

Modern fungicides: mechanisms of action, fungal resistance and phytotoxic effects

Ekaterina V. Baibakova^{1*}, Elena E. Nefedjeva², Małgorzata Suska-Malawska³, Mateusz Wilk⁴, Galina A. Sevriukova⁵, Vladimir F. Zheltobriukhov⁶

*, ekaterina.baybakova@yandex.ru, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0517-9869>

^{1,2,5,6}Industrial Ecology and Life Safety, The Faculty of Technology of Food Production, Volgograd State technical university, Volgograd, Russia Federation.

^{4,5}Faculty of Biology, Biological and Chemical Research Centre, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

ABSTRACT

The establishment of safe and effective methods for controlling fungal diseases is an urgent issue in agriculture and forestry. Fungicide research has provided a wide range of products with new modes of action. Extensive use of these compounds in agriculture enhances public anxiety due to the harmful potential for the environment and human health. Moreover, the phytotoxic effects of some fungicides are already recognized but still little is known about their influence on the photosynthetic apparatus and plant physiology. This review provides an understanding of the mechanisms of action of fungicides, mechanisms of fungicide resistance development, and the phenomenon of phytotoxicity.

Keywords: *contact fungicides, systemic fungicides, disease control, resistance*

1. INTRODUCTION

Fungicides are chemical substances used for control and treatment of fungal diseases of plants. The employment of fungicides has become widespread in recent decades in agriculture since it was estimated that fungal infections reduce yields of the crops worldwide by nearly 20% (Rohr *et al.*, 2017). Fungicides have become the primary means of fungal disease control due to their relatively low cost, ease of use and efficiency (Xia *et al.*, 2006).

Disease management is an essential component of production for all crops, often having a significant economic impact on their yield and quality. There are three main reasons for using fungicides:

- To control the infection during the establishment and growth of a grain crop;
- To enhance the productivity of cereal and to decrease defects.

Infection may result in a decrease in productivity due to the damage to photosynthetic parts. Defects in the edible parts of the crop or leaves of ornamentals affect their attractiveness, and consequently the market prices;

- To improve the shelf life and quality of produced and harvested plants.

Some of the significant disease damage occurs post-harvest. Harmful fungi often worsen stocks of grain crops, vegetables, and tubers. Several grain-infecting species of *Fusarium*, *Penicillium* or *Aspergillus* produce important mycotoxins which can cause serious illness or even death in humans and animals after eating contaminated food (Marín & Sanchis, 2012). Fungicides have been used to decrease mycotoxin contamination of wheat affected by *Fusarium* head blight, but most fungicides developed so far have not been entirely adequate for the regulation of mycotoxin production associated with other diseases (Forrer *et al.*, 2014). This is due to insufficient knowledge of the protectants mechanisms action and the response of the plant.

The appearance of new strains of fungal pathogens and their resistance to the available commercial products is often associated with extensive use of these compounds (Pablo *et al.*, 2003). What is more, the widespread and frequent use of fungicides in plant protection generates a long-term accumulation of residues in food and the environment (Report on the pesticide residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017, 2017), (Petit *et al.*). In the Report on the pesticide residues

48 monitoring programme in 2017, analyzing vegetables and fruits from 27 countries for contamination
49 with pesticides has shown that dithiocarbamates are among the most common residual
50 contaminants. Accordingly, the excessive use of such compounds in agriculture gave rise to public
51 concerns because of the detrimental effects on the environment and risk for human health (*Report on*
52 *the pesticide residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017*, 2017).

53 For example, the fungicide chlorothalonil – the most common synthetic fungicide in the
54 United States - was shown to be toxic to aquatic animals such as tadpoles, oysters, or fish
55 (Vincelli, 2002).

56 In some cases, fungicides derived from "natural" sources are much safer than synthetic. The
57 primary sources include copper, sulphur, plant oils and bicarbonates. But even copper can be skin
58 irritating, eyes and the respiratory and digestive tracts, while sulphur can result in dermatitis and
59 diarrhea (Southern, 2015). To use any fungicide safely and efficiently, one needs to correctly
60 diagnose the problem and choose the best treatment strategy.

62 2. CLASSIFICATION OF FUNGICIDES

63
64 Fungicides are often classified as protective or system. Protective fungicides are usually
65 effective against a range spectrum of fungi and protect the plant from infection on leaf surface and
66 stems. They often require repeated application during the growing season to provide coverage as
67 new plants appear. Systemic fungicides can be absorbed by the plant without damage and be
68 transported to other tissues where they are toxic to fungi. These compounds can control and fight
69 infections, but they are also vulnerable to resistance to fungi, as they usually target only one step,
70 to kill the fungus. To reduce resistance due to excessive use of chemicals, the fungicides are
71 classified according to their chemical class. By alternating between different classes of fungicides
72 the fungal population is less likely to develop resistance to a particular chemical (Hahn Matthias,
73 2014).

74 Chemically, organic molecules always contain carbon atoms in their structure while most
75 inorganic molecules do not. Initially, first fungicides were inorganic compounds based on sulphur or
76 metal ions (copper, tin, cadmium, mercury) that are known to be toxic to fungi. Currently, fungicides
77 based on copper and sulphur are still widely used. Copper sulphate has been registered for use in
78 the United States since 1956. The copper atom binds to proteins, changing their structure. This
79 may break the membranes around the cells, causing the cells to die. Thus, copper sulfate is
80 effective in the destruction of fungi, algae and even snails. However, most fungicides used today
81 are organic synthetic compounds (Lesemann *et al.*, 2006).

83 2.1. Non-systemic (contact) fungicides

84
85 This type of fungicides has a preventive impact by killing or inhibiting fungi and fungal spores
86 before the mycelia can grow and develop within the plant tissues (Oliver & Hewitt, 2014), but have
87 little or no effect once the fungus has entered or colonized host tissue. Additionally, while non-
88 systemic fungicides generally remain on the surface of plants, they are potentially phytotoxic and
89 can damage the plant when absorbed (Lesemann *et al.*, 2006). Contact action has derivatives
90 dithiocarbamates acid, agents based on sulphur, copper, etc. Thus, this kind of fungicides can be
91 used only as protectants. It is therefore also important to apply them on given plants before known
92 infection period begins to decrease the chance of infection. Contact agents – such as zineb,
93 polycarbonate, copper oxychloride, sulfur, mancozeb, bordeaux liquid and others are not able to cure
94 already diseased plants. Despite their potential harm to plants, non-systemic pesticides are thought
95 to be okay as they can be removed or flushed from the plant before harvest. This makes produce
96 clean from pesticide chemical tainting and thus better for human consumption.

97 Typical examples of the primary contact fungicides are inorganic copper compounds such as
98 Bordeaux mixture, copper carbonate, and inorganic sulphur in the form of elemental sulphur and
99 lime sulphur (Pablo *et al.*, 2003). The organic contact fungicides (e.g., thiram, ferbam, and ziram)
100 play an important role in the comprehensive control of plant diseases since they are more efficient
101 and less toxic than the inorganic compounds (Aynalem & Assefa, 2017; Nasonet *al.*, 2007).

102 Contact fungicides are products suited for preventive (prophylactic) use as they work by contact
103 action on the surface of the plant. Therefore, to protect new plant growth and renewal of the material
104 washed off by rain or irrigation, or degraded by such environmental factors as wind and the amount of
105 UV, repeated applications are necessary. The protective action of these fungicides does not exceed 10-

106 12 days before the first heavy rain, after which the treatment is repeated. The number of treatments with
107 a fungicide of contact action is 3 to 6 treatments per season. During processing, it is necessary to spray
108 not only the surface of the leaves but the underside too, since many types of fungi begin to grow from
109 the underside of the leaves. For example, for processing potatoes the rate of application may be every
110 7 days during the month (Johnson, Hamm, & Sunseri, 2014).

111 Contact fungicides do not penetrate deeply in the plant tissue and are easily removed,
112 leaving a clean product for consumption. They are effective with timely treatment and following
113 instructions. Because of this, and due to relatively low prices (but it should be remembered that
114 their consumption is much higher than systemic fungicides), they are still extensively used for
115 plant protection even though new, more potent fungicides are developed.

116 2.2. Systemic Fungicides

117 Systemic fungicides are absorbed by the plant and transported to the site of infection. These
118 compounds can, therefore, kill the fungus after the mycelia have penetrated the parenchyma of the
119 plant tissue, stopping the spread of infection (Oliver & Hewitt, 2014). Some systemic fungicides move
120 within the plant only a short distance from the site of penetration. This is local-systemic fungicides.
121 The dicarboximide fungicides are one example of this group (González and Caetano, 2017). The
122 dicarboximide fungicides, iprodione, procymidone, vinclozolin, chlozolinat, and metomeclan are
123 especially promising for the control of plant diseases caused by species of *Botrytis*, *Sclerotinia*,
124 *Monilinia*, *Alternaria*, *Sclerotium*, and *Phoma* (Dias, 2012). The mode of action of these compounds
125 is apparently related to the inhibition of triglyceride biosynthesis in fungi (Borgers Marcel, 1980).

126 Some locally systemic fungicides cross the leaf plate from one leaf surface to the other but do
127 not spread inside the plant. Those fungicides are called translaminar, i.e. trifloxystrobin (Mueller,
128 2006). Systemic fungicides, which are called xylem-mobile or acropetal systemics, move inside the
129 water-conducting tissue (xylem), which raises them up in the transpiration flow, however, mobility
130 within the plant is limited. For example, DMI fungicides are moderately mobile within plants. Others
131 are very mobile and easily move around the xylem. The examples of systemic fungicides which are
132 mobile in xylem are thiophanate-methyl and mefanox (Vincelli and Clarke, 2017). The third type of
133 systemic fungicide is a phloem-mobile system, compound circulates in phloem out of the sheet
134 where deposited upwards to the other leaves and downwards to the roots (Lesemann *et al.*, 2006).
135 Only one example of this type of systemic exists among turfgrass fungicides: the phosphonates,
136 which include fosetyl-Al and the phosphites (Vincelli and Clarke, 2017).

137 Systemic fungicides can be used as protectants, eradicants, or both, and are the most
138 recently developed and the most promising type of fungicides at the moment (Pablo *et al.*, 2003).
139 Though systemic fungicides usually have a particular location of the action, fungi may quickly
140 develop resistance to them if they are managed inappropriately (Lucas J. A., 2009).

141 Highly specific modern fungicides block only one target in the pathogen (monospecific
142 fungicides or single-site inhibitors). Deising and his colleagues (Deising *et al.* 2008) state that
143 "examples of single-site inhibitors are the benzimidazoles, phenylamides and strobilurins, released
144 to the market in the late 1970es and the mid 1990es" (Miguez *et al.*, 2004).

145 Extensively used in agriculture are also benzimidazoles, a group of organic fungicides with
146 systemic action. These types of compounds control a wide range of fungi at a comparatively low cost
147 of treatment (Bernauer *et al.*, 2015). For example, benomyl is one of the most effective and
148 extensively used benzimidazoles in crop protection (Pablo *et al.*, 2003). The benzimidazoles
149 benomyl, carbendazim, and thiabendazole and the phenylcarbamate diethofencarb specifically
150 interfere with the formation of microtubules, which function in a variety of cellular processes, including
151 mitosis and maintenance of the cell shape (Saladin *et al.*, 2003; Elslahi *et al.*, 2014). These fungicides
152 bind specifically to protein subunits called tubulin and prevent their assembly from forming
153 microtubules.

154 The main difference between the effects of systemic and contact fungicides is that the first one
155 sometimes suppresses the fungus after infection of the plant, whereas the second one must be
156 present on the plants surface before infecting. Gradually, since the 1960s, systemic fungicides
157 replaced non-systemic non-systemic preparation, providing higher levels of plant protection (Dias
158 Maria Celeste, 2012). However, compared with the non-systemics, systemic fungicides are roughly
159 twice as expensive regarding sales (McGrath, 2004).

160

161 3. BREADTH OF ACTIVITY

162

163 Depending on the scope of their targets, fungicides can be classified as single-site or multi-
164 site. Single-site fungicides are active against one point in one metabolic pathway of the fungus
165 (Mueller, 2006). Examples of such fungicides can be various different drugs with one active
166 ingredient, such as prothioconazole, pyraclostrobin, fludioxonil, the benzimidazoles (benomyl,
167 thiophanatemethyl) and others. However, there are connections that are not very desirable to use
168 alone, for example, azoxystrobin is recommended to use as a mixture with other fungicides having
169 a different mechanism of action (Jørgensen et al., 2018). The probability of the pathogen's
170 development resistance, in this case, is significantly reduced because resistant isolates to one
171 fungicide will be killed by another fungicide. The effectiveness of this method can be demonstrated
172 by Metalaxyl, phenylamide fungicide. When used as the sole compound in Ireland to combat
173 pollution in potatoes (*Phytophthora infestans*) resistance developed within one growing season.
174 However, in countries such as the UK where it was sold only as a mixture, resistance problems
175 developed more slowly (Vincelli and Clarke, 2017).

176 On the other hand, because of this specific activity, fungi are more likely to develop
177 resistance to the fungicide (Lesemann et al., 2006).

178 Multi-site fungicides can target multiple locations (different metabolic pathways). But single-
179 site fungicides are considered less toxic to plants. Older contact fungicides such as mancozeb,
180 fluazinam etc have multi-site activity and affect many fungal species in different classes
181 (*Sclerotinia*, *Botrytis*, *Alternaria*, *Phytophthora*, *Peronospora*) (Cameron, 2016). Due to the rise in
182 the stringency and number of normative tests required to register a new active ingredient, fungicide
183 manufacturers have found it easier to develop single-site systemics recently (McGrath, 2004).
184 Consequently, fungicide resistance has become a more critical issue in disease regulation.
185 Examples of narrow-spectrum fungicides can be Folplan and Karatan (Ganiev and Nedorezkov,
186 2006).

187 The active ingredient of Folplan – folpet derived phthalimide. Folplan, has a narrow spectrum
188 of activity, suppresses the development of pathogens *Phytophthora* and other fungi, except for
189 much national (Ganiev and Nedorezkov, 2006). To broaden the spectrum of action can be mixed
190 with other systemic fungicides, insecticides, which have no alkaline reaction (Paranjape et al.,
191 2014). Folplan registered and approved for use on potatoes and grapes. Suppresses the
192 development of *Phytophthora*, *Peronospora*, *Oidium*, *Botrytis*. The flow rate - about 3.0 kg/ha. The
193 maximum number of treatments – two for a season (Ganiev and Nedorezkov, 2006).

194 The active substance of Karatan – dinocap derived nitrophenol. It suppresses the
195 development of powdery mildew pathogens and has acaricidal action. Ineffective against
196 peronosporic fungi. Can be mixed with other fungicides and insectoacaricides, which have no
197 alkaline reaction. The duration of the protective effect in the optimal concentrations of 10-15 days.
198 It is advisable to use prophylactic. The fungicide does not penetrate the leaves and fruit, so it's
199 easy to rinse them. Karatan is registered and approved for use on cucumbers the closed and open
200 soil, grapes, Apple, pear. The flow rate of the drug is 0.5-2.0 l/ha. The maximum number of
201 treatments – three for season (Ganiev and Nedorezkov, 2006).

202

203 4. APPLICATION METHODS

204

205 Fungicides can be produced in the form of dust, granules, gas, but most often fluid.
206 Depending on the type there are different methods of application:

207 1. Treat of planting material (mordanting). Fungicides can be applied in various solutions or
208 incrustation of seeds, dry method or humidification, encapsulating or pelleting.

209 2. Application to the soil. This process is suitable when dealing with soil-borne pathogens.
210 Most of these fungicides have low selectivity and thus eliminate not only bacteria and fungi but also
211 the larvae of insect pests which could be of concern for environmental protection.

212 3. Spraying. The manual sprayers are used, as well as a specialized automobile or aircraft
213 vehicles. Spraying can be carried out repeatedly in the rate of appearance of the young vegetative
214 organs of the plant, the duration (Woodward et al., 2015) of action of a fungicide, and the risk of re-
215 infection (Lee Butler, 2006).

216 Great importance in the success of seed protection is the correct timing of fungicide
217 treatment. Thus, seed disinfectants are commonly used in packing material deposited in the late
218 summer or autumn, and fungicides are used for spraying perennial plants during dormancy in late
219 fall, winter or early spring, as they can be dangerous to growing plants (Hasan et al., 2013;

220 Shuping & Eloff, 2017). Currently, in addition to the use of the described methods to prevent
221 spoilage during storage, fruit treatment by fungicides is also practised (Clayton *et al.*, 2016).
222

223 5. ROLE OF FUNGICIDES IN DISEASE MANAGEMENT 224

225 Forecasting systems are developed for many diseases based on an understanding of the
226 environmental conditions favourable for pathogen development. Typically, these are based on
227 temperature and relative humidity or leaf wetness in the area with a growing crop (Kerr & Keane,
228 1997). Threshold-based fungicide programs involve routinely scouting the crop for symptoms, then
229 applying fungicides when the number of signs reaches a critical level beyond which the disease
230 cannot be controlled adequately (McGrath, 2004). In general, the most crucial aspect of developing
231 and using forecasting systems is the knowledge of the disease cycle of the pathogen. The disease
232 cycle determines whether the disease is monocyclic (one generation per year) or polycyclic
233 (multiple generations) and latent period (time between infection and symptom expression) is also
234 essential aspect (Suffert & Thompson, 2018).

235 There are examples of an artificial neural network (ANN) capable of predicting diseases
236 based on existing data. They perform extraordinarily complex calculations imitating biological in the
237 real world without about course to exact quantitative. Back-propagation neural network (BPNN) is
238 the most important and widely used one (Ming-wang Shi, 2011). The RBF network is used in Ming-
239 wang Shi research, which is one of the new effective neural networks and is realized through a
240 linear combination of nonlinear primary functions from the space R^N into a spatial RM through
241 nonlinear transformation. He applied the GM Model (1,1) to predict plant diseases collected during
242 the simulations. The results of the experiments show that the coincidence of the GM model
243 parameter (1,1) coincides with the standard deviation of the disease index and incidence. This
244 indicates that the GM system (1,1) is effective for the analysis of morbidity, and the parameters GM
245 (1,1) may well reflect the change in the incidence of plants (Ming-wang Shi, 2011).

246 Another interesting example of plant diseases prediction is the using of electric fields (Benelli
247 Jesse, 2013; Kuna-Broniowski *et al.*, 2015). In the work of Marek Kuna-Broniowski and etc., this
248 method is used to predict the spread of plant diseases from the *Septoria* by determining the
249 splashing of raindrops. Most existing methods use climate conditions, calendar measurements, and
250 disease cycles to predict infections (Donatelli *et al.*, 2017). However, it is important to take into
251 account the spraying of rain droplets as a method of transporting spores to higher parts of plants
252 and neighbouring plants. Measurements of the scattering range and the number of spray particles
253 using an electric field are achieved using a measuring system that allows accurate and reliable
254 measurement of the dispersion range of sprayed droplets (Kuna-Broniowski *et al.*, 2015).

255 Economic factors often influence the choice of fungicide and application timing. The most
256 expensive fungicides and numerous applications are used on valuable plantings that might suffer a
257 significant economic loss in the absence of treatment, for example, fruit trees (Untiedt & Blanke,
258 2004). The crop tolerance level, or detriment threshold, can change depending upon the stage of
259 the crop development when attacked, crop management practices, climatic and location conditions
260 (Kjøhl *et al.*, 2011).

261 It is important to use the correct type of fungicide at the right time of year because one of the
262 fungicide side-effects is phytotoxicity, i.e. a toxic effect on (beneficial) plants. For example,
263 trifloxystrobin, which is often applied to *Vitis vinifera* vines, can damage and even kill some trees of
264 the genus *Malus*. However, trifloxystrobin is dangerous for particular grape cultivars but not others
265 (can cause injury to *Vitis labrusca*) (Vincelli, 2002). Some fungicides are even more specific, such
266 as triazole + Qols that cannot be applied to glycine max later than during a growth stage known as
267 R5 (Cameron, 2016).
268

269 6. THE MAIN CLASSES OF FUNGICIDE AND PLANT PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES 270

271 There are five main chemical classes of fungicides (Table 1). The largest group of them is
272 triazoles. Fungicides of this class have been using against pathogens of various diseases of fruit
273 and vegetable crops. Substances differ in the degree of activity, the spectrum of effects on
274 pathogens, the rate of consumption, the grade of risk to ecosystems, the population and working
275 personnel, the payback of the costs of their use. Despite the wide range of action, triazoles have
276 disadvantages. The systematic use of preparation based on triazoles leads to the emergence of

277 resistant fungal strains. For example, triadimefon does not completely inhibit the fungal germination
 278 of the genus *Puccinia*.

279 The widely accepted assumption that fungicide has low phytotoxicity has started to be
 280 outdated with the publication of more detailed analyses at the cell level that demonstrated several
 281 damages to the photosynthetic apparatus (Petit et al., 2008; Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003).

282 **Table 1 – The major classes of fungicides and their effects**

Chemical class	Fungicides	Mechanism of action	Fungi	Resistance	Phytotoxicity	References
Triazoles	tebuconazole, prothioconazole, diphenconazole, ciproconazole, propiconazole, epoxiconazole, flutriafol, triadimefon, triticonazole, diniconazole	Inhibit sterol biosynthesis	<i>Botrytis</i> , <i>Ustilago</i> , <i>Cercospora</i> , <i>Tilletia</i> , <i>Zymoseptoria</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Cochliobolus</i> , <i>Erysiphe</i> , <i>Alternaria</i> , <i>Puccinia</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Pythium</i> , <i>Drechslera</i> , <i>Pyrenophora</i> , <i>Rhynchosporium</i> , <i>Cladosporium</i> , <i>Epicoccum</i> , <i>Phoma</i>	The systematic use of drugs based on triazoles causes resistance. The triadimefon does not completely inhibit the germination of conidia and rust urediospores.	there is a violation of the synthesis of gibberellins (retardant effect), the synthesis of sterols, a decrease in transpiration of plants	(Cools et al., 2013; Dias 2012; Mueller, 2006), (Ahemad and Khan, 2012; Costa et al., 2017)
Phenylpyrroles	fluodioxonyl	Inhibit micellar growth, reduce glucose phosphorylation during cell respiration, disrupt the function of cell membranes	<i>Tilletia</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Ascochyta</i> , <i>Alternaria</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Aspergillus</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia</i> , <i>Helminthosporium</i>	Low risk of resistance due to the mechanism of action	decrease CO ₂ assimilation, transpiration, stomatal conductance and intercellular CO ₂ concentration	(Petit et al., 2008; Saladin et al., 2003; Kilani and Fillinger, 2016; Lew, 2010; Ren et al., 2016)
Strobilurins	picoxystrobin, fluoxastrobin, azoxystrobin, trifloxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, krezoxim-methyl	Inhibit mitochondrial respiration by blocking electron transport in the cytochrome b and c ₁ chain	<i>Puccinia</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Pyrenophora</i> , <i>Alternaria</i> , <i>Cladosporium</i> , <i>Epicoccum</i> , <i>Botrytis</i> , <i>Rhynchosporium</i> , <i>Drechslera</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia</i> , <i>Ustilago</i> , <i>Erysiphe</i>	Field resistance was recorded in <i>Oidium erysiphoides</i> , <i>Erysiphe graminis</i> , <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> . When strobilurins inhibit the activity of cytochrome b, alternative pathways of electron transport can easily be activated	in the plant are rapidly hydrolyzed by ether linkage. During periods of drought, damage is exacerbated	(Balba, 2007; Reddy, 2012; Vincelli, 2002; Wojdyła, 2007)
Benzimidazoles	prochloraz, thiabendazole, thiophanate-methyl, benomyl, carbendazim	Inhibit the synthesis of ergosterol in the fungal cell and disrupt its life activity	<i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Botrytis</i> , <i>Sclerotinia</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Uncinula</i> , <i>Erysiphe</i>	Stable pathogenic strains: <i>Pseudocercospora</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Erysiphe</i> ,	decrease plant biomass. induces a considerable reduction on the chlorophyll	(Dias, 2012; Isaac, 1992; Deising, et al., 2008)

					a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, and the total pigments content	
Morpholines (cinnamic acid derivatives)	spiroxamine, dimethomorph	Prevent the formation of mycelium and block the reduction of the double compound C-C and ergosterol synthesis	<i>Erysiphe, Uncinula, Septoria, Puccinia</i>	Stable fungal strains form slowly, fungicides block the reduction reactions in the process of sterol biosynthesis and isomerization	decrease of the sterols synthesis	(Biol et al., 2013; Isaac, 1992)

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

Triazoles also have phytotoxicity to protected plants. In a significant amount, fungicides cause a retardant effect (impaired synthesis of gibberellins); violate the synthesis of sterols, reduce transpiration of plants (Tom Allen, 2013). Triadimenol and propiconazole delay the removal of the primary leaf and violate its geotropism in the processing of cereal seeds. Tebuconazole can pass into the retardant under unfavourable conditions (waterlogging of the soil, lack of moisture, low germination energy, etc.). The same properties are inherent in triticonazole, to a lesser extent - to other azoles. But as the review "Constraints on the evolution of azole resistance in plant pathogenic fungi" says, today, the azoles still apply in the fight against pathogens of many culture, including grains, fruits and vegetables, canola and soybeans, despite numerous reports of azole-resistant fungal strains (Cools et al., 2013).

The next well-known group of fungicides (over 30 years old) is phenylpyrrole. They are chemical analogues of the natural antifungal compound pyrrolnitrin (Kilani & Fillinger, 2016). Currently, fungicoxon is used as the active substance of fungicides. Phenylsilyl inhibits all stages of fungal development, germination of spores, lengthening of the embryonic tubes and mycelium growth. The observed consequences are swollen hyphae with increased branching and apical lysis, which indicate that phenylpyrrols can act on the biosynthesis of the intragenic turgor and cell wall (Lew, 2010).

Recently strains resistant to fludioxonil have been isolated from *B. cinerea* populations in China at low levels (<3%). They represent typical osmosensitivity and developmental defects of fludioxonil resistant mutants (Ren et al., 2016), which raises the question of their ability to compete with sensitive and severe strains and the selective pressure of fungicide treatments on these specific populations. Globally, there is no specific resistance to fludioxonil among grey mould populations that support the high efficacy of this fungicide (Walker et al., 2013).

To avoid the emergence of resistance to phenylpyrroles, combined preparations should be used or alternate with different mechanisms of action. In addition to problems with possible resistance, there is a risk of phytotoxic effects in relation to protecting plants (Petit et al., 2008). For example, in research of Petit A.N, Fontaine F, Clément and Vaillant-Gaveau N (Petit et al., 2008) and also Saladin G, Magñe C, Clément C (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003) about effects of fludioxonil in *Vitis vinifera L.* These reports have shown that application of fungicides has consequences for plant physiology, such as a plant growth reduction, perturbation of reproductive organ development, alteration of nitrogen, and/or carbon metabolism and limit photosynthetic activity (Petit et al., 2008; Leroux, 1996; Saladin et al., 2003).

Saladin et al. reported that *in vitro* application of some fungicides, i.e. fludioxonil, and a systemic fungicide pyrimethanil, promoted different physiological responses of plants. Firstly, both fungicides decreased net CO₂ assimilation, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and intercellular CO₂ concentration; secondly, in the fruiting cuttings, the fungicides affected CO₂ exchange neither transpiration rates (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003).

Strobilurin group includes synthetic substances similar in structure to natural fungicidal toxins - strobilurins A and B, isolated from the culture of microorganisms *Strobilurus tenacellus* (Balba, 2007). Strobilurins are recommended to be used first in the growing season because they rapidly

324 reduce the ability of resistant to triazole forms to their development on leaves. In addition, the
325 selection pressure is reduced, since the level of the inoculum is the lowest at the beginning of the
326 growing season. Due to the wide range of activities and practical safety for the environment,
327 strobilurins are considered to be the most significant group of fungicides that appeared after the
328 preparations of the triazole classes. These substances can be attributed to biofungicides since they
329 are of natural origin (Reddy, 2012). High resistance to strobilurins (for example, 200 times less
330 sensitive to them in powdery mildew of wheat) is due to a one-point mutation in that part of the
331 cytochrome b molecule, which determines the binding of this enzyme to fungicides. At the same time,
332 the active centre of the enzyme does not change, and the resistant (mutant) forms of fungi do not
333 lose their viability as a result of mutation and the acquisition of resistance to strobilurins. It is also
334 possible the cross-resistance between strobilurins-methoxyacrylates, oximinoacetates and non-
335 strobilurins with a similar mechanism of action-oxazolinediones. Resistance is registered in
336 *Oidium erysipoides*, *Erysiphe graminis*, *Botrytis cinerea* (Leroux *et al.*, 2000). To prevent
337 resistance, only 1-2 treatments (in some cases, three) at intervals of 14-16 days are permitted
338 during the season and only preparation in the fungicide alternation system with a different
339 mechanism of action from strobilurins (Benelli Jesse, 2013) are allowed. For vegetable and fruit, it
340 is triazoles, ethylenebisdithiocarbamates, preparations based on copper and sulfur. When
341 processing annuals in the treated area, it is necessary to practice changing cultures (Reddy, 2012).

342 Some reports suggested that the systemic fungicide strobilurin may improve the water status
343 and stress management of plants under conditions of drought stress (Paranjape, *et al.*, 2014; Barr
344 *et al.* 2005). Nason Mark and his colleagues in their work 'Strobilurin fungicides induce changes in
345 photosynthetic gas exchange that do not improve water use efficiency of plants grown under
346 conditions of water stress' (Nason *et al.*, 2007) showed that the application of beta-
347 methoxyacrylate, a strobilurin fungicide, improve the water use efficiency only in well-watered
348 *Triticum aestivum* and *Hordeum vulgare* plants. However, when these plants were under drought
349 stress, strobilurin strongly reduced net CO₂ assimilation, intercellular CO₂ concentration,
350 transpiration rate, and rate of stomatal conductance to water. In this study, net CO₂ assimilation
351 reduction seems to be related to stomatal conductance decrease. It is possible that stomata
352 respond to strobilurin-induced changes in mesophyll photosynthesis either by sensing changes in
353 the intercellular CO₂ concentration or by responding to the pool size of an unidentified C-fixing
354 substrate. It is also possible that the effects of strobilurin fungicides are mediated via ABA-based
355 chemical signalling (Mueller, 2006).

356 The analysis of several chlorophylls a fluorescence parameter of plants treated with
357 fungicides (Xia *et al.*, 2006), 14, (Mueller, 2006; Deising *et al.*, 2008) demonstrated that light
358 reactions of photosynthesis are also sensitive to fungicide exposure. Bader and Abdel-Basset
359 showed, for the first time, that fungicides of the triformin type (a systemic and contact fungicide)
360 strongly inhibit electron-transport reactions of chloroplasts. Moreover, the application of systemic
361 fungicides, benzimidazoles and triazole, and a dithiocarbamate contact fungicide affected the
362 effective quantum yield of PSII as well as the maximal quantum efficiency of PSII (Fv/Fm). This
363 reduction was attributed to the decrease in photochemical quenching (qP) (Xia *et al.*, 2006),
364 (Deising *et al.*, 2008). In *Glycine max*, strobilurin fungicides application reduced the ratio of Fv/Fm.
365 Strobilurin fungicides seem to block the transport of electrons between PSII and PSI by binding to
366 the Qi site of the chloroplast cytochrome bf complex (Mueller, 2006).

367 Benzimidazole formulations were among the first systemic fungicides to appear on the market.
368 Benzimidazole derivatives are effective against diseases of vegetative organs, as well as a complex
369 of phytopathogens transmitted between seeds, so they find wide application as seed disinfectants
370 (Cameron, 2016). The narrow selectivity of the action contributes to a sufficiently rapid selection of
371 resistant genotypes and the formation of a resistant population after a systematic (within 3-4 years)
372 use of substantive of this group (Pablo *et al.*, 2003). Several reports show a decrease in biomass
373 production in fungicide-treated plants: benomyl, a systemic fungicide, reduced the growth of
374 *Gossypium hirsutum*, *Helianthus annuus*, *Cucumis sativus*, *Lactuca sativa*, and *Pinus taeda*
375 (Hunsche *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, the application of carbendazim (systemic benzimidazole
376 fungicide) in *Nicotiana tabacum* affected negatively plant biomass (Pablo *et al.*, 2003).

377 Pigment biosynthesis is reported by Ahmed *et al.* (Hunsche *et al.*, 2007) to be inhibited by
378 benomyl. This fungicide induces a considerable reduction on the chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b,
379 carotenoids, and the content of the total pigment of *Helianthus annuus* plants (Hunsche *et al.*, 2007).
380 Similarly, the treatment of *Vitis vinifera* with fludioxonil and *Nicotiana tabacum* with carbendazim also
381 decreases the chlorophyll and carotenoid content (Pablo *et al.*, 2003; Saladin *et al.*, 2003). Van Iersel,

382 Bugbee, Changjun Chen and his colleagues reported leaf chlorosis after benomyl application on
383 *Impatiens walleriana*, *Cucumis sativus*, *Celosia plumosa* *Petunia* hybrid, and *Lycopersicon*
384 *esculentum* (Deising *et al.*, 2008; Changjun Chen, *et al.*, 2007).

385 There is also a phenomenon of cross-resistance. Fungi that are resistant to one fungicide
386 are often also resistant to other fungicides from the same chemical class. Sometimes between
387 fungicides from different chemical classes, there is a negative cross-resistance. For example, one
388 such case was identified in the study of two major pathogens (*Mycosphaerella graminicola* and
389 *Tapesia acuformis*) of winter wheat in France. Negative cross-resistance to edifenphos and several
390 sterol biosynthesis inhibitors, such as prochloraz and fenpropimorph, was observed in strains
391 resistant to fenhexylamide (Leroux *et al.*, 2000). The reason for this phenomenon may be that a
392 genetic modification that occurs under the action of a single fungicide and imparts resistance to it,
393 makes the resistant isolate more susceptible to another fungicide (McGrath, 2004).

394 Morpholines are a class of low-toxic and highly effective fungicides, one of the first groups of
395 sterol synthesis inhibitors. They are part of the combined preparations. Although other inhibitors of
396 sterol synthesis outperform the group of morpholines by economic parameters, these substances
397 again acquire importance for the problem of the resistance to fungicides (Lamberth, 2012). In
398 contrast to triazoles, morpholines block the isomerization and reduction reactions in the process of
399 sterols biosynthesis, therefore the populations of fungi that are resistant to them are formed much
400 more slowly. According to the spectrum of action on pathogens, morpholines do not differ from
401 triazoles but require higher application rates. Despite the slow development of resistant strains,
402 there is a potential for dimethomorph to develop resistant strains of pathogens that do not have
403 cross-resistance to phenylamides.

404 There are cases of phytotoxicity with substances from other chemical classes. In study Yuba
405 R. Kandela, Daren S. Mueller and etc. (Kandel *et al.*, 2018) says that preemergence herbicides and
406 seed treatment fluopyram each has led to increased phytotoxicity in the VC-V1 growth stage in
407 soybean compared to the untreated control. Physiological studies after fungicide application on
408 several species reported modifications of both photosynthetic activity and chlorophyll a
409 fluorescence [(Saladin Gaëlle *et al.*, 2003). Decreased CO₂ assimilation in fungicide-treated plants
410 is attributed to both stomatal (due to stomatal closure) (Xia *et al.*, 2006) and nonstomatal effects
411 due to a disruption in the capacity of RuBisCO carboxylation, decrease of RuBisCO content, and/or
412 reduction of the ribulose 1.5 biphosphate regeneration (Petit *et al.*, 2008; Mueller, 2006).

413 Modifications of dark respiration were reported after mancozeb (contact fungicide) and
414 flusilazol (systemic fungicide) application in *Malus domestica*. The increase in dark respiration can
415 be explained by additional energy requirement, metabolic breakdown of the compound, and/or
416 activation of the alternative, cyanide-insensitive, respiration. Curiously, the treatment with
417 strobilurin fungicides induced different responses: while in *Triticum aestivum* and in *Spinacia*
418 *oleracea* plants respiration was inhibited (Paranjape *et al.*, 2014; Pantazopoulou and Diallinas,
419 2007) in *Triticum aestivum* dark respiration was reduced (Mueller, 2006).

420 The most crucial aspect of work of fungicides is their efficiency against fungal pathogens or
421 their residues in crops (*Report on the pesticide residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017*,
422 2017), (Saladin *et al.*, 2003). Several reports found that some fungicides can improve plant
423 defences through phytoalexin synthesis and cell wall lignification or stimulate enzymes involved in
424 the synthesis of phenolic compounds (Saladin *et al.*, 2003; War *et al.*, 2012). Others describe the
425 supposed protective role of fungicides for crops against various types of stress factors. Wu and
426 Von Tiedemann (Petit *et al.*, 2008; Untiedt and Blanke, 2004) described the protective function of
427 triazoles in *Hordeum vulgare* and *Arachis hypogaea* against ozone exposure or salt stress by
428 stimulating antioxidative enzymes. Furthermore, azoxystrobin and epoxiconazole were shown to
429 retard senescence of *Triticum aestivum* primarily due to an expansion of the antioxidative potential
430 protecting the plants from damage by active oxygen species (Untiedt and Blanke, 2004).
431 Muthukumarasamy and Panneerselvam described the induction of the synthesis of photosynthetic
432 pigments and proteins in treated plants (Indian Council Of Agricultural Research, 2011). However,
433 only small number of studies have considered the question of whether these products boost or
434 inhibit physiological and metabolic activities in the plant tissues (Pablo *et al.*, 2003), and the
435 negative impact of fungicides on photosynthesis, pigment content, growth, and alterations in the
436 reproductive organs was poorly analyzed (Petit *et al.*, 2008; Saladin *et al.*, 2003).

437 The decrease in photosynthesis rate intensely influences plant biomass production and
438 growth rates. Information about fungicide effects on plant physiology (especially on photosynthesis)

439 is decisive for the understanding of the primary regulatory mechanisms and the phytotoxicity of a
440 given compound (Petit *et al.*, 2008).

441

442 8. MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI RESPONSES

443

444 Fungicidal compositions for seeds containing a multi-ingredient system are targeted at
445 multiple metabolic processes. And many researchers in this field are concerned with the question:
446 can these fungicides to inhibit inappropriate soil fungi, such as obligate plant symbiotic arbuscular
447 mycorrhizal fungi (AMF).

448 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are symbionts of plants, which interrelate with approximately 80% of
449 plant species (Cameron, 2016). For example, multilateral interactions between roots and
450 mycorrhizal fungi can have a synergistic effect on the growth and systemic priming of wheat
451 (Pérez-de-Luque *et al.*, 2017). These symbionts often have a beneficial effect on the host plant,
452 increasing nutrient intake and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses, improving soil quality in
453 cropping systems.

454 The study of Xue-Li He and his colleagues in the journal Huan Jing Ke Xue (He *et al.*,
455 2012) says that in the treatment with benomyl, the content of K in the shoot and the Fe in the root
456 decreased significantly in mycorrhizal plants; in the treatment with difenoconazole, the total N and
457 K content in the shoot also decreased, Ca in the roots; mycorrhizal colonization, total P, K and Cu
458 content in the shoot, the total amount of N, Ca, Zn and Fe in the root was significantly reduced with
459 fluosilazole. The inhibitory effect of flusilazole on the colonization of *Glomus mosseae* and the
460 growth of *Scutellaria baicalensis* were higher than with difenoconazole and benomyl (He *et al.*,
461 2012).

462 But in other studies, in the analysis of corn (*Zea mays* L.), soybean (*Glycine max* L.) and
463 oats (*Avena sativa* L.) treated with azoxystrobin, fludioxonil, mekenoxane, trifloxystrobin, and
464 pyraclostrobin, no found a significant effect on AM fungal colonization (Cameron *et al.*, 2017).
465 Fungicides were applied according to the recommended dosages. In small amounts, the following
466 negative effects were observed. Corn treated by Cruiser Extreme had significantly lower ($P < 0,05$)
467 colonization of AM fungi compared to the other two fungicides (Trilex, Stamina) and tended to
468 decrease the colonization of AM corn roots as compared to controls ($P = 0,08$). The Cruiser
469 Extreme consists of a locally systemic fungicide (azoxystrobin) inhibiting respiration, a systemic
470 fungicide (mekenoxane) inhibiting the synthesis of nucleic acids, and a contact fungicide
471 (fludioxonil), which prevents the transduction of cells (Dias, 2012).

472 However, in the analysis of soy, the same relation was not found. In oats, the results were
473 lower than the rest, but not lower than the controls (Clayton *et al.*, 2016). The differences in the
474 colonization of AM fungal between fungicidal medication, apparently, are not related to a particular
475 mode of action. There was no relationship between the treatment of fungicide and plant genotype
476 during colonization of AM fungi or the content of plant nutrients (Doe, 2017). The plant genotype
477 has a consistent effect on the colonization of AM fungi and the nutrient content of plants.

478 Schreiner and Bethlenfalvay have shown that a higher variety of AMF can better withstand
479 the negative effects of fungicides (Schreiner & Bethlenfalvay, 1997). The essential role of fungicidal
480 action on AMF can be played by their movement in the plant. As a rule, contact fungicides are less
481 harmful than systemic fungicides when using seeds measured by sporulation, glomalin and
482 biomass of the host plant (Hongyan *et al.*, 2013).

483 Murillo-Williams and Pedersen found that fludioxonil in treated seed had a positive effect
484 on the AMF colonization in soy (*Glycine max* L.) due to a decrease in competition with the
485 aggressive pathogen *Rhizoctonia* spp. (Murillo-Williams and Pedersen, 2008). But in another case,
486 fludioxonil had no significant effect on the colonization of AMF in onions (Hernández-Dorrego and
487 Mestre-Parés, 2010). Thus, the potential negative effects of systemic and contact fungicides on
488 non-targeted, useful AMF are not fully understood and studied (Hongyan *et al.*, 2013). With the
489 recent introduction of commercial modified AMF for large-scale crop production, understanding the
490 effects of fungicides on these beneficial organisms can help minimize the unintentional interactions
491 between fungicides and AMF.

492

493 7. CONCLUSION

494

495 Fungicides are widely used and have become the main means of inhibiting the growth of
496 fungi and fungal spores due to their relatively low cost, high efficiency and ease of use.

497 However, despite the wide variety of existing products and various routes of use, the
498 problem of the emergence of new fungicide-resistant strains of pathogens remains open. Available
499 studies have demonstrated that fungicide application may impair photosynthesis, the synthesis of
500 sterols, gibberellins, transpiration, reduce CO₂ assimilation and biomass, influence on the content
501 of the total pigment. However, reports on phytotoxicity are generally based on a few physiological
502 parameters using a large variety of plant species and different types and concentrations of
503 fungicides, leading in some cases to contradictory results. This significantly jeopardizes a
504 comprehensive knowledge of the primary effects of fungicides on the photosynthesis and certainly
505 deserves further investigation.

506 It may be worthwhile to study in more detail methods for predicting the spread of diseases
507 and testing theories during the development of fungicides using machine learning (i.e. artificial
508 neural network). And as attractive aspects for further fungicide study are such aspects as cross-
509 resistance and negative cross-resistance of different chemical classes fungicides. This knowledge
510 would be extremely useful when developing new preparations.

511 Furthermore, the problem of the negative impact of fungicides on the environment due to
512 their high toxicity still remains unresolved. However, the situation can be improved with the use of
513 new technologies and a deeper understanding of the fungicides mechanism of action. Because of it
514 allows to create preparations with a lower content of active substance, but not less effective. The
515 solution to that problem will provide benefits not only for plants yield but also for the environment
516 and human health.

517 Concerns about the non-targeted effects of fungicides on AMF are mainly focused on the
518 potential impact on natural AMF in integrated management systems. However, understanding the
519 compatibility of fungicides used for seeds, not only with natural but with modified useful AMF, is
520 important if we want to maximize the benefits of both, obtained from sowing crops.

521

522 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

523

524 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

525

526 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

527

528 All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

529

530 **REFERENCES**

531

- 532 Rohr JR, Brown J, Battaglin WA, McMahon TA, Relyea RA. A pesticide paradox: fungicides
533 indirectly increase fungal infections. *Ecol Appl*. 2017;27(8):2290-2302.
534 doi:10.1002/eap.1607
- 535 Xia XJ, Huang YY, Wang L, et al. Pesticides-induced depression of photosynthesis was alleviated
536 by 24-epibrassinolide pretreatment in *Cucumis sativus* L. *Pestic Biochem Physiol*.
537 2006;86(1):42-48. doi:10.1016/J.PESTBP.2006.01.005
- 538 Marín S, Ramos AJ, Cano-Sancho G, Sanchis V. Reduction of mycotoxins and toxigenic fungi in
539 the Mediterranean basin maize chain. *Phytopathol Mediterr*. 2012;51(1):93-118.
540 www.fupress.com/pm. Accessed January 10, 2018.
- 541 Forrer H-R, Musa T, Schwab F, et al. Fusarium head blight control and prevention of mycotoxin
542 contamination in wheat with botanicals and tannic acid. *Toxins* . 2014;6(3):830-849.
543 doi:10.3390/toxins6030830
- 544 Pablo C. García, Rosa M. Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz LR. The Role of Fungicides in the Physiology of
545 Higher Plants: Implications for Defense Responses. *Bot Rev*. 2003;69(2):162-172.
546 doi:10.1663/0006-8101(2003)069[0162:TROFIT]2.0.CO;2
- 547 *Report on the Pesticide Residues Monitoring Programme: Quarter 1 2017*. London; 2017.
548 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/655056/pesti-](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/655056/pesticide-residues-quarter1-2017-report.pdf)
549 [cide-residues-quarter1-2017-report.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/655056/pesticide-residues-quarter1-2017-report.pdf). Accessed January 9, 2018.
- 550 Petit A-N, Fontaine F, Clement, Christophe; Vaillant-Gaveau N. Photosynthesis Limitations of
551 Grapevine after Treatment with the Fungicide Fludioxonil. *Agric food Chem*. 2008;56:6761-
552 6767. doi:10.1021/jf800919u
- 553 Vincelli P. QoI (Strobilurin) Fungicides: Benefits and Risks. *Plant Heal Instr*. 2002. doi:10.1094/PHI-

554 I-2002-0809-02
555 Southern AG. *Liquid copper fungicide*. New Jersey ; 2015.
556 <https://www.domyown.com/msds/SDSCopper.pdf>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
557 Hahn Matthias. The rising threat of fungicide resistance in plant pathogenic fungi: Botrytis as a
558 case study. *J Chem Biol*. 2014;7(4):133-141. doi:10.1007/s12154-014-0113-1
559 Lesemann SS, Schimpke S, Dunemann F, Deising HB. Mitochondrial heteroplasmy for the
560 cytochrome b gene Controls the level of strobilurin resistance in the apple powdery mildew
561 fungus *Podosphaera leucotricha* (Ell. & Ev.) E.S. Salmon. *J Plant Dis Prot*.
562 2006;113(6):259-266. doi:10.1007/BF03356191
563 Oliver RP, Hewitt HG. *Fungicides in Crop Protection*. 2th ed. CAB International; 2014.
564 https://books.google.pl/books/about/Fungicides_in_Crop_Protection_2nd_Editio.html?id=4x0xBQAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y. Accessed January 10, 2018.
565
566 Aynalem B, Assefa F. Effect of Glyphosate and Mancozeb on the Rhizobia Isolated from Nodules
567 of *Vicia faba* L. and on Their N₂-Fixation, North Showa, Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia.
568 *Adv Biol*. 2017;2017:1-7. doi:10.1155/2017/5864598
569 Nason MA, Farrar J, Bartlett D. Strobilurin fungicides induce changes in photosynthetic gas
570 exchange that do not improve water use efficiency of plants grown under conditions of
571 water stress. *Pest Manag Sci Pest Manag Sci*. 2007;63:1191-1200. doi:10.1002/ps.1443
572 Johnson DA, Hamm PB, Miller JS, State W. Fungicide Application for Management of Potato Late
573 Blight in the Columbia Basin. 2014. http://public.wsu.edu/~djohnsn/index_files/Paper4.pdf.
574 Accessed December 21, 2017.
575 González M., Caetano P. SME. Testing systemic fungicides for control of Phytophthora oak root
576 disease. *For Pathol*. 2017;47(4):1-3. doi:10.1111/efp.12343
577 Dias MC. Phytotoxicity: An Overview of the Physiological Responses of Plants Exposed to
578 Fungicides. *J Bot*. 2012;2012:1-4. doi:10.1155/2012/135479
579 Borgers Marcel. Mechanism of Action of Antifungal Drugs, with Special Reference to the Imidazole
580 Derivatives [with Discussion]. *Rev Infect Dis*. 1980;2:520-534. doi:10.2307/4452457
581 Mueller DS. Fungicides: Terminology. *Integr Crop Manag*. 2006:120-123.
582 <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/cropnews>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
583 Paul Vincelli, Bruce Clarke GM. *Chemical Control of Turfgrass Diseases 2017.*; 2017.
584 <http://www2.ca.uky.edu/agcomm/pubs/ppa/ppa1/ppa1.PDF>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
585 Lucas J. A. (John Alexander). *Plant Pathology and Plant Pathogens*. John Wiley & Sons; 2009.
586 <https://books.google.ru/books?id=3hj1mqgPggQC&pg=PA205&lpg=PA205&dq=Though+sy+stemic+fungicides+usually+have+a+particular+location+of+action,+fungi+may+quickly+dev+elop+resistance+to+them+if+they+are+managed+inappropriately&source=bl&ots=FKO8aF1Tr2&sig=ACfU>. Accessed June 18, 2019.
587
588
589 Miguez M, Reeve C, Wood PM, Hollomon DW. Alternative oxidase reduces the sensitivity
590 of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* to QOI fungicides. *Pest Manag Sci*. 2004;60(1):3-7.
591 doi:10.1002/ps.837
592
593 Bernauer O, Gaines-Day H, Steffan S. Colonies of Bumble Bees (*Bombus impatiens*) Produce
594 Fewer Workers, Less Bee Biomass, and Have Smaller Mother Queens Following Fungicide
595 Exposure. *Insects*. 2015;6(4):478-488. doi:10.3390/insects6020478
596 Saladin Gaëlle, Magné Christian CC, Clément C. Effects of fludioxonil and pyrimethanil, two
597 fungicides used against *Botrytis cinerea*, on carbohydrate physiology in *Vitis vinifera* L. *Pest*
598 *Manag Sci*. 2003;59(10):1083-1092. doi:10.1002/ps.733
599 Elslahi RH, Osman AG, Sherif AM, Elhussein AA. Comparative study of the fungicide Benomyl
600 toxicity on some plant growth promoting bacteria and some fungi in pure cultures.
601 *Interdiscip Toxicol*. 2014;7(1):12-16. doi:10.2478/intox-2014-0002
602 McGrath MT. What are Fungicides? *Plant Heal Instr*. 2004. doi:10.1094/PHI-I-2004-0825-01
603 Jørgensen Lise Nistrup, Oliver Richard Peter, Heick Thies Marten. Occurrence and avoidance of
604 fungicide resistance in cereal diseases. In: ; 2018:235-259. doi:10.19103/AS.2018.0039.13
605 Cameron J. Effects of Seed Applied Fungicide on Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Colonization of South
606 Dakota Cultivars of Oat, Soybean, and Corn. 2016. <http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/etd>.
607 Accessed January 18, 2018.
608 Ganiev MM, Nedorezkov VD. Himicheskie Sredstva Zashchity Rastenij. (. Maksimova AS, ed.).
609 Moskva: KolosS; 2006. <http://www.fumigaciya.ru/sites/default/files/public/page/2013-09/517/uchposobiehimsredstvazashchrast.pdf>. Accessed December 21, 2017.
610
611 Paranjape K, Gowariker V, Krishnamurthy VN, Gowariker S. *The Pesticide Encyclopedia*. UK ed.

612 edi. London: CABI; 2014.

613 Woodward JE, Russell SA, Baring MR, Cason JM, Baughman TA. Effects of Fungicides, Time of
614 Application, and Application Method on Control of Sclerotinia Blight in Peanut. *Int J Agron*.
615 2015;8. doi:10.1155/2015/323465

616 Lee Butle LPT. Method and Timing of Fungicide Applications for Control of Spring Dead Spot In
617 Hybrid Bermudagrass. *Plant Heal Prog*. 2006. doi:10.1094/PHP-2006-0901-01-RS

618 Hasan MA, Ahmed JU, Tofazzal H, Mian MAK, Haque MM. Evaluation of the physiological quality
619 of wheat seed as influenced by high parent plant growth temperature. *J Crop Sci*
620 *Biotechnol*. 2013;16(1):69-74. doi:10.1007/s12892-010-0056-1

621 Shuping DSS, Eloff JN. The use of plants to protect plants and food against fungal pathogens: a
622 review. *African J Tradit Complement Altern Med AJTCAM*. 2017;14(4):120-127.
623 doi:10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.14

624 Clayton A. Hollier, Jeffrey W. Hoy, Christopher A. Clark, Charles Overstreet, Jaspreet Sidhu,
625 Melanie L. Lewis Ivey, Raghuwinder Singh, Trey Price III, Mary Helen Ferguson, G. Boyd
626 Padgett DG. *Louisiana Plant Disease Management Guide*. Louisiana; 2016.
627 file:///C:/Users/ekate_000/Desktop/Pub1802-plant_management_guide.pdf.

628 Kerr Ailen, Keane Philip. Prediction of disease outbreaks. In: Brown JF, Ogle HJ eds., ed. *Plant*
629 *Pathogens and Diseases*. Armidale, NSW: University of England Print; 1997:229-314.
630 [https://www.appsnet.org/Publications/Brown_Ogle/19_Prediction_of_outbreaks](https://www.appsnet.org/Publications/Brown_Ogle/19_Prediction_of_outbreaks_(AK&PJK).pdf)
631 [\(AK&PJK\).pdf](https://www.appsnet.org/Publications/Brown_Ogle/19_Prediction_of_outbreaks_(AK&PJK).pdf). Accessed June 18, 2019.

632 Suffert F, Thompson RN. Some reasons why the latent period should not always be considered
633 constant over the course of a plant disease epidemic. *Plant Pathol*. 2018;67(9):1831-1840.
634 doi:10.1111/ppa.12894

635 Ming-wang Shi. Based on time series and RBF network plant disease forecasting. *Procedia Eng*.
636 2011;15:2384-2387. doi:10.1016/J.PROENG.2011.08.447

637 Benelli Jesse J. Non-target effects of strobilurin fungicide applications on creeping bentgrass
638 putting greens during summer stress. 2013. http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_gradthes/1595.
639 Accessed January 9, 2018.

640 Donatelli M, Magarey RD, Bregaglio S, Willocquet L, Whish JPM, Savary S. Modelling the impacts
641 of pests and diseases on agricultural systems. *Agric Syst*. 2017;155:213-224.
642 doi:10.1016/J.AGSY.2017.01.019

643 Kuna-Broniowski M, Makarski P, Kuna-Broniowska I. Application of Electric Fields as a Method for
644 Plant Disease Forecasting. *Agric Agric Sci Procedia*. 2015;7:146-151.
645 doi:10.1016/J.AASPRO.2015.12.009

646 Untiedt R, Blanke MM. Effects of fungicide and insecticide mixtures on apple tree canopy
647 photosynthesis, dark respiration and carbon economy. *Crop Prot*. 2004;23(10):1001-1006.
648 doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2004.02.012

649 Kjøl M, Nielsen A, Stenseth NC. *Potential effects of climate change on crop pollination*; 2011.
650 <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i2242e.pdf>. Accessed June 18, 2019.

651 Ahemad M, Khan MS. Alleviation of fungicide-induced phytotoxicity in greengram [*Vigna radiata*
652 (L.) Wilczek] using fungicide-tolerant and plant growth promoting *Pseudomonas* strain.
653 *Saudi J Biol Sci*. 2012;19(4):451-459. doi:10.1016/J.SJBS.2012.06.003

654 Costa AV, Oliveira MVL de, Pinto RT, et al. Synthesis of Novel Glycerol-Derived 1,2,3-Triazoles
655 and Evaluation of Their Fungicide, Phytotoxic and Cytotoxic Activities. *Molecules*.
656 2017;22(10):1666. doi:10.3390/molecules22101666

657 Wojdyla AT. Influence of strobilurin compounds on the development of *Puccinia horiana*. *Commun*
658 *Agric Appl Biol Sci*. 2007;72(4):961-966. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18396835>.
659 Accessed January 23, 2018.

660 Isaac S. *Fungal-Plant Interactions*. 1. ed. London: Chapman & Hall; 1992.
661 <http://www.worldcat.org/title/fungal-plant-interactions/oclc/231386069>. Accessed January
662 23, 2018.

663 Biol TJ, Jitäreanu A, Pădureanu S, Tătăringă G, Tuchiluş C, Stănescu U. Evaluation of phytotoxic
664 and mutagenic effects of some cinnamic acid derivatives using the *Triticum* test. *Turkish J*
665 *Biol*. 2013;748-756. doi:10.3906/biy-1304-39

666 Tom Allen. Not Everything is as it Seems: Fungicide Phytotoxicity and Plant Diseases | Mississippi
667 Crop Situation. Mississippi Crop Situation. [http://www.mississippi-](http://www.mississippi-crops.com/2013/08/09/not-everything-is-as-it-seems-fungicide-phytotoxicity-and-plant-diseases/)
668 [crops.com/2013/08/09/not-everything-is-as-it-seems-fungicide-phytotoxicity-and-plant-](http://www.mississippi-crops.com/2013/08/09/not-everything-is-as-it-seems-fungicide-phytotoxicity-and-plant-diseases/)
669 [diseases/](http://www.mississippi-crops.com/2013/08/09/not-everything-is-as-it-seems-fungicide-phytotoxicity-and-plant-diseases/). Published 2013. Accessed January 9, 2018.

- 670 Cools HJ, Hawkins NJ, Fraaije BA. Constraints on the evolution of azole resistance in plant
671 pathogenic fungi. *Plant Pathol.* 2013;62:36-42. doi:10.1111/ppa.12128
- 672 Kilani J, Fillinger S. Phenylpyrroles: 30 Years, Two Molecules and (Nearly) No Resistance. *Front*
673 *Microbiol.* 2016;7:2014. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.02014
- 674 Lew RR. Turgor and net ion flux responses to activation of the osmotic MAP kinase cascade by
675 fludioxonil in the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*. *Fungal Genet Biol.*
676 2010;47(8):721-726. doi:10.1016/J.FGB.2010.05.007
- 677 Ren W, Shao W, Han X, Zhou M, Chen C. Molecular and Biochemical Characterization of
678 Laboratory and Field Mutants of *Botrytis cinerea* Resistant to Fludioxonil. *Plant Dis.*
679 2016;100(7):1414-1423. doi:10.1094/PDIS-11-15-1290-RE
- 680 Walker AS, Micoud A, Rémuson F, Grosman J, Gredt M, Leroux P. French vineyards provide
681 information that opens ways for effective resistance management of *Botrytis cinerea* (grey
682 mould). *Pest Manag Sci.* 2013;69(6):667-678. doi:10.1002/ps.3506
- 683 Leroux P. Recent Developments in the Mode of Action of Fungicides. *Pestic Sci.* 1996;47(2):191-
684 197. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9063(199606)47:2<191::AID-PS415>3.0.CO;2-I
- 685 Balba H. Review of strobilurin fungicide chemicals. *J Environ Sci Heal Part B.* 2007;42(4):441-451.
686 doi:10.1080/03601230701316465
- 687 Reddy PP. Strobilurin Fungicides. In: *Recent Advances in Crop Protection*. New Delhi: Springer
688 India; 2012:185-200. doi:10.1007/978-81-322-0723-8_12
- 689 LERoux P, Chapeland F, Arnold A, Gredt M. New Cases of Negative Cross-resistance between
690 Fungicides, Including Sterol Biosynthesis Inhibitors. *J Gen Plant Pathol.* 2000;66(1):75-81.
691 doi:10.1007/PL00012925
- 692 Barr CM, Neiman M, Taylor DR. Inheritance and recombination of mitochondrial genomes in plants,
693 fungi and animals. *New Phytol.* 2005;168(1):39-50. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01492.x
- 694 Deising HB, Reimann S, Pascholati SF. Mechanisms and significance of fungicide resistance.
695 *Brazilian J Microbiol.* 2008;39(2):286-295. doi:10.1590/S1517-83822008000200017
- 696 Hunsche M, Damerow L, Schmitz-Eiberger M, Noga G. Mancozeb wash-off from apple seedlings
697 by simulated rainfall as affected by drying time of fungicide deposit and rain characteristics.
698 *Crop Prot.* 2007;26(5):768-774. doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2006.07.003
- 699 Changjun Chen, Jianxin Wang, Qingquan Luo SY and Mingguo Z. Characterization and fitness of
700 carbendazim-resistant strains of *Fusarium graminearum* (wheat scab). *Pest Manag Sci.*
701 2007;63(12):1201-1207. doi:10.1002/ps.1449
- 702 Lamberth C. Morpholine Fungicides for the Treatment of Powdery Mildew. In: *Bioactive*
703 *Heterocyclic Compound Classes*. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.
704 KGaA; 2012:119-127. doi:10.1002/9783527664412.ch10
- 705 Kandel YR, Mueller DS, Legleiter T, Johnson WG, Young BG, Wise KA. Impact of fluopyram
706 fungicide and preemergence herbicides on soybean injury, population, sudden death
707 syndrome, and yield. *Crop Prot.* 2018;106:103-109. doi:10.1016/j.cropro.2017.12.009
- 708 Pantazopoulou A, Dailinas G. Fungal nucleobase transporters. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.*
709 2007;31(6):657-675. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00083.x
- 710 War AR, Paulraj MG, Ahmad T, et al. Mechanisms of plant defense against insect herbivores. *Plant*
711 *Signal Behav.* 2012;7(10):1306-1320. doi:10.4161/psb.21663
- 712 Petit AN, Fontaine F, Clément C, Vaillant-Gaveau N. Photosynthesis Limitations of Grapevine after
713 Treatment with the Fungicide Fludioxonil. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2008;56(15):6761-6767.
714 doi:10.1021/jf800919u
- 715 Indian Council Of Agricultural Research. *Handbook of Agriculture*. 6th ed. New Delhi: Directorate of
716 Information and Publications of Agriculture, Indian Council of Agricultural Research; 2011.
- 717 Pérez-de-Luque A, Tille S, Johnson I, Pascual-Pardo D, Ton J, Cameron DD. The interactive
718 effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria synergistically
719 enhance host plant defences against pathogens. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1). doi:10.1038/s41598-
720 017-16697-4
- 721 He Xue-Li; Wang P, Ma L, Meng J-J. Effects of three fungicides on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal
722 infection and growth of *Scutellaria baicalensis* Georgi. *Huan jing ke xue= Huanjing kexue.*
723 2012;33(3):987-991. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22624398>. Accessed January 18,
724 2018.
- 725 Cameron JC, Lehman RM, Sexton P, Osborne SL, Taheri WI. Fungicidal Seed Coatings Exert
726 Minor Effects on Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Plant Nutrient Content. *Agron J.*
727 2017;109(3):1005-1012. doi:10.2134/agronj2016.10.0597

- 728 Doe J. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Not Inhibited by Seed-Applied Fungicides. *CSA News*.
729 2017;62(7):12. doi:10.2134/csa2017.62.0713
- 730 Schreiner RP, Bethlenfalvay GJ. Plant and soil response to single and mixed species of arbuscular
731 mycorrhizal fungi under fungicide stress. *Appl Soil Ecol*. 1997;7:93-102.
732 <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.579.3176&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
733 Accessed January 22, 2018.
- 734 Hongyan, Germida JJ, Walley FL. Suppressive effects of seed-applied fungicides on arbuscular
735 mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) differ with fungicide mode of action and AMF species. *Appl soil*
736 *Ecol*. 2013;72:22-30. [http://agris.fao.org/agris-](http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201400165252)
737 [search/search.do?recordID=US201400165252](http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201400165252). Accessed January 22, 2018.
- 738 Murillo-Williams A, Pedersen P. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Colonization Response to Three Seed-
739 Applied Fungicides. *Agron J*. 2008;100(3):795. doi:10.2134/agronj2007.0142
- 740 Hernández-Dorrego A, Mestre-Parés J. Evaluation of some fungicides on mycorrhizal symbiosis
741 between two *Glomus* species from commercial inocula and *Allium porrum* L. seedlings.
742 *Spanish J Agric Res*. 2010;8(S1):43. doi:10.5424/sjar/201008S1-1222

743

744

745 **DEFINITIONS, ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS**

746

747 **ANN:** artificial neural network

748 **BPNN:** back-propagation neural network

749 **RBF:** Radial basis function networks

750 **PSII:** photosystem II

751 **AMF:** arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi