



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. a little bit hard the word retrenchment. instead, the cutting jobs is most suitable. and the other one, survivors: better is the remaining employees.</p> <p>2. what is the line of action of those remaining in banks? I understand that they felt injustice and pressure from the management, but what are their reactions?</p> <p>3. BUT, above all, the references are not well written: the authors must be written in order of their last name (e.g. Ademola, A., Anyanwu, G., then B, C,..... and at the end Uvieghara, E.E.... Young-Victor, A.,....</p> <p>that's all and please add this to the rest of reviews of this paper.</p>	<p>i. The terminologies, we afraid, are the most appropriate by us and should be allowed to go as used, due to several obvious reasons that was arrived before the commencement and documentation of the original report, particularly due to situational analysis of the current management practice.</p> <p>2. Their reactions are mostly personal reactions with majority seeking for scarce opportunities outside the banking sector. At the moment they are left with no other option than striving to make the increasing target set as baselines else your appointment be terminated.</p> <p>3. Some changes had been made. Thank</p>