



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50409
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Developmental Interventions in Upper Krishna Project (UKP) Area
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**RT 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><b>Remarks about Abstract Section:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “The upper Krishna Project (UKP) is one of the biggest projects in India” ? In what terms? Watershed management? Housing project? Social welfare scheme?</li> <li>• Start with telling about UKP project, its genesis and etc., later on tell about the study area</li> <li>• What is SHG?</li> </ul> <p><b>Remarks about Introduction Section:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The starting sentence “In India, development is one .....their survival. . ” is not correct context with the development contest. I suggest authors to rephrase another positive sentence.</li> <li>• Tahtiji Dam example is good. But again I suggest authors can consider example like Tehri dam in Indian context.</li> <li>• Again for better clarity authors are suggested to better mention what project is ... “The Upper Krishna Project (UKP) is one of the biggest projects in India”?</li> <li>• The second paragraph in the introduction section can be moved to new section titled “Study Area”. Also I advise to put an image/map of the study area showing Alamatti, Narayanpur, storage area, etc.</li> </ul> <p><b>Remarks about Results and Discussion Section:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Kusters <i>et al.</i> [2] in their study on balancing development and conservation in Asia, Africa and Latin America indicated that Non-Timber Forest Product (NTFP) trade has a positive impact on local livelihoods.” How this statement is connected with the interventions?</li> <li>• R and R project? What is this?</li> <li>• Authors are advised to rephrase the word “cent per cent”. This is not accepted wording in the international context.</li> <li>• SGH?</li> <li>• Comparing the study done by Sreedevi [5] is not related to the current context as the topics of relocation and immigrants are entirely different domains.</li> <li>• Studies done by Tanvir et al. [6] may not be apt for Indian context otherwise authors should correlate Tanvir et al. works with theirs. On the same lines the study done by Mikiyasu [7] is valid if the Bagalkot area is having significant forest region.</li> <li>• Place the tables appropriately after the concerned text.</li> </ul>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><b>Remarks about Abstract Section:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“.. to form a sample of 180..” sample size?</li> <li>Mtr →m.</li> <li>“Due to this 22 villages, 76,357 acre of land and 32,427 numbers of families are expected to get submerged in the back waters of Almatti reservoir”</li> </ul> <p>To</p> <p>“4.656 m increases in the storage level, an area of 76,357 acres of land is expected to submerge in the back waters of Almatii reservoir, though, 22 villages with 32,427 families may get effected in this event.”</p> <p><b>Remarks about Introduction Section:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incorporate a table mentioning all the three phases and consequences thereby</li> </ul> <p><b>Remarks about Materials and Methods Section:</b></p> <p>“The present investigation was undertaken in Upper Krishna Project (UKP) area.. ” Already authors terms UKP in the introduction section. Again a repeat?</p> <p><b>Remarks about Conclusion sections:</b></p> <p>Project Displaced Families (PDF)? No need of abbreviation in the last.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>General Remarks.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Article deals with important development but references are very poor.</li> <li>Authors are suggested to put web portal related to the UKP project</li> <li>Filed photographs is exists</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer’s comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author’s comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Giribabu Dandabathula</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO), India</b></p>