



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_50612
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical and molecular studies on the role of rosemary extract in reducing liver and kidney toxicity due to Vepesid in male rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>THE PRESENT MANUSCRIPT DESCRIBE ABOUT THE BIOCHEMICAL AND MOLECULAR CHANGES PRODUCED BY THE ROSEMARY EXTRACT IN REDUCING HEPATIC AND RENAL TOXICITY DUE TO VEPESID IN MALE RATS. THE AUTHORS USED THE BRAND NAME IN TITLE. IF THEY GOT THE CONSENT FROM THE MANUFACTURER, THEN IT WILL NOT CREATE ANY LEGAL ISSUES. OTHERWISE THEY HAVE TO GET THE CONSENT FROM THE BRAND OWNER. OR ELSE THEY HAVE TO MENTION THE GENERIC NAME IN THE TITLE. THE BOTANICAL NAME SHOULD BE MENTIONED IN THE TITLE. THE OBJECT WAS CLEARLY MENTIONED AND THE METHODOLOGY ADOPTED WAS APPROPRIATE. THE RESULTS WERE WELL DOCUMENTED AND DISCUSSED WELL. BUT THROUGHOUT THE MANUSCRIPT IN SOME PLACE THE DRUG NAME WAS MENTIONED AS VERPESID/VEPSID/VEPESID. IT SHOULD BE CORRECTED OR THE NAME SHOULD BE IN THE FORM OF GENERIC NAME. THE DISCUSSION PART WAS WELL WRITTEN AND THE RESULTS WERE PRESENTED IN A NEAT MANNER. IN THE MATERIALS AND METHODS, THE ETHICAL APPROVAL SHOULD BE MENTIONED. IF THESE CHANGES WERE MADE, I RECOMMEND TO ACCEPT THIS MANUSCRIPT.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Raju Senthil Kumar</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Swamy vivekanandha college of pharmacy, India</i>