



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_51106</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Credit Card Fraud Detection Using Autoencoder Model in Unbalanced Datasets</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>There are paragraphs too long.</p> <p>In several parts of the text appears a double space between the words.</p> <p>The objectives of the research that appears in the Abstract and in the Introduction (line 53) are different.</p> <p>It is important to discuss about unsupervised learning methods in the Literature Review, even with the fact that the study will focus only on research that fall within the supervised learning methods.</p> <p>It is necessary an orthographic review (In the line 161 appears the word " d scribed").</p> <p>At the section "Dataset" is necessary an explanation about the origin of the dataset used in the study.</p> <p>The steps presented in table III need to be explained.</p> <p>Some parts of the Results and Discussion section need to be more carefully explained (specially at the Confusion Matrix part).</p> <p>The paragraphs after the table VL (that should be VI) need to be rewrite because they are very confusing.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	For the readers, it is important an explanation of the tables I and II (specially about the measures of the table II),	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Elias Pereira Lopes Júnior</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidade Federal do Cariri – UFCA, Brazil</i></b>