

Morpho-physiological Basis of Yield Performance of Early Maturing Rice Varieties in Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

A considerable progress in plant breeding for higher yield is attained mainly through selection of morpho-physiological attributes. The field experiments were conducted at three locations under sub-tropical condition with four early maturing rice varieties viz., Binadhan-7, Binadhan-17, BRRI dhan33 and BRRI dhan39 during kharif rice season (July-October) of 2016 to find out the morpho-physiological causes of high yield. Parameters on plant height, root structure, tillering ontogeny, internode elongation pattern, chlorophyll content, photosynthesis rate, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, flag leaf angle, total dry mass, growth rate, number of vascular bundles, number of primary & secondary rachis branches, yield and yield components with harvest index were studied. Results indicated that plants having rapid growth and development at early growth stages with higher chlorophyll content, photosynthesis rate, long flag leaf, number of vascular bundles in 1st internode and also increased number of grains per panicle resulting higher yield. Among the test varieties, Binadhan-17 showed superiority in the most morpho-physiological criteria and higher number of vascular bundles manifesting in higher grain yield. This information may help breeders to identify and develop high yielding rice variety.

Key words: morpho-physiology, early maturing, yield and Rice

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important food crops in the world especially in Asia and African countries. More than 50% of the world's population took rice as a staple food that provides 45-60% of the dietary calories (Yang and Zhang 2010). In the last half-century, world rice production has dramatically increased due to genetic and morphophysiological improvement. However, rapid population growth and economic development are creating pressures for increase food production. Yield and yield associated traits are complex quantitative traits for crop production in the majority of agricultural fields but little is known its physiological and genetic control. It is important that further improvements will be required to fulfill the demand of increasing world populations. Accordingly, improving crop productivity by selecting yield contributing characters has been an important factor for higher rice production (Wang and Li 2008). However, rice grain yield production depend on tiller number per plant, grain number per panicle, grain size, grain fertility, panicle length and rachis branching of the panicle (Yan et al. 2013).

The utilization of heterosis and variation in plant architecture are considered to be important components in high yielding rice production (Wu 2009). Studies have been conducted to increase the fundamental understanding on morpho-physiological attributes of the grain yield of rice by many breeders

22 and physiologists, and based on their results they formulated different selection criteria for yield
23 improvement. Thus, their applications have been associated with increased yield. Effect of yield
24 attributing traits on the final grain yield of rice has been extensively studied (Selvaraj et al. 2011; Puteh et
25 al. 2013). Of them, number of panicles per plant, number of grains per panicle and grain weight directly
26 contribute to the final yield of rice (Akter et al. 2014; Babu et al. 2012; Berahim et al. 2014). Besides,
27 there are some other characters like plant height, days to maturity, panicle length etc. also contribute to
28 grain yield (Xue et al. 2008). Rice genotypes classified into six groups based on the elongation patterns of
29 the internodes which support the plant to stand (Takeda 1977).

30 The degree of grain filling in rice spikelet greatly affect by leaf position and orientation. Besides,
31 Grain yield in rice was positively associated with physiological attributes such as net assimilation rate
32 (NAR), leaf area index (LAI), photosynthesis, specific leaf weight (SLW) and total dry matter, however, in
33 path co-efficient analysis TDM and Photosynthesis were found most important (Sharma and Singh 2000;
34 Islam 2010). Further, the variety with higher dry matter production come from the roots and shoots which
35 constitute the plant structure that helped in higher grain yield production. The optimum proportion of dry
36 mass production between different parts should be properly partitioned for maximizing the grain yield
37 (Gorney and Larson 1989). Several researchers reported that the genotypes with higher TDM also
38 produced higher yield due to large root system and leaf area (Flood et al. 1995; Mondal et al. 2012).

39 In addition, morphological and physiological traits also have the important role on the major
40 improvements in rice yield potential which ultimately improve the efficiency of resource capture. The high-
41 yielding rice varieties showed higher leaf photosynthetic rates and chlorophyll content (Peng et al. 2008)
42 and their metabolism regulates the plant development (Masuda and Fujita 2008). Photosynthesis is the
43 major factor that makes variation in biomass production and yield (Saragih et al. 2013; Yoshida and Horie
44 2009) which stimulus the response of photosynthesis to light such as chlorophyll, flag leaf length, width
45 and angle. Whereas, it is still not clear whether leaf photosynthesis increases yield (Sinclair et al. 2004).
46 However, recent studies indicate that growth rate during heading stage is critically related with final yield
47 in rice (Takai et al. 2013) and a positive relationship between leaf photosynthesis and leaf chlorophyll
48 content has been widely observed in rice (Huang et al. 2015). However, about 90% of biomass
49 production is derived from photosynthetic products in crop that increased yields (Makino 2011). The leaf
50 length, width and angle are determinant the shape and size of a leaf which control photosynthesis (Peng
51 et al. 2008). Flag leaf plays important roles for grain yield through greater carbohydrate translocation from
52 leaf to the spikelet during the grain filling (Davood et al. 2009). It is predicted that many of the mechanisms
53 used to improve rice yield potential such as canopy architecture, HI and total biomass production (Chang
54 et al. 2016; Badger 2013).

55 The trait effect on grain yield is important for plant breeders to recognize promising traits to be
56 selected that can make variations in rice yield production (Togay et al. 2008; Ali et al. 2009). The present
57 study was carried out under sub-tropical condition to know the morphophysiological causes of yield
58 variation in similar durated modern rice varieties.

59

60 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

61 **2.1 Site description, plant material and design**

62 Field experiments were performed at three agro-ecological zones of Bangladesh such as Mymensingh,
63 Magura and Pabna districts in Kharif (July-October) season of 2016. Four released and similar durated
64 early maturing rice varieties such as Binadhan-7 (110-120 days), Binadhan-17 (112-118 days), BRRI
65 dhan33 (118 days) and BRRI dhan39 (120 days) were used as planting material. Average temperature
66 during the cropping season was around 32 ± 3 °C. The soil status of Mymensingh, Magura and Pabna
67 experimental land is presented in table 1.

68 The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replicates.
69 The unit plot size was 3.0 m x3.0 m. Thirty five days old seedlings were transplanted on 05 August, 2016.
70 Plant to plant and row to row distance were maintained at 15 cm and 20 cm, respectively.

71 **2.2 Fertilizer application and cultural methods**

72 Urea, triple super phosphate (TSP), muriate of potash (MP) and gypsum were applied at the rate of urea
73 120, TSP 80, MP 60 and gypsum 45 kg ha⁻¹. All the fertilizers were applied at basal doses during final
74 land preparation except urea. Half of urea was applied at 15 days after transplanting (DAT) and remaining
75 half urea was applied at 45 DAT as top dress. Intercultural operations were done when needed for
76 ensuring proper growth and development of the rice.

77 **2.3 Parameters measured**

78 To study ontogenetic growth characteristics, a total of five harvests were made and the first crop sampling
79 was done at 30 DAT and continued at an interval of 10 days until harvest. From each plot ten plants were
80 randomly selected and uprooted for obtaining data of necessary parameters. The plants were separated
81 in to leaves, stems and roots, and the corresponding dry weights were recorded after oven drying at $80 \pm$
82 2 °C for 72 hours. Leaf chlorophyll was measured by SPAD meter (Konica Minolta Sensing Inc., Japan) at
83 different growth stages. Leaf photosynthesis was measured by Portable Photosynthesis System (Li-Cor
84 LI-6400XT). At harvest, yield contributing characters and seed yield were recorded from 10 competitive
85 plants.

86 **2.4 Anatomical features of peduncle tissues**

87 Peduncles were sampled at the ripening stage. The 1st internodes were cut and fixed in FAA solution (50
88 % ethanol, 5 % acetic acid, 3.7 % formaldehyde) and stored at 4 °C following the methods described by
89 Akter et al. (2015). The sample was cut using a sharp blade and the sections were stained with 1 %
90 safranin in 30 % ethanol for 30 s, followed by two washes with sterile water, then mounted on Superfrost-
91 plus glass slides (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) using glycerin. Finally, the cross sections of
92 peduncle were observed by optical microcopy at 100 magnifications.

93 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

94 The collected data were analyzed statistically following the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique and
95 the mean differences were adjusted with Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) using the statistical
96 computer package program, MSTAT-C (Russell 1986).

97

98 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

99 **3.1 Morphological traits**

100 Improvement of rice yield potential controlled by different mechanisms through canopy architecture, HI
101 and total biomass production (Chang et al. 2016; Badger 2013). We evaluated different growth and
102 development of early maturing rice varieties which make variations in different yield contributing
103 characters. The phenotypic developments were compared from the vegetative to the maturity stage. At
104 the seedling stage, BRRI dhan39 displayed apparently shorter plant than other studied varieties, whereas
105 plant height was almost similar at tillering stage in all varieties. (Figs. 1 A&B) and at maturity, Binadhan-
106 17 was the shortest (Fig. 1C & Table 2). Binadhan-7 and BRRI dhan33 exhibited long and profuse fibrous
107 roots (Fig 1D) but almost similar heading date was recorded (Table 2). Binadhan-7 produced increased
108 tiller numbers at all growth stages and BRRI dhan39 always produced fewer numbers of tillers (Fig 2 and
109 Table 2). Finally, Binadhan-17 produced higher grain yield as well straw yield with increased harvest
110 index (Table 2). This suggests that several variations were noted among the early maturing varieties. The
111 harvest index (HI) increased the plant capacity to allocate assimilates into the developed reproductive
112 parts (Gutam, 2011; Mazid et al, 2013) that may be useful in selecting crop varieties for higher grain yield
113 (Fageria 2007). Our observations suggest that Binadhan-17 had more ability to allocate biomass in
114 developing organs for higher yield production.

115 The relative lengths of each internode of the culm in four varieties are shown in Fig. 3A. All
116 internodes were evenly shortened for all varieties that fit with dn-type of internode elongation pattern,
117 based on the classification by Takeda (1977). Among them, the 2nd internode of Binadhan-17 was mostly
118 shortened (Fig. 3A&B) that may reduce the culm length.

119 All the tested cultivars showed significant difference in flag leaf length and width (Table 3).
120 Amongst varieties, Binadhan-17 displayed the longest flag leaf comparing to other varieties, whereas
121 narrow flag leaves were observed in Binadhan-7 (Fig. 4A&B and Table 3). The flag leaf structure and
122 position of a cultivar determines the amount of photosynthetic activity (Prakash et al, 2011). It significantly
123 affects grain yield adjusting the canopy structure and other important production parameters (Davood et
124 al, 2009; Prakash et al, 2011). In the present study, Binadhan-17 had the long and narrow leaves that
125 might help in capturing resource and producing sufficient assimilates.

126

127 **3.2 Physiological traits**

128 The pattern of chlorophyll content and photosynthesis rate was significantly different among the varieties
129 during the different growth periods. The leaf chlorophyll was higher at tiller start stage (30 DAT) followed

130 by declined at 40 DAT, thereafter leaf chlorophyll gradually increased with age until 60 DAT followed by a
131 decline until maturity in all varieties (Fig. 5). The highest leaf chlorophyll content and photosynthetic rate
132 was observed in Binadhan-17 at all growth stages and Binadhan-7 contained the lowest chlorophyll (Fig.
133 5). The rice yield depends on various processes including photosynthesis rate, conversion of assimilates
134 to biomass and assimilates partitioning (Jeng et al. 2006; Puteh et al. 2014). Chlorophyll content and
135 photosynthesis rates during plant growth and development are related with high yield (Ohsumi et al.
136 2007) that support the insight of increased grain yield production in Binadhan-17.

137 The total dry matter (TDM) production in rice varieties increased with age up to maturity but TDM
138 accumulation was rapid up to 70 DAT followed by slowly increase up to physiological maturity (Fig. 6).
139 Binadhan-17 maintained the highest TDM and the lowest TDM was recorded in BRRI dhan39 at all
140 growth stages that was probably due to better photosynthesis rate and chlorophyll content in leaves
141 (Mondal et al, 2013). In contrast, Binadhan-17 showed rapid growth and development at different growth
142 stages (Fig. 7) except 40-50 DAT accumulates dry matter in shortest possible time for higher grain yield.
143 Considering the dry matter and absolute growth rate, results indicated that Binadhan-17 showed
144 positively and significantly higher dry matter production and rapid growth which might help in increased
145 higher grain yield.

146 The difference of large vascular bundles of the uppermost internodes and pith cavity among
147 different varieties was perceived by microscopic observation. The numbers of large vascular bundles
148 were increased along with the increased diameters of the 1st internodes and pith cavity in Binadhan-17
149 followed by BRRI dhan33 (Fig.8 a&b) that improved stem strength and reduced lodging risk. The vascular
150 bundle system of the culms provides stronger mechanical support in shorter rice plants (Teale et al. 2006;
151 Aohara et al. 2009) that may help against the lodging and inhibit assimilates translocation. These results
152 indicated that the meristematic activity and cell proliferation enhances plant growth for better
153 photosynthesis and dry matter accumulation of Binadhan-17 which ultimately contributes in yield.

154

155 **3.3 Yield and yield contributing characters**

156 The variation in yield and yield attributes of the varieties were significant. It was reported that rice
157 production increased due to selecting yield and yield contributing characters during the course of rice
158 cultivation (Wang and Li 2008) and can be estimated on the performance of panicle length, grain number
159 per panicle, grain size, grain fertility, 1000-seed weight and rachis branches of the panicle (Yan et al.
160 2013). Variations of yield contributing characters such as panicle length, number of fill and un-fill
161 grains/panicle and 1000-grain weight was significant (Table 4). BRRI dhan39 produced the longest panicle
162 and Binadhan-17 had shorter panicles with greater number of grains per panicle. The lowest unfilled
163 grains/panicle was recorded in Binadhan-7 followed by Binadhan-17 and the highest number of unfilled
164 grain was recorded in BRRI dhan33 (Table 4). BRRI dhan33 produced comparatively coarse grains as
165 compared to others, whereas Binadhan-17 produced fine grain. Besides, Binadhan-17 showed higher
166 number of rachis branches as compared to other three varieties (Fig. 9). The highest grain yield was

167 recorded in Binadhan-17 at all three locations. Binadhan-7 and BRR dhan39 produced the lowest mean
168 grain yield. The grain yield was higher in Binadhan-17 might be due to increase grain number/panicle,
169 higher number of secondary rachis branches (Fig 9 and Table 4). These data suggests that the yield can
170 be increased with the increased grains per panicle and secondary branches in rice.

171 Dry matter allocation per spikelet from heading to maturity was important for higher grain yield in
172 rice. The poor grain filling might be related to poor partitioning of assimilates to the grain in rice (Puteh et
173 al, 2014). Total dry mass production and its distribution into seed yield per plant were found in Binadhan-
174 17 followed by BRR dhan33. Besides, BRR dhan39 produced comparatively the low yield with lowest
175 TDM over its growth period (Fig. 6).It is observed that the grain yield increased with increased total dry
176 matter production and grain yield strongly correlated with total dry mass production (Fig. 10).These
177 results indicated that higher dry matter production during grain filling is helpful for grain filling in rice that
178 also previously reported by Yang et al. 2002.

179

180 **3.4 Conclusions**

181 The variety which had rapid growth and development at early growth stages, better dry matter partitioning
182 to economic yield also showed higher grain yield. Binadhan-17 showed superiority in early growth and
183 development resulting from higher number of vascular bundles that promotes dry matter accumulation. In
184 addition, grain yield is increased mainly due to increase grain number per panicle, higher number of
185 secondary rachis branches. This information may helpful for breeders for developing superior rice
186 varieties.

187 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

188 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

189

190 **4. REFERENCES**

- 191 Akter MB, Kim B, Lee Y, Koh E, Koh H-J. 2014. Fine mapping and candidate gene analysis of a new
192 mutant gene for panicle apical abortion in rice. *Euphytica*. 197: 387-398.
- 193 Akter MB, Piao R, Reflinur, Rahman ML, Lee Y, Seo J, Kim B, Koh H-J. 2015. Characterization and
194 mapping of *d13*, a dwarfing mutant gene, in rice. *Genes Genom*. 37: 893.
- 195 Ali MA, Nawad NN, Abbas A, Zulkiffal M, Sajjad M. 2009. Evaluation of selection criteria in *Cicerarietinum*
196 L. using correlation coefficients and path analysis. *Aust. J. Crop Sci*. 3(2): 65-70.
- 197 Aohara T, Kotake T, Kaneko Y, Takatsuji H, Tsumuraya Y, Kawasaki S. 2009. Rice BRITTLE CULM 5
198 (BRITTLE NODE) is involved in secondary cell wall formation in the sclerenchyma tissue of
199 nodes. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 50:1886-1897.
- 200 Babu VR, Shreya K, Dangi KS, Usharani G, Nagesh P. 2012. Genetic variability studies for qualitative
201 and quantitative traits in popular rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) hybrids of India. *Inter. J. Sci. Res.*
202 *Publications*. 2(6):1-5.

203 Badger MR. 2013. Role of plant leaf development in optimising photosynthetic efficiency, capacity, growth
204 and yield. Applying photosynthesis research to improvement of food crops. 20.

205 Berahim Z, Ismail MR, Halimi MS, Mondal MMA, Panhwar QA, Islam MR. 2014. Effectiveness of different
206 phytohormones on grain filling and yield of rice under drought stress. J. Food Agric. Environ. 12
207 (2): 697-700.

208 Chang S, Chang T, Song Q, Zhu XG, Deng Q. 2016. Photosynthetic and agronomic traits of an elite
209 hybrid rice Y-Liang-You 900 with a record-high yield. Field Crops Res. 187:49-57.

210 Davood BT, Ali G, Hemmal AP, Morteza N. 2009. Flag leaf morphophysiological response to different
211 agronomical treatment in a promising line of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). American- Eurasian J. Agric.
212 Environ. Sci. 5(3): 403-408.

213 Fageria NK. 2007. Yield physiology of rice. J. Plant Nutri. 30: 843-879.

214 Flood RG, Martin PJ, Gardner WK. 1995. Dry matter accumulation and partitioning and its relationship to
215 grain yield in wheat. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 35: 495-502.

216 Gutam S. 2011. Dry matter partitioning, grain filling and grain yield in wheat genotype. Communications
217 Biometry Crop Sci. 6(2): 48-63.

218 Gorney AG, Larson S. 1989. New aspect in root breeding. Proc. III. Congress of Eucarpia Goltingen,
219 Germany.pp. 339-356.

220 Huang L, Dai L, Wang L, Leng Y, Yang Y, Xu J, Hu J, Rao Y, Zhang G, Zhu L, Dong G. 2015. Genetic
221 dissection for chlorophyll content of the top three leaves during grain filling in rice (*Oryza sativa*
222 L.). J. Plant Growth Regul. 34 (2): 381-91.

223 Islam MT. 2010. Photosynthesis, conductance, transpiration, water use efficiency and grain growth of
224 high yielding rice varieties under water stress. Int. J. Expt. Agric. 1(2): 10-14.

225 Jeng TL, Tseng TH, Wang CS, Chen CL, Sung JM, 2006. Yield and grain uniformity in contrasting rice
226 genotypes suitable for different growth environments. Field Crops Res. 99 (1): 59-66.

227 Makino A. 2011. Photosynthesis, Grain Yield, and Nitrogen Utilization in Rice and Wheat. Plant Physiol.
228 155 (1): 125-129.

229 Masuda T, Fujita Y. 2008. Regulation and evolution of chlorophyll metabolism. Photochem. Photobiol.
230 Sci. 7:1131-1149.

231 Mazid MS, Rafii MY, Hanafi MM, Rahim HA, Latif MA. 2013. Genetic variation, heritability, divergence and
232 biomass accumulation of rice genotypes resistant to bacterial blight revealed by quantitative traits
233 and ISSR markers. Physiol. Plant, 149 (3):432-447.

234 Mondal MMA, Puteh AB, Malek MA, Ismail MR, Rafii MY, Latif MA. 2012. Seed yield in relation to
235 growth and developmental aspects of mungbean. The Scientific World J.
236 doi:10.1100/2012/425168

237 Ohsumi A, Hamasaki A, Nakagawa H, Yoshida H, Shiraiwa T, Horie T. 2007. A model explaining
238 genotypic and ontogenetic variation of leaf photosynthetic rate in rice (*Oryza sativa*) based on leaf
239 nitrogen content and stomatal conductance. Ann Bot. 99: 265-273.

240 Peng S, Khush GS, Virk P, Tang Q, Zou Y. 2008. Progress in ideotype breeding to increase yield
241 potential. *Field Crops Res.* 108:32-38.

242 Prakash M, Anandan A, Kumar SB. 2011. Varietal variations in flag leaf area and yield in mutant lines of
243 py5 rice. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 24(4): 525-526.

244 Puteh AB, Saragih AA, Ismail MR, Mondal MMA. 2013. Grain yield of cultivated and weedy rice to water
245 stress at reproductive stage. *J. Food Agric. Environ.* 11(2): 742-746

246 Puteh AB, Mondal MMA, Ismail MR, Latif MA. 2014. Grain sterility in relation to dry mass production and
247 distribution of rice. *Biomed Res. Intl.* dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/302179

248 Russell DF. 1986. MSTAT-C Package Programme. Crop and Soil Science Department, Michigan
249 University, USA.

250 Saragih AA, Puteh AB, Ismail MR, Mondal MMA. 2013. Pollen quality traits of cultivated and weedy rice to
251 water stress at reproductive stage. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 7: 1106-11.

252 Sharma AP, Singh SP. 2000. Relationship of physiological attributes with grain yield in rice. *Agric. Sci.*
253 *Digest.* 20 (3): 191-192.

254 Selvaraj IC, Nagarajan P, Thiyagarajan K, Bharathi M, Rabindran R. 2011. Genetic parameters of
255 variability, correlation and path coefficient studies for grain yield and other yield attributes among
256 rice blast disease resistant genotypes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 10: 3322-3334.

257 Sinclair TR, Purcell LC, Sneller CH. 2004. Crop transformation and the challenge to increase yield
258 potential. *Trends plant sci.* 9(2):70-75.

259 Takai T, Adachi S, Taguchi-Shiobara F, Sanoh-Arai Y, Iwasawa N, Yoshinaga S, Hirose S, Taniguchi Y,
260 Yamanouchi U, Wu J, et al. 2013. A natural variant of NAL1, selected in high-yield rice breeding
261 programs, pleiotropically increases photosynthesis rate. *Sci. Rep.* 3: 2149.

262 Takeda K. 1977. Internode elongation and dwarfism in some gramineous plants. *Gamma Field Symp.*
263 16:1-18.

264 Teale WD, Paponov IA, Palme K. 2006. Auxin in action: signalling, transport and the control of plant
265 growth and development. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 7: 847-859.

266 Togay N, Togay Y, Yildirim B, Dogan Y. 2008. Relationships between yield and some yield components in
267 pea (*Pisum sativum* ssp. *arvense* L.) genotypes by using correlation and path analysis. *Afr. J.*
268 *Biotechnol.* 7(23): 4285-4287.

269 Wang Y, Li J. 2008. Molecular basis of plant architecture. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59:253-279


270 Wu X. 2009. Prospects of developing hybrid rice with super high yield. *Agron. J.* 101:688-695

271 Xue W, Xing Y, Weng X, Zhao Y, Tang W, et al. 2008. Natural variation in *Ghd7* is an important regulator
272 of heading date and yield potential in rice. *Nat. Genet.* 40: 761-767.

273 Yan WH, Liu HY, Zhou XC, Li QP, Zhang J, Lu L, Liu TM, Liu HJ, Zhang CJ, Zhang ZY, Shen GJ, Yao W,
274 Chen HX, Yu SB, Xie WB, Xing YZ. 2013. Natural variation in *Ghd7.1* plays an important role in
275 grain yield and adaptation in rice. *Cell Res.* 23: 969-971


276 Yang J, Peng S, Zhang Z, Wang Z, Visperas RM, Zhu Q. 2002. Grain and dry matter yields and
277 partitioning of assimilate in japonica/indica hybrid rice. *Crop Sci.* 42 (3): 766-772.
278 Yang J, Zhang J. 2010. Grain-filling problem in 'super' rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 61 (1): 1-5.
279 Yoshida H, Horie T. 2009. A process model for explaining genotypic and environmental variation in
280 growth and yield of rice based on measured plant N accumulation. *Field crops res.* 113(3): 227-
281 37.

282
283
284
285
286



287
288 **Fig. 1.** Morphological appearance; A: seedling stage, B: tillering stage, C: maturity stage, D: roots of early
289 maturing rice varieties from left to right; Binadhan-7, Binadhan-17, BRRi dhan33 and BRRi dhan39
290 respectively.


291
292



293

294 **Fig.2.** Ontogenetic tillering pattern of early maturing four rice varieties

295




296

297 **Fig.3.** Internodes elongation patterns; **a** schematic representation of the upper five internodes of four rice
 298 varieties i) Binadhan-7, ii) Binadhan-17, iii) BRRi dhan33 and iv) BRRi dhan39 respectively; **b** relative
 299 contribution of each internode to the total culm length

300

301




302

303


304

Fig.4. Variations in flag leaf length and breadth; **a** leaf length **b** leaf width of four rice varieties i) Binadhan-7, ii) Binadhan-17, iii) BRRi dhan33 and iv) BRRi dhan39 respectively



305

306




307

308 **Fig.5.** Ontogenetic **a** chlorophyll content **b** photosynthetic rate at different growth stages of early maturing
 309 four rice varieties at Mymensingh.

310


311



312

313 **Fig.6.** Total dry mass production at different growth stages in four rice varieties


314




315

316 **Fig.7.** Plant growth rate per day at different growth stages in four early maturing rice varieties

317




318



319

320 **Fig.8.** Microscopic observations; **a** cross-section of the 1st internode **b** the number of large vascular
 321 bundles




322

323 **Fig.9.** Panicle characterization; **a** Panicle architecture **b** Variations in primary and secondary branches of
 324 early maturing four rice varieties
 325

326

326



327

328 **Fig.10.** Relationship between dry weight and grain weight per plant

329

330

331

332

333

334 **Table 1. General characteristics of soil at three different locations**

Soil characteristics	Mymensingh	Magura	Pabna
Textural class	Sandy loam	Silty clay loam	Silty clay loam
Organic matter (%)	0.84/1.17	1.30	1.47
Total nitrogen (%)	0.07	0.06	0.12
Available phosphorus (ppm)	18.5	15.2	14.6
Exchangeable potassium (emol kg ⁻¹)	0.12	0.11	0.11
Available sulphur	18.62	18.9	19.3
pH	6.8	7.3	6.5

335

336

337

338

339

340 **Table 2. Morphological and yield contributing characters of early maturing four rice varieties**

341

Variety	Heading date	Plant height (cm)	Tillers plant ⁻¹ (no)	Effective tillers plant ⁻¹ (no)	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest Index (%)
Binadhan-7	October 2	94.1 b	10.59 a	9.58 a	4.22 b	5.23 c	44.66 a
Binadhan-17	October 1	89.1c	9.58 b	8.41 b	5.07 a	5.85 ab	46.43 a
BRRIdhan33	October 2	102.6 a	7.74 c	7.37 b	4.83 a	5.77 b	45.57 a
BRRIdhan39	October 4	103.7 a	8.99 b	8.12 b	4.20 b	6.10 a	40.78 b
CV%	-	3.38	10.94	12.96	4.70	5.15	7.31

342 Common letter(s) in a column on specific treatment do not differ significantly at 5% level as per DMRT

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351 **Table 3. Comparison of flag leaf in early maturing four rice varieties**

Variety	Leaf angle (°)	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)
Binadhan-7	9.33 a	39.28 bc	1.09 b
Binadhan-17	10.00 a	48.07 a	1.38 a
BRRIdhan33	9.83 a	37.18 c	1.48 a
BRRIdhan39	9.8 a	40.76 b	1.38 a
CV%	3.73	2.67	5.79

352 Common letter(s) in a column on specific treatment do not differ significantly at 5% level as per DMRT

353

354

355

356

357 **Table 4. Yield and yield contributing characters of four early maturing rice varieties**

Varieties	Panicle Length (cm)	Grains panicle ⁻¹	Unfilled grains panicle ⁻¹	1000-grain weight (g)	Seed yield (t ha ⁻¹)			
					Mymensingh	Ishurdi	Magura	Mean
Binadhan-7	24.37 b	122.1 c	20.89 c	21.72 c	3.90 b	4.77 c	3.99 b	4.22 c
Binadhan-17	22.86 c	175.2 a	26.89 b	20.28 d	4.32 a	6.17 a	4.73 a	5.07 a
BRRi dhan33	25.38 ab	136.3 b	36.00 a	24.10 a	4.33 a	5.57 b	4.60 a	4.83 b
BRRi dhan39	26.47 a	143.4 b	35.56 a	23.11 b	4.16 ab	4.97 c	3.47 c	4.20 c
CV%	4.82	7.83	11.07	4.44	4.70	5.10	6.54	5.45

358 Common letter(s) in a column on specific treatment do not differ significantly at 5% level as per DMRT

359