



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_50644
Title of the Manuscript:	Palynological and paleobotanic characterization of upper Eocene-lower Miocene deposits of the southeastern part of the onshore sedimentary basin of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript presents palynostratigraphic and paleoecological features of the Eocene to Miocene sequence from the southeastern part of the Ivory Coast.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Materials and methods are adequate. 2. Data are robust and references adequate. 3. Conclusion is supported by the data. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minor changes of the title are suggested • Please make some corrections in the Abstract and the Introduction. There are some suggestions in the enclosed corrected MS • Tertiary is no more an official stratigraphic unit, should be replaced by Paleogene and Neogene (lines 33-35, page 1) • Tables with palynomorphs are nice, but it would be better to prepare them in a more compact form and place them in the chapter Results. Biostratigraphical and paleoecological discussion is based upon these determinations. Credit should be given to their source, PhD Thesis Gbangbot 2012 • The text should be arranged in a template offered in authors guidelines page: https://drive.google.com/file/d/0BzvKYtxLTJZjMzYwcTJwZ2g5ZFE/view • More detailed corrections and suggestions are visible in the enclosed revised text 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author(s) should take care to distinguish the results from the discussion and conclusions. • Please give credit to the previously published results! 	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jasenka Sremac
Department, University & Country	University of Zagreb, Croatia