



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_50934
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern and prevalence of color vision disorders amongst secondary school students in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper is quite interesting. Of course there is a preponderance of colour vision deficit (CVD) in the male gender because it is X-linked. In the female gender there is the Lyon's effect because there are two X chromosomes. It is possible that there are some false positive and false negative results in this screening. The whole manuscript must be formatted uniformly as justified and line distance. In the Results section of the abstract tritan defects (0.2 must be corrected).	
Minor REVISION comments	None.	
Optional/General comments	None.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Italo Giuffre</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Catholic University of Rome , Italy</i>