 To examine the source and composition of solid waste in Obio Akpor LGA
 105 two category of questions were asked, they included what are the source of
 106 Solid waste and what are the type of Solid waste

107

108 **Sources of Solid Waste**

109 **Table 2 Sources and Types of Solid Waste (n=265)**

Items	Freq.	%
Residential	178	67
Commercial	67	25
Industrial	87	33
Institutional	78	29
Others	65	25

110 Source: [8]

- 111 • Multiple Response

112 Table 2 above shows the sources of solid waste as indicated by the
 113 respondents. Data Analysis based on multiple response revealed that
 114 majority of the respondents had their opinion that the major source of solid
 115 waste is from residential buildings, 33% (87) respondents had opinion that
 116 the source was from industrial, 29%(78) had opinion that the source was
 117 from institutional while 25% (67) and 25% (65) respondents had opinion that
 118 the major source of solid waste was from commercial and other sources not
 119 mentioned respectively.

120

121 **Types of Solid Waste**

122 **Table 3: Types of Solid Waste (n=265)**

Item	Freq.	%
Paper	45	17

Plastic	187	71
Glass	76	29
Metal	95	36
Sanitary	77	29
Food Waste	87	33
Debris	22	8
Hazardous Waste	66	25
Animal Waste	24	9
Ashes	33	12

123 Source:[8]

- 124 • Multiple Response

125 On the type of solid waste, data analysis as seen in table 3 revealed that
 126 36% (95) of the respondents indication that metal was part of the
 127 composition of the solid waste they handle, 33% (87) respondents indicated
 128 food waste, 29% (77) indicated sanitary waste, 25% (66) respondents
 129 indicated hazardous waste , 12% (33) respondents indicated ashes, 17%
 130 (45) indicated paper 29% (76) respondents indicated glasses and majority
 131 71% (187) indicated plastic as major composition of solid waste.

132

133 3.3 Waste Disposal Method in Obio Akpor LGA

134

135 To identify the waste disposal method three categories of questions were
 136 asked, they included the waste disposal method, how long it takes to dispose
 137 waste and how often do they dispose waste.

138

139 Waste Disposal Method

140 **Table 4: Waste disposal Method**

Item	Freq.	%
RIWAMA	23	9
Authorized dump site	164	62
Unauthorized empty plot	34	13
Burning	15	6
Personal Bin	29	11
Total	265	100

141 Source: [8]

142

143 Table 4 shows the waste disposal method adopted by residents. Data
 144 analysis reveals that majority of the respondents 62% (164) indicated that
 145 residents use authorized dump site to dispose their waste, 13% (34)

146 respondents indicated that residents use unauthorised empty plot so as to
 147 dispose their waste, 11% (29) of the respondent indicated that most
 148 residents use their personal bin , 6% (15) of the respondents indicated that
 149 most residents prefer burning and 9% (25) use RIWAMA as a source of
 150 dumping their waste

151

152

153 **How long does it takes to dispose waste**

154 **Table 5: Duration of Waste Disposal**

Items	Freq.	%
1-5 days	198	75
6-10 days	23	9
11-15 days	44	16
>15 days	-	-
Total	265	100

155 Source: [8]

156

157 On how long it takes residents to dispose their waste, majority of the
 158 respondents said most residents normally dispose their waste within 1-5 day,
 159 16% (44) of the respondents indicated that most residents dispose their
 160 waste within 11-15days while 9% (23) of the respondents dispose their waste
 161 within 6-10days

162

163 **Frequency of Waste Disposal**

164 **Table 6: Frequency of Waste Disposal**

Items	Freq.	%
Daily	95	36
Once a week	103	38
Twice a week	67	25
Total	265	100

165 Source: [8]

166

167 On how often they do dispose their waste, majority of the respondents
 168 indicated that majority 38% (103) indicated that residents dispose their
 169 waste once a week, 36%(95) respondents indicated that residents dispose
 170 their waste daily and 25% (67) indicated that residents dispose their waste
 171 twice a week

172

173 **3.4 Major health risk affecting solid waste solid waste workers in Obio**
174 **Akpor LGA**

175 To identify the major health risk affecting solid waste workers in Obio Akpor
176 LGA two categories of questions were asked, they included if poor waste
177 disposal are harmful to human health and its health implication.

178

179 **If Poor Waste disposal are harmful to Human Health**

180 Data analysis as seen in Table 7 reveals that all the respondents were
181 conscious of the fact poor waste disposal is harmful to human health.

182 **Table 7 Harmful consequences of poor waste disposal to human Health**

Item	Freq.	%
Yes	265	100
No	-	-
I don't know	-	-
Total	265	100

183 Source: [8]

- 184 • Multiple Response

185

186

187 **Health Implication**

188 **Table 8: Health Implication**

Item	Freq.	%
Malaria	143	54
Diarrhoea	109	41
Typhoid	178	67
Acute Back pain	56	21
Painful joint	67	25
Possible Liver and Kidney damage	23	9
Others	178	67

189 Source: [8]

- 190 • Multiple Response

191 Table 8 shows respondents opinion on the health implication of poor waste
192 disposal, 67% (178) of respondents indicated that when waste are not
193 properly handled it could make them vulnerable to typhoid, 54% (143)
194 respondents had opinion that they could be vulnerable malaria, 41% (109)
195 respondents had opinion that they could be vulnerable to Diarrhoea, 25 %
196 (67) respondents had opinion they could be expose to experiencing painful

197 joints, 21% (56) respondents had opinion that they could be exposed to
198 experiencing acute back pain, 9% (23) had opinion that they could
199 experience a possible liver and kidney damage and 67% (178) respondents
200 had opinion that they could experience other symptoms not mentioned
201

202 **4. CONCLUSION**

203 This study was able to examine the sources and composition of solid waste
204 in the study area. In this study participant under survey expatiated on the
205 source and composition of solid waste. In order to get the views of the
206 understanding of the question posed, respondents were asked the sources of
207 solid waste and the type of solid waste. This question was posed so as to
208 see if really they had a deeper understanding of the issues on ground. From
209 the analysis it indicated that majority of the respondents indicated that the
210 major source of solid waste is from the residential area while the plastics is
211 one of the major composition of solid waste.

212 **Waste Disposal Method in Obio Akpor LGA**

213 On the waste disposal method data analysis revealed by respondents that
214 majority of the individuals in Obio akpor local government area prefer to
215 dump their waste at authorized dump site, also it was discovered that it takes
216 1-5days for majority of the individuals to dispose their waste and this occurs
217 daily

218 **Major health risk affecting solid waste workers in Obio Akpor LGA**

219 On the aforementioned objective it was concluded that majority of the
220 respondents understudy had a pre requisite knowledge on the effect of poor
221 waste disposal to human health likewise the health implication of such action
222 as majority indicated that they will be prone to typhoid and other forms of
223 diseases.

224 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

225

226 The Authors would like to acknowledge the comments and suggestions from
227 Sokorie Andra from the Department of Geography and Environmental
228 Management, University of Port Harcourt. Choba Rivers state
229

230 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

231

232 Authors have declared that no competing interest exist

233

234 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

235

236 Approval for this study was obtained from the Department of geography and
237 Environmental Management, University of Port Harcourt Choba. Also, verbal
238 informed consent was obtained from each respondent. All the participants
239 were informed that the study is voluntary and that they could opt out of the
240 study at any time. Also participants were assured that confidentiality would
241 be maintained during and after data collection and that information given will
242 be used for research purposes only. And lastly articles and authors used
243 were sighted accordingly in this research

244

245 **REFERENCES**

246

247

248 1. Alli B.O (2008), Fundamental Principles of Occupational Health and Safety. Geneva:
249 International Labour Organization.

250

251 2. An H, Englehard J, Fleming L and Bean. J (1999). Occupational health and safety
252 amongst municipal solid waste workers in Florida. Waste Manag Res, 17(5):369–
253 377.

254

255

256 3. Bastan. M, Cerlik. N and Schubertt. C, 2014.Assessment of Occupational and
257 Environmental Health and Safety Risks in Solid Waste Systems. Quarterly Progress
258 Report III January 31st, 2014 – May 1st, 2014University of Miami Coral
259 Gables, FL, USA. Accessed 24 August 2017

260

261

262 4. Melanie S. M, (2004). ILO Organizing in the Informal Economy: A Case Study of
263 the Municipal Waste Management Industry in South Africa. Geneva, International
264 Labour Office.

265

266 5. Merson MH, Black R and Mills AJ (2001). International Public Health: Diseases,
267 Programs, Systems and Policies. Gaithersburg, Maryland: Aspen Publishers;
268 2001.

269

270 6. Olorunnishola OA, Taylor AK, Byrd L (2010). Occupational injuries and illnesses in
271 solid waste industry: a call for action. Journal of Morgan State University School
272 of Community Health and Policy.20 (2):211–23.

273

274

275 7. Taderera, H. (2012). Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems:
276 institutional and regulatory frameworks in Zimbabwe. International Journal of
277 Human Resource Studies Vol. 2, No. 4.
278
279 8. Cooley A.T(2019) Field work in Obio Akpor local government area of Rivers State
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291

UNDER PEER REVIEW