



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_50314
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF THE MICROBIAL LOAD OF BEEF AND CHICKEN MEAT COLLECTED AT DIFFERENT HOURS OF THE DAY IN EKPOMA TOWN MARKET
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript was well designed but poorly written. The English presentation was bad. Let the authors revise the entire manuscript thoroughly from abstract to conclusion. The introduction was written but needs editing. Old literature works were cited by the authors, only one of the literature cited was 2012. More recent works should be cited to improve the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	The conclusion could be improved and let the authors state the final outcome of the work here as this current conclusion is too simple	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adeyeye, Samuel Ayofemi Olalekan
Department, University & Country	Ton Duc Thang University, Vietnam