



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_48815
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Composition of Ethanol Extract of a cocktail Herbal Mixture (Aju Mbaise)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Article is well written, informative and excellent designed. Requested to enrich some sentences.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Method should be rewrite with name of method. (The qualitative phytochemical analysis was determined by Standard methods)</p> <p>Introduction: According to [2], plant's medicinal properties are dependent on the plant secondary metabolites contained in them. These metabolites that possess medicinal properties are found only in a few species of plants [2]. (In these sentence, two time same reference).</p> <p>Other claimed benefits of Aju Mbaise decoction include enhancement of ovulation and fertility, prevents halitosis (mouth odour that comes out from the stomach), stops painful and scanty menstruation, and detoxification of dead particles left after miscarriage, anti-malaria, antitumor and anti-inflammatory. [37], reported that the decoction contains bioactive compounds believed to be responsible for the observed antibacterial activities. According to [37], intake of adequate amounts of the decoction can make some contributions to the macro- and micro-mineral value of lactating mothers towards achieving the Recommended Nutrient Intake (RNI) for these minerals.</p> <p>You may write only last one for both case. Too much references</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Should mention the voucher no for all plants which may collect from herbarium.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Result is too short. You may explain more.</p> <p>Conclusion: Requested to write few lines more. Your article is large one but Conclusion is one sentences.</p> <p>Ref: Ok</p>	
Optional/General comments	Recommended for publication. (English should be revised).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Ranzu Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh University of Health Sciences (BUHS), Bangladesh.