



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49678
Title of the Manuscript:	A difficult diagnosis. A case of progressive palsy with a tiger-eye effect on MRI
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>As the title suggest it should be a difficult diagnosis. The past clinical history data 10 years ago (reported by a son); at the first evaluation. It is too long for PSP, not usual.</p> <p>It is not mentioned the response to dopamine.</p> <p>There are no evidences of midbrain atrophy in MRI image exposed</p> <p>Tiger eye is also registered in Parkinson´s disease and multiple system atrophy.</p> <p>The diagnosis, established in 2018, is not supported by revised NINDS-SPSP Consensus Criteria for Clinical Diagnosis of PSP.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arthur Oscar Schelp
Department, University & Country	Sao Paulo State University, Brazil