

**Hanging Ratio Gillnets on Different Mesh Sizes
for Mackerel (*Scomberomorus commerson*) :
A Case of Pangandaran Regency, Indonesia**

Izza Mahdiana Apriliani^{1*}, Alexander MA Khan¹, Lantun P Dewanti¹,
Achmad Rizal¹, Herman Hamdani¹, and Caca Oktavera¹

¹Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science,
Universitas Padjadjaran, Jln. Raya Bandung Sumedang KM 21, Jatinangor
40600, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

Gillnet is a simple fishing gear that is widely used by fishermen in Pangandaran Regency. This study aims to determine the classification calculation of the value of hanging ratio gillnet and the catch of mackerel (*Scomberomorus commerson*) with different mesh sizes. This research was conducted in January 2019 in Pangandaran Regency, Indonesia. The method used in this research is the survey method. Gillnet used has 2 types of mesh sizes, 3,5 and 4 inch gill nets with each net length of 650 meters (7,312 mesh) and 750 meters (7,384 mesh). Gillnet has 12 meters with a net mesh size of 3,5 inches as many as 135 mesh and a 4 inch mesh size of 118 mesh. Hanging ratio for 3.5 inch gillnet is 0,56 while for mesh size 4 inch is 0,54. Based on these results, it can be concluded that the gill nets are selective. The proportion of catch results shows the number of target fish gill nets with a 3,5 inch mesh size is 20% and bycatch is 80%. The proportion of gillnet catches with a 4 inch mesh size shows main catch of 35,2% while the bycatch proportion is 64.8%.

Keywords: Gillnet, Hanging ratio, Mackerel, Selectivity, Sustainable fisheries

1. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia as an archipelago is a maritime zone that has the potential of capture fisheries [13]. One of the districts in West Java that has potential in the field of capture fisheries in Indonesia, is the Pangandaran Regency. The area of Pangandaran Regency is directly to the Indian Ocean so that it has generally been developed as a tourism conservation and fisheries area [24]. The Pangandaran border with the open sea makes the aspect of capture fisheries quite potential.

Capture fisheries is an effort made by humans to be able to get organisms in the waters, and to get these organisms needed by fishing gear [9]. Gill nets are one type of fishing gear that is widely used by fishermen, from encircling gillnets, bottom gillnets, and surface gillnets. Fishing effort using gill nets is already not a new technology for fishing, this is because the

35 material is more easily obtained, it is technically easy to operate, economically reachable by
36 fishermen, and more selective on the size of fish caught [31]. Gillnet is one of the most used
37 fishing gear by fishermen in Pangandaran which is operated at night or early in the morning
38 [28].

39 Gillnet catches various types of fish, and one of the catch is mackerel (*S. commerson*) [23].
40 Mackerel fish including pelagic fish and high economic value in Indonesia [29]. Mackerel fish
41 is an important commodity whose exploitation has been carried out intensively to meet
42 market needs, both domestic and export [22]. According to Pangandaran's production data
43 for 2016-2018 mackerel fish ranks seventh out of the top ten catches with the highest
44 number of commodities. Mackerel fish is a type of fish found throughout the year in the water
45 of Indonesia [17].

46 Gillnet fishermen in Pangandaran used to catch mackerel using two types of mesh size, at
47 3.5 inches and 4 inches. There are no specific calculations related to the hanging ratio
48 amount of gillnet used. Determination hanging ratio is only based on the habits of fishermen.
49 Based on Tang et al. [30] a smaller hanging ratio will result in lower mesh openings with
50 higher levels of slack. As for the hanging ratio, the higher the openings the wider the mesh.
51 Previous researches conducted by Hamley [14]; Duman et al., [11]; and Ayaz et al., [4]
52 indicate that hanging ratio affects the number of catches obtained. Therefore, the optimum
53 hanging ratio for catching mackerel fish needs to be known. According to Catanese et al. [7]
54 the difference in hanging ratio trammel net has a significant effect on catches. The effect of
55 hanging ratio and fishing depth on the catch rates of drifting tuna gillnet in Sri Lanka waters
56 shows that different hanging ratios have a significant effect on the size of the catch [26].

57 Based on the description above, it is clear that the hanging ratio affects the gillnet catches.
58 However, fishermen using gill nets in Pangandaran are not supported by information about
59 the size of the hanging ratio. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct research on the hanging
60 ratio value for gillnet used to catch mackerel (*S. commerson*). This study aims to determine
61 the classification and calculate the value of hanging ratio gillnet and the catch of mackerel
62 (*S. commerson*) with different mesh sizes.

63
64

65 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

66

67 Research was conducted in August 2018 and January 2019 in Pangandaran Regency, West
68 Java. The method used is the survey method using a sample of research objects observed.
69 The data needed in this research are primary and secondary data. Primary data is in the
70 form of direct data collected when conducting research in the field. Secondary data is data
71 sourced from the Fisheries and Marine Service of Pangandaran Regency and literature
72 studies. The research object is gillnet with a different mesh size of 3.5 and 4 inches.

73 Interviews were conducted with gillnet fishermen to explore and gather information needed
74 regarding the type of fishing gear used, mesh size, and length of fishing gear. The selection
75 of fishermen to determine the size of the sample size to be selected or taken is using the
76 purposive sampling method. According to Bell et al. [5] purposive sampling is a sampling
77 technique of data sources with certain considerations. Sampling is in accordance with the
78 boundaries of certain goals that represent a representative area. Purposive sampling is done
79 by taking the subject rather than based on strata, random or regional but based on the
80 existence of certain objectives [1]. The fishing gear used is gill nets with different mesh sizes
81 of 3.5 and 4 inches with the main catches of mackerel (*S. commerson*). Data fishing
82 operations used in the research is gillnets operating activities for 8 times the size of the boat
83 trip 2-3 GT. The data obtained were then analyzed descriptively by describing the condition

84 of gillnet for Tenggiri (*S. commerson*) fish in Pangandaran and analyzing the hanging ratio of
85 the gillnet. Calculation of hanging ratio fishing gear uses the following formulations [20] :

$$E = \frac{L}{L_0}$$

86 Information:
87 E = Hanging ratio
88 L = Length after the nets installed
89 L₀ = Length before the net installed
90
91

92 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

93 3.1 Fishing Gear Characteristics

94 Pangandaran is a region that has the potential of capture fisheries, in line with Apriliani et al.
95 [3] Pangandaran has a sea area of 67,340 Ha and a coastal length of 91 km. Pangandaran
96 has a variety of fishing gear, one of which is the gillnet. Gill nets are the catcher that has the
97 most amount compared to other fishing gears. It is based on the fisheries data of
98 Pangandaran Regency [10] presented in Table 1.
99
100
101

102 **Table 1. Fishing gear operating in Pangandaran Regency.**
103

No	Fishing Gear	Total (unit)
1	Gillnet	1.914
2	Trammel Net	305
3	Mini Purse seine	10
4	Liong Bun	30
5	Long line	50

104 Source: Department of Fisheries, Marine and Food Security Pangandaran Regency 2016
105

106 Gillnet is a unit of fishing gear that is rectangular with a certain mesh size and is the same
107 size in all nets with a smaller number of mesh sizes for depth compared to the mesh size to
108 the side. Gillnet is classified into a type of simple fishing gear consisting of a net with a
109 ballast hooked to the bottom rope section and a float on the upper rope, a float sign as a
110 marker of both ends of the net, and a rope to pull the net. Based on Martasuganda [18]
111 gillnets are one of a kind of fishing gear from monofilament or multifilament nets which are
112 formed into rectangles, in which at the top are equipped with floats and at the bottom are
113 equipped with sinkers so that the presence of two opposite forces allows the net gills can be
114 installed in the catching area in an upright condition facing the aquatic biota.

115 Gillnet used has 2 types of mesh sizes, 3.5 and 4 inch gill nets with the length of each net is
116 650 meters and 750 meters and within 12 meters. Another difference in the two gill nets is in
117 addition to the size of the net, which is found in the net material used. Gillnet with 3.5 inch
118 mesh size uses a net with green nylon material while gillnet with 4 inch mesh uses white
119 millenium material. Millenium gill nets have fibers composed of strands which are arranged
120 into one called Ply with Z-shaped twist. Gillnet millenium is used consisting of 10-12 ply. The
121 gillnet with a 4 inch mesh size has a number of mesh lengths of 7,384 meshes while the
122 mesh depth is 118 meshes. Gillnet of 3.5 inches has a total mesh length of 7,312 meshes
123 and a mesh depth of 135 meshes.

124 Gillnet used in this research is surface gillnet. This is because the main fish caught are
125 mackerel fish belonging to the pelagic fish species. As stated by Scales et al. [27], the
126 surface gillnets are operated on the surface of the water column with the aim of catching
127 pelagic fish. Printed sinkers flattened round shape with a diameter the size of 10 cm and 2
128 cm thick. Buoys made from used plastic drinks. It is the ballast and buoys at the bottom and
129 top that give the pulling force between the nets so that the nets stretch vertically facing the
130 fish to swim so they are caught in the net. Raju et al. [25] state that gillnets on each fishing
131 gear are tied floats on the upper side of the net and sinkers on the lower side of the net with
132 fewer mesh depths compared to the number of mesh lengths. The presence of buoyancy and
133 the force force is generated by buoys and sink which results in two forces acting in opposite
134 directions as long as the gillnet is in the water. The buoys and ballast used amounted to 45
135 pieces each.

136 The components of the gillnet compiler are a unit that has the function of each forming an
137 operated fishing device. Operation of fishing gear is good depending on each component of
138 the fishing gear. Chirwa [8] stated that several things that need to be considered to support
139 the success of fishing using gill nets are equipment specifications (type of net material, net
140 length and height, net shrinkage, mesh size, and net color), fishermen's knowledge and
141 skills, knowledge of seasons, and oceanographic influences.

142 Gillnet captures various types of fish according to the size of the mesh size used and the
143 fishing season. One of the commodities that is the main catch of gill nets is mackerel fish.
144 Mackerel fish is a type of fish with high economic value [6]. Noegroho et al. [22] stated that
145 mackerel fish is an important commodity whose operations have been carried out intensively
146 to meet market needs, both for domestic and for export. According to Pangandaran's
147 production data for 2016-2018 mackerel fish ranks seventh out of the top ten catches with
148 the highest number of commodities. Mackerel fish are sold for 50-60 thousand per kilogram.
149 The high selling price of mackerel is one of them caused by the taste of the meat that is so
150 good that it is much in demand by local and outside markets [19].

151 Gillnet ships in Pangandaran Regency that caught mackerel fish in this research were 2 GT
152 with a length of 1129 cm and a width of 141 cm. This ship uses a Yamaha 15 PK outboard
153 motor type engine. This ship only contains fishermen about 2-3 people. The number of
154 fishermen that can be transported by the ship is adjusted to the size of the ship that is
155 related to safety during the trip to the sea and the need for the operation of fishing gear [2].

156 **3.2 Hanging Ratio**

157
158 Hanging ratio is the percentage of the length of the net that is attached to the ris rope divided
159 by the length of the net that is stretched perfectly (the length of the net before it is made a
160 fishing gear) [33]. Its usefulness is to determine how much influence can be generated by
161 the net on how to entangle captured fish. According to Duman et al. [11] hanging ratios
162 normally used gill nets range from 0,50 to 0,70.

163 The results showed that gillnet with a 3.5 inch mesh size had a hanging ratio of 0,56 and a 4
164 inch net mesh of 0,54. Based on these results, it can be concluded that gill nets with 3.5 and
165 4 inch mesh sizes are selective. Murdiyanto et al. [21] stated that horizontal hanging ratio on
166 gillnet is generally 0,5. Hanging ratios smaller than 0.5 nets tend to be entangled and will
167 capture a variety of different fish species. Conversely, if the hanging ratio is 0,5, then the net
168 tends to trap fish and is more selective. The other influential factor is the formation of a net
169 body because of the currents and waves that cause up and down movements of buoys that
170 affect the formation of the net body.

171 **3.3 Catch of Gillnets in Pangandaran**

172

173 The catch is classified into two types, namely main catch and bycatch. Main catch is the
174 catch fish which is the main target in fishing which has high economic value while bycatch is
175 the catch fish which is not the main target in catching or bycatch that can be utilized or not.
176 This is in line with the statement of Eayrs [12] which states that the catch is divided into two
177 groups, namely main catch which is a catch in the form of fish or other marine biota which is
178 the main target (target species) in fishing and bycatch catch which is a type of fish or other
179 marine biota that are not the main target as illustrated in Table 2.

180

181 **Table 2. Types of Gillnet Catch in Pangandaran.**

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

No	Main Catch
1	Mackerel (<i>S. commerson</i>)
No	By Catches
1	<i>Sardinella fimbriata</i>
2	<i>Ethynnuss</i> sp
3	<i>C. ignobilis</i>
4	<i>Chirocentrus</i> spp
5	<i>Auxis rochei</i>
6	<i>Selaroides leptolepis</i>
7	<i>Spyhraena barracuda</i>

199 Mackerel is a catch fish that is the target of species. Non-targeted catch (bycatch) consists
200 of seven species belonging to large and small pelagic fish which are accidentally caught
201 along with the main catch, but these fish are still used and sold even though they do not
202 have high economic value. According to Walker et al. [32], the diversity of species caught is
203 due to the similarity of habitat between target fish and non-target fish.

204 Based on data from capture fisheries in Pangandara Regency in 2016 - 2018 mackerel fish
205 production is always available every month. Research conducted in August and January
206 showed that the catch in January was more than that in August and mackerel fish in January
207 had a much larger size. Factors that affect the size of the catch are weather, fishing area,
208 catching time and season.

209 Apriliani et al. [3] states that Pangandaran Regency has a tropical climate with 2 seasons
210 namely the dry season and the rainy season. Fishing activities in Pangandaran are highly
211 influenced by the climate, where during the dry season (east season) that is from May to
212 October Pangandaran waters are in calm conditions and fishing activities are not disturbed.
213 The rainy season (western season) occurs in November - April where the waters are in large
214 choppy conditions and fishing activities are slightly disturbed. The interview with gillnet
215 fishermen indicated that the average increase in mackerel catches occurred in December to
216 February. Based on production data shows that in 2016 - 2018 the highest number of
217 production is in August to January. This is different from the results of interviews with gillnet
218 fishermen who said that in August mackerel fish production was classified as small due to
219 the bright moon events. This causes the nets in the waters to be seen by fish as a result of

220 bright moonlight so that the catch decreases. According to local fishermen, mackerel fish are
221 the most popular fish and are the main catch of the main catch, especially during the
222 mackerel fishing season in March, May, July, November and December. This difference is
223 thought to occur due to changes in fishing season patterns and changes in the spawning
224 season.

225 The proportion of the catch results shows the number of target fish gill nets with 3.5 inch
226 mesh size is 20% (92 fish) and bycatch is 80% (369 fish). The proportion of gillnet catches
227 with a 4 inch mesh size shows a main catch of 35.2% (96 fish) while the bycatch proportion
228 is 64.8% (177 fish). Based on the proportion of the number of catches it can be concluded
229 that 3.5 and 4 inch gill nets are not selective because they have a proportion of bycatch >
230 main catch and the main catch is less than 60%. Kalogirou et al. [15] states that if the
231 proportion of the main target catch is greater and equal to 60%, a fishing gear can be called
232 selective because it includes environmentally friendly fishing gear.

233 The diversity of fish caught by gill nets is because Indonesia is a tropical country with high
234 biodiversity, so it is very difficult to determine and catch fish with certain species without the
235 presence of bycatch. In addition, there are similarities in habitat between one species and
236 another in spawning, feeding ground or fishing ground. Kelleher [16] states that the
237 existence of by-products is a contribution from the low selectivity of a fishing gear and is a
238 characteristic of a multi-species fishing area. Characterization of by-products is necessary
239 considering that fisheries in Indonesia are multispecies that are influenced spatially and
240 temporally and in the aquatic environment. According to Walker et al. [32], the diversity of
241 species caught is due to the similarity of habitat between target fish and non-target fish.

242

243 **4. CONCLUSION**

244

245 Gillnet used has 2 types of mesh sizes, 3.5 and 4 inch gill nets with each net **having a** length
246 of 650 meters (7,312 mesh) and 750 meters (7,384 mesh). Gillnet has 12 meters with a net
247 mesh size of 3,5 inches as many as 135 mesh and a 4 inch mesh size of 118 mesh.
248 Hanging ratio for 3,5 inch gillnet is 0,56 while for mesh size 4 inch is 0,54. Based on these
249 results, it can be concluded that the gill nets are selective. The proportion of the catch results
250 shows the number of target fish gill nets with a 3,5 inch mesh size is 20% and bycatch is
251 80%. The proportion of gillnet catches with a 4 inch mesh size shows a main catch of 35,2%
252 while the bycatch proportion is 64,8%.

253

254

255

256 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

257

258 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

259

260 **REFERENCES**

261

262 [1] Alvi M. A Manual for Selecting Sampling Techniques in Research. Germany: Munich
263 Personal RePEc Archive, 2016.

264 [2] Apriliani IM, Hasan Z, Junianto, Kusnadi NM. Characteristics of Gillnet Fishing Gear
265 and Vessel Construction in Fish Landing Base of Karangsong, Indramayu, Indonesia.
266 World News of Natural Sciences. 2019;23(2019):75-83.

267 [3] Apriliani IM, Nurrahman YA, Dewanti LP, Herawati H. Determination of Potential
268 Fishing Ground for Hairtail (*Trichiurus* sp.) Fishing Based on Chlorophyll-a Distribution

- 269 and Sea Surface Temperature in Pangandaran Waters, West Java, Indonesia. *AAFL*
270 *Bioflux*. 2018:11(4):1047-1054.
- 271 [4] Ayaz A, U Altinagac, U Ozekinci, O Cengiz and A Oztekin. Effects of Hanging Ratio
272 on Gill Net Selectivity for Annular Sea Bream (*Diplodus annularis*) in the Northern
273 Aegean Sea, Turkey. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*. 2010:9(7):1137-
274 1142.
- 275 [5] Bell E, Bryman A, & Harley B. *Business Research Methods*. England: Oxford
276 University Press; 2018.
- 277 [6] Briones R, Graces L, & Ahmed M. Climate change and small pelagic fisheries in
278 developing Asia: the economic impact on fish producers and consumers. *Climate*
279 *Change and the Economics of the World Fisheries*. England: Edward Elgar
280 Publishing; 2006.
- 281 [7] Catanese G, Hinz H, Gil MM, Palmer M, Breen M, Mira A, Pastor E, Grau A, Candela
282 AC, Koleva E, Grau AM, & Nin BM. Comparing the catch composition, profitability and
283 discard survival from different trammel net designs targeting common spiny lobster
284 (*Palinurus elephas*) in a Mediterranean fishery. *PeerJ Life & Environment*. 2018:6:
285 e4707.
- 286 [8] Chirwa ME. *A Course in Fishing Gear Technology*. Final Project. UNU-Fisheries
287 Training Programme; 2003.
- 288 [9] Crespo GO, Dunn DC, Reygondeau G, Boerder K, Worm B, Cheung W, Tittensor DP,
289 & Halpin PN. The environmental niche of the global high seas pelagic longline fleet.
290 *Science Advances*. 2018:4 (8):1-13.
- 291 [10] Department of Fisheries, Marine and Food Security Pangandaran Regency. *Statistic*
292 *Data of Fisheries Pangandaran Regency*. Pangandaran. Indonesia; 2016.
- 293 [11] Duman E, Pala M, & Yuksel F. Study on the Effect of Hanging Ratio in Gill Nets.
294 *Indian Veterinary Journal*. 2006:83:573-574.
- 295 [12] Eayrs. *A guide to bycatch reduction in tropical shrimp trawl fisheries*. Rome: FAO/UN;
296 2005.
- 297 [13] Glaser M, Breckwoldt A, Deswandi R, Radjawali I, Baitoningsih W, Ferse SCA. Of
298 exploited reefs and fishers – A holistic view on participatory coastal and marine
299 management in an Indonesian archipelago. *Ocean & Coastal Management*.
300 2015:116(2015): 193-213.
- 301 [14] Hamley JM. Review of Gillnet Selectivity. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 1975:32(11):1943-
302 1969.
- 303 [15] Kalogirou S, Pihl L, Maravelias CD, Herrmann B, Smith CJ, Papadopoulou N, Notti E,
304 & Sala A. Shrimp trap selectivity in a Mediterranean small-scale-fishery. *Fisheries*
305 *Research*. 2019:211(3):131-140.
- 306 [16] Kelleher. 2005. Discards in the world's marine fisheries. An update. FAO Fish. Tech.
307 Pap, 470. FAO, Rome, 131 p.
- 308 [17] Kusumaningrum I, Y Pranoto and S Hadiwiyoto. Extraction optimization and
309 characterization of gelatine from fish dry skin of Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus*
310 *commersoni*). *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 2018:144
311 (2018):012036.
- 312 [18] Martasuganda S. *Gillnet*. Indonesia: IPB Press; 2008.
- 313 [19] Marzano, A. Fish and Fishing in the Roman World. *J Maritim Archaeology*. 2018:3:
314 437.
- 315 [20] Matuda K. *Physics of Fishing Gear*. Japan: Seizando-shoten, Tokyo; 2001.
- 316 [21] Murdiyanto B, Maryam D, & Basri H. On the effect of water flow speed to
317 hydrodynamic resistance force of gillnet; model net experiments in the flume tank.
318 *Indonesian Fisheries Research Journal*. 2010:16(2):103-110.
- 319 [22] Noegroho T & Hidayat T. Population Dynamics of Mackerel (*Scomberomorus*
320 *Commerson*) in Kwandang Bay Waters, Sulawesi Sea. *Jurnal Penelitian Perikanan*
321 *Indonesia*. 2014:20 (4):251-258.

- 322 [23] Noegroho T, Boer M, Sulistiono, Adrianto L. Size structure and population dynamics of
323 Indo-Pacific king mackerel (*Scomberomorus guttatus*) in Kepulauan Riau's water,
324 Indonesia. AACL Bioflux. 2018:11(4):1081-1088.
- 325 [24] Nurhayati A, Aisah I, & Supriatna AK. Model Development of A Synergistic
326 Sustainable Marine Ecotourism—A Case Study in Pangandaran Region, West Java
327 Province, Indonesia. Sustainability. 2019:11(12):3418-3434.
- 328 [25] Raju CS, Rao JCS, Rao KG, and Simhachalam G. Fishing methods, use of
329 indigenous knowledge and traditional practices in fisheries management of Lake
330 Kolleru. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2016:4(5):37-44.
- 331 [26] Samaranayaka A, Engas A, & Jorgensen T. Effects of Hanging Ratio and Fishing
332 Depth on the Catch Rates of Drifting Tuna Gillnets in Sri Lanka Waters. Fisheries
333 Research. 1997:29:1-12.
- 334 [27] Scales KL, Hazen EL, Maxwell SM, Dewar H, Kohin S, Jacox MG, Edwards CA,
335 Briscoe DK, Crowder LB, Lewison RL, and Bograd SJ. 2017. Fit to predict?
336 Eco-informatics for predicting the catchability of a pelagic fish in near real time. Ecol
337 Appl. 2017:27:2313-2329.
- 338 [28] Sienna YI, Hasan Z, Lili W, & Dewanti LP. Selectivity of fishing gear for hairtail fish
339 (*Trichiurus lepturus* Linnaeus, 1758) commodities in Pangandaran District, Indonesia.
340 World Scientific News. 2019:126(2019):248-260.
- 341 [29] Sutiana A, Takarina ND, & Nurhudah M. Gonad maturity level of mackerel from fishing
342 ground of Pandeglang area. AIP Conference Proceedings. 2018:2023(2018):020132.
- 343 [30] Tang H, Xua L, Hu F, Kumazawa T, Hirayama M, Zhou C, Wanga X, & Liu W. Effect
344 of mesh size modifications on the sinking performance, geometry and forces acting on
345 model purse seine nets. Fisheries Research. 2019:211(3):158-168.
- 346 [31] Tawari RHS. Efficiency Surface Gillnet on Catches Of Mackerel Scad (*Decapterus*
347 *macarelus*) In Kayeli Bay. Jurnal Amanisal. 2013:2(2):32-39.
- 348 [32] Walker ND, Carreras BG, Le Quesne WJF, Maxwell DL, Jennings S. A data-limited
349 approach for estimating fishing mortality rates and exploitation status of diverse target
350 and non-target fish species impacted by mixed multispecies fisheries. ICES Journal of
351 Marine Science. 2019:1-13.
- 352 [33] Widiyanto AT, Pramonowibowo, & Setiyanto I. Influence of Different Mesh Size and
353 Hanging Ratio and Soaking Time Gill Nets to Catch Red Devil (*Amphilophus labiatus*)
354 in Sermo Reservoir, Kulonprogo. Journal of Fisheries Resources Utilization
355 Management and Technology. 2016:5(2):19–26.
- 356