



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49563
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Wheat to Biofertiliser and Nitrogen treatments - A Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Comments on CJAST 49563:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is a uncritical review of research papers highlighting beneficial (only !) effects of bio-fertilizers on growth and yields of various crops. In my opinion properly-produced bio-fertilizers are not cheaper than NPK fertilizers and are ineffective under field conditions, at least in Europe. If bio-fertilizers are so beneficial and cost-effective Authors of this review should give some data on how much, e.g. in tons or in money, bio-fertilizers are used in practical crop production. 2. This review quotes research articles with usually incorrect control treatments, which should contain bio-fertilizers with killed microorganisms. Moreover, the development (proliferation) microorganisms introduced with these preparations has not been monitored in soils treated with them, therefore there is no prove that they were active. 3. This review is based mostly on research papers from India, therefore I would suggest to change the title of this article accordingly. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Stefan Martyniuk</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Instytut Uprawy Nawożenia i Gleboznawstwa Państwowy Instytut Badawczy, Poland</i>