



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_50910
Title of the Manuscript:	Hanging Ratio Gillnets on Different Mesh Size for Mackerel (<i>Scomberomorus commerson</i>) in Pangandaran, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Adjust to make it more meaning ful as indicated in the manuscript. <p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Fairly articulated <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Correct the grammar errors ➤ However, this was a good attempt <p>Materials & Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Interviews are not conducted using a questionnaire ➤ Hence clearly describe the data collection tools, the population and the sample size <p>Results & Discussions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Results in Table 1 are not discussed ➤ Correct the mistakes indicated in the manuscript ➤ However, a good attempt <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Fairly done <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Recast this alphabetically by authors' surname 	
Minor REVISION comments	N/A	
Optional/General comments	N/A	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph O. Mukolwe
Department, University & Country	Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science & Technology, Kenya