



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_50828
Title of the Manuscript:	NEONATAL BLADDER OUTLET OBSTRUCTION WARRANTING EARLY CIRCUMCISION
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title would sound better if likes of "urinary outflow obstruction" could be used. Meatal stenosis & phimosis are other causes of obstruction too. Ureteropelvic junction or uretero-vesical obstructions are not causes of bladder outflow obstruction. Reference to the text marked in yellow is requested. Please do not confuse between meatal & preputial stenosis. The Canadian society recommendation requires reference please.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashrarur Rahman Mitul
Department, University & Country	Dhaka Shishu (Children) Hospital & Bangladesh, Institute of Child Health, Bangladesh