



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50927
Title of the Manuscript:	Control of <i>Alternaria alternata</i> using <i>Melaleuca</i> essential oil ( <i>Melaleuca alternifolia</i> )
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript presents a high quality work, with well designed experiments. Authors provided an interesting material, with seems to bring a novel data regarding the <i>A. alternata</i> control by natural agent – tea-tree EO. Nevertheless, manuscript needs some substantive improvements, especially in Results and Discussion section.</p> <p>All my comments (also for Minor and Optional/General comments) are given in additional pdf file, however briefly:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. My major concern is the lack of discussion regarding the surprising effect of 5% of EO concentration treatment while <i>in vivo</i> assay. This result should be deeply investigate and explained.</li> <li>2. It is disappointing that there are no pictures of Petri dishes from <i>in vitro</i> assay. The would greatly visualize the experiments effects.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Some parts of manuscript are pretty unclear. There are a lot of short sentences, that hinder the fluent text reading. Also, some parts of manuscript (again in Results and Discussion section) are repeated in different paragraphs. Please go over the manuscript and try to rewrite, especially highlighted in pdf file, parts.</p> <p>Also, there are some minor misspellings and technical errors that should be corrected. The ones that I found are highlighted in pdf file.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Generally, I really enjoyed this work and I think it will be a valuable contribution for <i>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</i>. I hope that my comments will help to improve the manuscript quality or at least they carry out some interesting discussion.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jacek Łyczko</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Poland</b>