

**Editor's Comment:**

I have just glanced the submitted paper,

"Health Risk Implication among Solid Waste Workers in Obio Akpor LGA of Rivers State. "

The paper shows important topics, with regard to waste workers in developing countries.

It however has several flaws to be published in the journal.

1. Introduction is too vague.

The authors discuss waste programs in developing countries.

It is out of scope to show the origins of waste along civilization (Line 35-42).

The authors rather try to introduce waste programs in developing countries with in more appropriate frame.

For example,

How does globalization affect waste programs in developing countries?

How does disparities among people in developing countries affect health risk?

Who are employed in dangerous jobs such as in waste deposition?

2. Results

The authors should sort items in all Tables by alphabetical order or the % of respondents (large -> small).

The present paper only shows descriptive analysis.

As a referred paper, it is not enough.

At least, the authors have to make cross-tabulation and test chi-square test.

For example, who more likely find source of waste from residential?

(Table 1 x Table 2)

The paper then should be improved to answer the research question.

### 3. Conclusion

In abstract, the authors say "solid waste workers should be provided with vaccination programs".

It has to be written also in conclusion.

Then the problem is who more likely need vaccination?

Typhoid, Malaria should need vaccination, whereas painful joints do not need.

Therefore, the authors should test who more likely need vaccination, based on their results.

The present paper does not show it.

#### **Editor's Details:**

Dr. Shiro Horiuchi  
Faculty of International Tourism, Hannan University, Japan