



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International STD Research & Reviews |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_I-SRR_49947 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH ATTITUDES IN YOUTHS: A CROSS SECTIONAL COMPARISON BETWEEN STUDENTS FROM DIFFERENT HEALTH SCIENCE DEPARTMENTS |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The sample size calculation was left out why? 2) The issue of both Male and Female participant was not clear for the onset that is the ratio of both male and Female? The question is why. 3) What were the included and excluded criteria 4) The Youth what are the age range 5) What are the total numbers of youth in the institution base on the age range given in your study? 6) The result section should give the percentage and values this allow the review to cross check these values. 7) What are the various health reproductive health teaching that are given to this youth in their Primary and secondary schools if any, one need to known. This research can provide information if this is adequate or not | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Students' Knowledge and Behaviors in Relation to Reproductive Health this section can be summarized into one page.</p> <p>Students' Attitudes towards Reproductive Health reduced into one page</p> <p>The comment on line 274 to 277 is this true</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | Good research study important are to conduct more study to access sufficiency of RH training provided to the youth in their primary and secondary schools | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Stephen Olorunfemi |
| Department, University & Country | University of Stellenbosch, South Africa |