



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ EJNFS _50090
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of chronic sweeteners consumption in lymphocytes of Peyer's patches of two mice strain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I have reviewed the manuscript entitled "Effect of chronic sweeteners consumption in lymphocytes of Peyer's patches of two mice strain". Manuscript needs major revision before acceptance. My comments are below;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors should change the term "Svetia" as "Stevia" throughout the manuscript</li> <li>2. There is some conflicts regarding Splenda and Sucralose. Which is used? Because somewhere in the manuscript authors used Splenda and somewhere Sucralose. It should be uniform. If authors used Splenda and Stevia as trade mark they should be indicate their purity and where they obtained? Local market or other.</li> <li>3. How the authors selected used doses?</li> <li>4. What is s23 and s24 (lines 222-223)</li> <li>5. Conclusion is not enough. Authors should change and edit</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Serkan YILMAZ</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ankara University, Turkey</b>