

1 | Response of Wheat to ~~Biofertiliser~~Biofertilizer and Nitrogen ~~T~~Treatments - A 2 | Review

3
4
5 | **Abstract:** Productivity of wheat under late sown conditions can be increased through the
6 | application of suitable fertilizer levels along with biofertilizers. Nitrogen is one of the most
7 | important mineral nutrients for plants, influencing growth but the application of increased doses
8 | of N increases cost of production. Thus, there is a need to ~~economise~~economies the nitrogen dose
9 | for late sown wheat. While the use of biofertilizer inoculation is one way to save the nitrogen
10 | level in wheat and it will help in reducing the cost of production as biofertilizer is a cheap source
11 | of nitrogen.

12 | **Key Words:** Wheat, Biofertilizers, Nitrogen, Growth, Yield, Quality, Economics

13 | **Introduction:** Biofertilizer is a substance which contains living microorganisms which on
14 | application promotes growth of plant by increasing the availability of nutrients (ref). These
15 | micro-organisms serve as a viable alternative to nitrogenous fertilizers and involve
16 | comparatively less cost (ref). However, the productivity of wheat under late sown condition can
17 | be increased through the application of suitable fertilizer level along with biofertilizers (ref).
18 | *Azotobacter*, a non symbiotic bio-fertilizer contributes about 20-25 kg N ha⁻¹ in crop like wheat,
19 | maize, cotton and other crops under favorable conditions (ref). *Phosphorus solubilizing*
20 | *solubilizing bacteria* (PSB) can ~~solublize~~solubilize 20-30 per cent of insoluble phosphate and
21 | increase yield up to 20 per cent. If these two microorganisms interact favorably, they may show
22 | synergistic effect to produce even better result than expected separately (ref). Biofertilizers being
23 | cheaper, effective and environmental friendly are gaining importance for use in crop production
24 | (Kachroo and Razdan, 2006). Nitrogen-fixing bacteria such as *Azospirillum*, Vesicular
25 | arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) fungi improve plant growth through increased uptake of
26 | relatively immobile nutrients such as P, Zn, Cu etc. (Tarafdar and Rao, 1997). Other beneficial
27 | effects of VAM is their role in biological control of root pathogens, hormone production and
28 | greater ability to withstand water stress. *Azotobacter* is a ~~free-living~~free-living nitrogen fixing
29 | bacterium fixes annually 60-90 kg N ha⁻¹ (ref). Bio-mix is a unique blend of selected species sp.

30 of microbes which can ~~solubilises~~solubilize residual phosphates, iron, magnesium etc. from soil
31 making them more easily available to plants - ~~which~~ stimulates sprouting and helps to increase
32 water holding capacity of soil (ref).

33 Nitrogen is one of the most important mineral nutrients for plants influencing growth,
34 development, yield and protein content of grains (Heydari Sharifabad, 2012). It promotes shoot
35 elongation, tillering and regeneration after defoliation and governs to considerable degree, the
36 utilization of phosphorus, potassium and other elements in the plant (ref). Nitrogen is the most
37 limiting factor for high crop productivity but its use efficiency is low (ref). Studies have shown
38 that increasing nitrogen fertilizer application and frequent nitrogen top-dressing during the
39 wheat-growing season are effective ways of improving wheat yield (Borghi *et al.*, 1997).
40 Increasing nitrogen fertilization is a common strategy to increase grain protein concentration in
41 spring wheat (Van Herwaarden *et al.*, 1998; Guttieri *et al.*, 2005) and winter wheat (Brown and
42 Petrie, 2006).

43 But the excessive use of chemical fertilizers ~~has had~~ some adverse effect on soil health and
44 environment. Therefore, to achieve improved and sustainable soil fertility and crop yield,
45 balanced and integrated application of chemical, biological and organic fertilizers should be a
46 key factor.

47 **Discussion:**

48 **Effect of biofertilizers**

49 Biofertilizers are found to have positive contribution to soil fertility, resulting in an
50 increase in crop yield without causing any environmental, water or soil pollution hazards (ref).
51 Nitrogen fixing and Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria play an important role in nitrogen
52 mobilization and phosphorus solubilization for the benefit of plant growth (ref).

53 **1.1 Emergence, phenology and plant growth parameters**

54 *Azotobacter* inoculation enhanced seed germination, growth and development of cereal
55 crops. The nitrogen requirement of cereal crops could be reduced by *Azotobacter* inoculation
56 (Singh, 2006). Kushare *et al.* (2009) and Singh *et al.* (2016) reported that *Azotobacter* and PSB
57 inoculation, being at par caused significant improvement in the growth and yield attributes over

58 | control. Co-inoculation of both the biofertilizers, further increased the growth and yield attributes
59 | over individual inoculation in wheat.

60 | Minaxi *et al.* (2013) reported that significant increase in growth, yield and nutrient uptake
61 | of wheat plants was noticed by both strains of PSB (BAM-4, BAM-12) interacted positively with
62 | AM fungi towards all growth parameters. A remarkable enhancement of seed yield was recorded
63 | notably by 92.8%. Singh *et al.* (2013) reported that seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* and
64 | *Azospirillum* significantly increased the plant height, dry matter of wheat over no inoculation.
65 | However, both were at par with respect to above-mentioned parameters.

66 | Patil *et al.* (2015) reported that plants inoculated with AM fungi and PSB in sterilized soil
67 | produced significantly higher growth, dry matter, increased per cent root colonization,
68 | chlorophyll content in leaves. A synergistic effect was recorded with increased plant dry matter,
69 | per cent root colonization in *Sorghum vulgare* Pers. plants with both the inoculants in sterilized
70 | soil compared to unsterilized soil.

71 | 1.2 Yield and yield attributes

72 | Inoculation of AM fungi and AM fungi + *Azotobacter* led to increase in peduncle length,
73 | flag leaf area, number of grains spike⁻¹, 250 grain weight, grain and biological yields plant⁻¹ in
74 | wheat. AMF and *Azotobacter* compliment complement each other and resulted in improved plant
75 | growth (Behl *et al.*, 2003). Kader *et al.* (2002) reported that there was 18% increase in grain
76 | yield in wheat due to *Azotobacter* inoculants over the control. Suri and Choudhary (2010)
77 | reported that inoculation with either of 3 VAM cultures with increasing P levels from 50 to 75%
78 | of recommended phosphorus dose resulted in consistent and significant improvement in grain
79 | protein content, grain and straw yield and nutrient uptake in wheat.

80 | In wheat crop, combined inoculation of *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* in 1:1 ratio increased
81 | the growth, yield attributes and yield significantly (Kachroo and Razdan, 2006; Singh *et al.*,
82 | 2013). Khan and Zaidi (2007) reported that the triple inoculation of *Azotobacter chroococcum*
83 | with *Bacillus* and *Glomus fasciculatum* significantly increased the dry matter by 2.6-fold above
84 | the control, grain yield of plants 2-fold higher, increased N and P concentrations, and quality of
85 | wheat grains than that of non-inoculated plants.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Comment [AE1]: What is the full of AM

Comment [AE2]: What is the full of AM

Comment [AE3]: Check it

Comment [AE4]:

Comment [AE5]:

Comment [AE6]: Check it

Comment [AE7]: Check it

Comment [AE8]: What is AMF in full

Comment [AE9]: What is 2.6-fold

Comment [AE10]: What is 2-fold

86 Single application of *Azotobacter* and Mycorrhiza inoculation and in combination to each
87 other increased significantly spike per square ~~metre~~ ~~meter~~ compared to without inoculation
88 treatment in wheat. Interaction effects of biofertilizers and N sources were significant~~ly~~
89 ~~lower/higher~~ in respect of spike per square ~~metre~~ ~~meter~~. Maximum kernel weight was found in
90 *Azotobacter* and *Azotobacter* + Micorrhiza (Bahrani *et al.*, 2010). Milošević *et al.* (2012)
91 reported that in *A. chroococcum* treatment, depending on variety of wheat and fertilizer
92 treatment, increased the energy of germination by 1 to 9% and seed viability by 2 to 8%. The
93 largest increase in 1000-seed weight was obtained in ~~the~~ case-of the cultivar Renesansa, in the
94 variant without N application (16%). The highest yield increase (74%) was registered in the case
95 of the cultivar Zlatka when inoculated and treated with 50 kg ha⁻¹ of urea.

Comment [AE11]: Which one of them

Comment [AE12]: What is A. Note if you want to use it, once abbreviation is used, it has to run through, or otherwise the full word

96 Application of biofertilizers increased grain yield of wheat and harvest index as much as
97 46.6 and 48.8% compared to control, respectively (Saber *et al.*, 2012). Narula *et al.* (2005)
98 reported impact of *Azotobacter* in improving yield, dry weight, plant growth under field
99 conditions. Pronounced effects were seen by the use of bio-inoculants in wheat crop. Singh *et al.*
100 (2016) reported that *Azotobacter* and PSB inoculation, being at par, caused significant
101 improvement in the growth and yield attributes over control in wheat.

102 1.3 Quality parameters

103 The crude protein content increased and total carbohydrate content decreased
104 significantly in seed with the application of nitrogen + *Azotobacter* in all the cultivars of wheat.
105 The highest protein content was found with 100 kg N ha⁻¹ + 1 kg *Azotobacter* treatment (Sharma
106 and Bhatnagar, 2005). Khan and Zaidi (2007) reported that the multiple inoculations with plant
107 growth promoting rhizobacteria showed maximum increase in grain protein (255.2 mg g⁻¹) in
108 wheat plants. Bahrani *et al.* (2010) reported that *Azotobacter* + Micorrhiza treatment increased
109 grain protein by 13% than control.

110 1.4 NPK content and uptake

111 Agrawal *et al.* (2004) reported that at 80 DAS, about 72.03% increase in nitrogen uptake
112 over the control was recorded due to *Azotobacter* inoculation and it was at par with the addition
113 of 20 kg N ha⁻¹ alone. *Azotobacter* alone and 20 kg N ha⁻¹ were statistically at par in affecting
114 the nitrogen content in straw as well as in grain. Inoculation alone increased about 37.97, 39.17
115 and 37.37% phosphorus uptake over the control in the yields of straw, grain and total yield,

116 respectively, whereas, potassium uptake was 95.25, 43.23 and 44.81%, respectively. Kachroo
117 and Razdan (2006) reported that nitrogen use efficiency values were higher with combined
118 inoculation of *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* in 1:1 in wheat.

119 Higher N (33.6 mg plant⁻¹) and P (67.8 mg plant⁻¹) content in wheat plants were
120 observed with the co-inoculation of *A. chroococcum* with *Bacillus* sp. and *G. fasciculatum* (Khan
121 and Zaidi, 2007). Suri and Choudhary (2010) reported that inoculation with TERI VAM culture
122 (*Glomus intraradices*) showed its superiority over other two VAM cultures in terms of
123 productivity and nutrient uptake in wheat though differences were non-significant amongst the
124 VAM cultures alone or at each P level. Patil *et al.* (2015) reported that plants inoculated with
125 AM fungi and PSB in sterilized soil significantly increased P uptake in shoot and root in
126 sorghum.

127 Effect of nitrogen levels

128 2.1 Growth parameters

129 Ram *et al.* (2005) revealed that increase in nitrogen level up to 120 kg ha⁻¹ caused a
130 significant increase in growth parameters like plant height, leaf area index and dry matter
131 accumulation in wheat. The growth ~~was~~ is significantly higher with the application of 10 t
132 organic manure + 90 kg N ha⁻¹ over 120 kg N ha⁻¹ but remained at par with 150 kg N ha⁻¹.
133 Hussain *et al.* (2006) showed that different nitrogen levels had significant effects on wheat plant
134 height, total number of plants m⁻². Maximum plant height and total number of plants m⁻² were
135 observed at 200 kg N ha⁻¹.

136 Kachroo and Razdan (2006) reported that each unit increase in N level led to significant
137 increase in growth in wheat. Kumar *et al.* (2007) reported that increasing nitrogen levels
138 increased the plant height, tiller numbers m⁻¹, leaf number plant⁻¹ and dry matter accumulation in
139 wheat up to 200 kg N ha⁻¹ which was significantly higher than control.

140 Patel *et al.* (2012) reported that amongst nitrogen levels, the maximum nitrogen fertilized
141 wheat crop (120 kg N ha⁻¹) had higher value of growth attributes *viz.*, plant height and number of
142 leaves plant⁻¹ than lower nitrogen levels. Kaur *et al.* (2015) reported that physiological
143 parameters namely plant height and tiller number increased with increase in nitrogen dose (150
144 kg N ha⁻¹) in wheat.

Comment [AE13]: What is this in full

145 2.2 Yield and yield attributes

146 Increase in nitrogen levels up to 120 kg ha⁻¹ caused a significant increase in grain yield in
147 wheat. The grain yields were significantly higher with the application of 10 t organic manure +
148 90 kg N ha⁻¹ over 120 kg N ha⁻¹ but remained at par with 150 kg N ha⁻¹ (Ram *et al.*, 2005). Patel
149 *et al.* (2012) reported that amongst nitrogen levels, the maximum nitrogen fertilized wheat crop
150 (120 kg N ha⁻¹) had higher value of yield attributes *viz.*, number of grains spike⁻¹, spike length
151 and yield *viz.*, grain, straw and biological yields than lower nitrogen levels. Similarly, Singh *et al.*
152 (2013) reported that application of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ increased the growth, yield attributes and
153 yield of wheat. The mean grain yield increased by 8.1 and 22.4% with the application of 120 kg
154 N ha⁻¹ compared with 90 and 60 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively. These findings in wheat crop are in
155 consonance with those of Kaur *et al.* (2016) who reported that the yield attributes and grain yield
156 of wheat were highest under 150 kg N ha⁻¹. With increase in nitrogen level up to 120 kg N ha⁻¹,
157 there was significant increase in grain yield which was statistically on par with 150 kg N ha⁻¹.

158 Different nitrogen levels had significant effect on number of grains spike⁻¹, number of
159 spike m⁻², spike weight, biological yield, grain yield and grain protein content of wheat.
160 Maximum number of spikes m⁻², spike weight, biological yield and grain protein content were
161 observed at 200 kg N ha⁻¹ (Hussain *et al.*, 2006; Kumar *et al.*, 2007). Kachroo and Razdan
162 (2006) reported that each unit increase in N level led to significant increase in yield-attributing
163 characters and yield of wheat. The maximum grain yield (53.55 q ha⁻¹) was recorded with
164 highest N level. Pandey *et al.* (2014) reported that significantly higher number of effective tillers
165 m.r.l⁻¹, spike length, grain spike⁻¹, grain yield and straw yield of wheat were recorded due to
166 application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹. Similarly, Kaur *et al.* (2015) reported that physiological parameters
167 namely spikelet number, grain yield, thousand grain weight and biomass of wheat increased with
168 increase in nitrogen dose.

169 Beheraa and Rautaray (2010) reported that the grain and straw yields of wheat were
170 higher under recommended fertilizer dose (100% NPK) than under 50% NPK. Narolia *et al.*
171 (2016) reported that 125% RDF registered significantly higher growth and yield attributes, grain
172 yield (4.04 t ha⁻¹), net returns (54,058 Rs. ha⁻¹) and all the efficiency indices of wheat. However,
173 significant improvement in straw yield was observed up to 150% RDF. Maximum harvest index
174 were found only with 100% RDF (RDF 120 kg ha⁻¹ N, 40 kg ha⁻¹ P₂O₅ and 30 kg ha⁻¹ K). Singh

Comment [AE14]: What is it

Comment [AE15]: What is the full meaning

175 *et al.* (2016) reported that the growth and yield attributes showed an increase with increase in the
176 NPK fertilizer levels. In wheat significantly highest grain yield (54.10 q ha⁻¹) and straw yield
177 (79.69 q ha⁻¹) were recorded with 125% RDF. Nishant *et al.* (2016) reported that addition of
178 | 100% NPK (RDF-recommended dose of fertilizer i.e. 120:-60:-40 kg NPK ha⁻¹ recorded
179 | significantly higher yield in wheat in terms of biological yield and grain yield (q ha⁻¹), followed
180 | by 75% NPK + 1 t ha⁻¹ vermicompost + *Azospirillum*.

Comment [AE16]: Check it

181

182 2.3 Quality parameters

183 Hussain *et al.* (2006) showed that different nitrogen levels had significant effects on grain
184 protein content. The grain protein content and yield of wheat increased significantly at 200 kg N
185 ha⁻¹ compared to dose of 150 kg N ha⁻¹. Pandey *et al.* (2014) reported that significantly higher
186 protein content (12.58%) was recorded due to application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ in wheat. Similarly,
187 Kaur *et al.* (2016) reported that the quality parameters of wheat were highest under 150 kg N ha⁻¹
188 ¹.

189 Beheraa and Rautaray (2010) reported that wheat protein and β -carotene contents were
190 higher and the hectoliter weight was lower with 100% NPK as compared to 50% NPK. Yield,
191 quality parameters and net returns were the lowest under the unfertilized control. Massoudifar *et*
192 *al.* (2014) reported that nitrogen fertilization increased some quality characteristics of wheat.

193 2.4 NPK content and uptake

194 Grain N content of wheat increased in response to increasing rates of nitrogen application
195 (Campbell *et al.*, 1993). Similarly, Kader *et al.* (2002) reported that the highest N uptake (23.2
196 mg plant⁻¹) was recorded with the treatment having 168 kg N ha⁻¹ + cowdung + *Azotobacter* and
197 the lowest with the control (11.03 mg plant⁻¹) in wheat. Woldeyesus *et al.* (2004) and Muurinen
198 (2007) reported significant increase in straw nitrogen uptake with increased N rates in spring
199 cereals. Singh *et al.* (2013) reported that the uptake of N, P and K by wheat grain and straw
200 showed increasing tendency with the application of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ compared with 90 and 60 kg N
201 ha⁻¹.

202 Pandey *et al.* (2014) reported that significantly higher uptake of N (123.34 kg ha⁻¹), P
203 (22.81 kg ha⁻¹) and K (109.29 kg ha⁻¹) and higher agronomic use efficiency were recorded due to

204 application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ in wheat. Narolia *et al.* (2016) reported that 125% RDF registered
205 significantly higher N, P and K uptake by grain and straw of wheat. Nishant *et al.* (2016)
206 reported that addition of 100% NPK (RDF-recommended dose of fertilizer i.e. 120:60:40 kg
207 NPK ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher value of nutrient uptake and nitrogen, phosphorous and
208 potash content in wheat grain which was at par with the 75% NPK + 1 t ha⁻¹ vermicompost +
209 *Azospirillum*. Integration of 75% NPK + 1 t ha⁻¹ vermicompost + *Azospirillum* found more
210 productive as it maintains or improves the soil health.

211
212

213 Integrated effect of biofertilizers and nitrogen levels

214 3.1 Growth parameters

215 Integrated treatments with biofertilizer and nitrogen showed better performance in terms
216 of shoot length by 31.9% compared to separate treatments in wheat (Saber *et al.*, 2012). Singh *et*
217 *al.* (2013) observed that combination of *Azotobacter* strain (Azo-8) along with urea (60 kg N ha⁻¹
218 ¹), FYM (40 kg N ha⁻¹), resulted in more than 23 and 36% increase in shoot fresh and dry weight,
219 26 and 38% increase in root fresh and dry weight of wheat crop over control regularly.

Comment [AE17]: What is this in full

220 Mane *et al.* (2014) reported that the application of 125% ~~per cent~~ RDF (80:40:40 kg NPK
221 ha⁻¹) + *Azotobacter* + PSB recorded significantly higher plant height, number of effective tillers
222 per plant of wheat than all other treatments. Khandare (2015) reported that soil application of
223 carrier biofertilizer at 10 kg ha⁻¹ and liquid biofertilizer at 0.625 and 1.25 L ha⁻¹ in combination
224 with 75% NP gave significantly more plant height in wheat over 75% NP alone at different
225 intervals. These treatments were at par with 100% NP alone in plant height.

226 3.2 Yield and yield attributes

227 Combined application of biofertilizer and nitrogen increased grain number per spike and
228 tiller number by 35.57 and 35.1% compared to separate treatments, respectively (Saber *et al.*,
229 2012). Beheraa and Rautaray (2010) reported that biofertilizers + 50% NPK increased grain yield
230 of wheat marginally (2–6%) compared to the 50% NPK. However, straw yields were
231 significantly higher under the former treatment. Singh *et al.* (2013) observed that combination of

Comment [AE18]: What are the former treatment

232 *Azotobacter* strain (Azo-8) along with urea (60 kg N ha⁻¹), FYM (40 kg N ha⁻¹), resulted in 39%
233 increase in test weight of seeds and 27% increase in yield of wheat crop over control.

234 Mane *et al.* (2014) reported that the application of 125% RDF (80:40:40 kg NPK ha⁻¹) +
235 *Azotobacter* + PSB recorded significantly higher panicle length, dry matter per plant, number of
236 spikelets per panicle, number of grains per panicle, weight of grains per panicle, grain yield,
237 straw yield and biological yield of wheat than all other treatments. Khandare (2015) reported that
238 soil application of carrier biofertilizer at 10 kg ha⁻¹ and liquid biofertilizer at 0.625 and 1.25 L ha⁻¹
239 in combination with 75% NP gave significantly more grain and straw yields in wheat. The
240 trend observed in grain and straw yields was also observed in various yield attributes *viz.*, total
241 tillers, effective tillers, ear length, and number of spikelet/ear, number of grains/ear and 1000
242 grain weight.

243 3.3 Nitrogen saving

244 Kader *et al.* (2002) reported that *Azotobacter* alone or in combination with urea nitrogen
245 had some beneficial effect on the yield of wheat, which amounted to saving about 20% of urea
246 N. Agrawal *et al.* (2004) reported that inoculation of *Azotobacter* could save about 20 kg
247 fertilizer nitrogen in wheat crop. Kushare *et al.* (2009) reported that 25% saving in nitrogen and
248 phosphorus application could be possible with combined inoculation of *Azotobacter* + PSB in
249 wheat.

250 Narula *et al.* (2005) reported that a net saving of 25–30 kg nitrogen by using chosen bio-
251 inoculants (*Azotobacter*) in wheat crop. Saber *et al.* (2012) reported that biofertilizers
252 significantly reduced P and N fertilizer application without any reduction in yield related
253 parameters of wheat. Yadav *et al.* (2014) revealed that application of inorganic N fertilizer may
254 be reduced by 66.7% with integrated use of 40 kg N + 5 t FYM + 5 kg biofertilizer ha⁻¹ in late
255 sown wheat crop. Kaur *et al.* (2016) reported that agronomic use efficiency was significantly
256 similar at 120 and 150 kg N ha⁻¹ than other nitrogen levels. Therefore, there is need to save
257 nitrogen fertilizers in soils with low nitrogen availability.

258 3.4 Economics:

259 Kushare *et al.* (2009) reported that application of 60:30 kg N:P ha⁻¹ (75% RDF) coupled
260 with combined inoculation registered significantly higher grain yield (30.96 q ha⁻¹) of wheat with

Comment [AE19]: What is this

261 higher net profit, B:C than those with 80:40 kg N:P ha⁻¹ (100% RDF) (30 q ha⁻¹ grain yield)
262 without biofertilizer inoculation. Chand *et al.* (2014) revealed that the application of NPK at @
263 120:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ with seed treatment of *Azotobacter* at @ 200 g kg seed⁻¹ and PSB at @ 2.5 kg
264 mix with 60 kg FYM applied in the soil before sowing improved the grain yield of wheat by
265 29.3% followed by 18.1% in application of NPK at @ 120:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ with seed treatment of
266 *Azotobacter* at @ 200 g 10 kg seed⁻¹ over Farmers practice (control). The corresponding values
267 of net returns were Rs. 50390 ha⁻¹ and Rs. 43650 ha⁻¹ as compared to Rs. 32865 ha⁻¹ in control
268 and also the B:C were more as compared to control. Yadav *et al.* (2014) revealed that integrated
269 use of 40 kg N ha⁻¹ + 5 t ha⁻¹ FYM + 5 kg ha⁻¹ biofertilizer (*Azotobacter*) produced highest grain
270 yield (36.29 q ha⁻¹) in wheat and earned maximum net income (Rs. 24641 ha⁻¹) and it was at par
271 with integration of 40 kg N ha⁻¹ + 5 t ha⁻¹ FYM + 5 kg ha⁻¹ *Azospirillum* (35.66 q ha⁻¹ grain yield
272 and Rs. 23864 ha⁻¹ net income) followed by application of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ (34.89 q ha⁻¹ grain yield
273 and Rs. 23173 ha⁻¹ net income).

Comment [AE20]:

Comment [AE21]:

Comment [AE22]:

Comment [AE23]:

274 Verma *et al.* (2015) reported that plots receiving recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) +
275 vermicompost 5 t ha⁻¹ + *Azotobacter* and PSB as seed treatment of wheat and spraying at first
276 and second irrigation recorded maximum grain yield (5.67 and 5.73 t ha⁻¹), straw yield (7.29 and
277 8.87 t ha⁻¹), gross income (Rs. 87443 and 97127 ha⁻¹) and net income (Rs. 37001 and 45462 ha⁻¹)
278 during 2011-12 and 2012-13, respectively. Kumar *et al.* (2016) reported that application of half
279 of the recommended dose of N and P₂O₅ i.e., 60 kg N along with 30 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ supplemented
280 with seed treatment of wheat by *Azotobacter* and phosphate culture, produces a mean wheat
281 yield of 39.10 q ha⁻¹ which is much more economical (2.69 kg grain rupee invested⁻¹) in terms of
282 grain produced per rupee invested in fertilizers with bio-fertilizers as compared to the plot where
283 recommended dose of fertilizers (1.65 kg grains rupee invested⁻¹) were applied in the form of
284 chemical fertilizers only in both the years.

285 **Conclusion:** Biofertilizers are found to have positive contribution to soil fertility, resulting in an
286 increase in crop yield without causing any environmental, water or soil pollution hazards.
287 Nitrogen occupies a conspicuous place in plant metabolism because adequate supply of this
288 nutrient associated with high photosynthetic activity, vigorous vegetative growth and a dark
289 green color among cereal crops. From this review it was known that ~~Biofertiliser~~ biofertilizer
290 along with nitrogen will meet the increasing demand of this growing world, also
291 ~~biofertiliser/biofertilizer~~ will reduce the hazards ~~due to~~ excess use of inorganic fertilizers.

292 | **References:**

293 | **References should be written in line with the Journal's Author Guide**

294 | **Das A, Shivay Y, Prasad M. Production potential and economic evaluation of cotton –wheat**
295 | **cropping system as influenced by prilled urea, Azotobacter and farmyard manure under**
296 | **Indo-Gangetic plains. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture. 2008;32(1):37–50**
297

298 | Agrawal, N., Singh, H.P. and Savita, U.S. (2004) Effect of *Azotobacter* inoculation and graded doses of nitrogen on
299 | the content, uptake and yield of wheat in a mollisol. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* **38** (4): 288- 292.

300 | Bahrani, A., Pourreza, J. and Hagh Joo, M. (2010) Response of winter wheat to co-inoculation with *Azotobacter* and
301 | *Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi* (AMF) under different sources of nitrogen fertilizer. *American-Eurasian J.*
302 | *Agric. & Environ. Sci.* **8** (1): 95-103.

303 | Beheraa, U.K. and Rautaray, S.K. (2010) Effect of biofertilizers and chemical fertilizers on productivity and quality
304 | parameters of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum*) on a Vertisol of Central India. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* **56**
305 | (1): 65-72.

306 | Behl, R.K., Sharma, H., Kumar, V. and Singh, K.P. (2003) Effect of dual inoculation of VAM and *Azotobacter*
307 | *chroococcum* on above flag leaf characters in wheat. *Archives of Agron. and Soil Sci.* **49**:- 25-31.

308 | Campbell, C., Selles, F., Zentner., R. and McConkey, B. (1993) Available water and nitrogen effects on yield
309 | components and grain nitrogen of zero-till spring wheat. *Agron. J.* **85**: 114-120.

310 | Chand, M., Gupta, J. and Roy, N. (2014) Effect of integrated nutrient management module on wheat yield in
311 | bundelkhand zone of Uttar Pradesh. *Bhartiya Krishi Anushandhan Patrika,* **29**(1): 01-04.

312 | Gupta, Trilok Chand. (2002) Integrated nutrient management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Ph. D. Thesis
313 | submitted to CCS HAU, Hisar.

314 | Guttieri, M.J., McLean, R. and Souza, E. (2005) Managing irrigation and nitrogen fertility of hard spring wheat for
315 | optimum bread and noodle quality. *Crop Sci.* **45**: 2049-2059.

316 | Hussain, I., Khan, M.A. and Khan, E.A (2006) Bread wheat varieties as influenced by different nitrogen levels. *J.*
317 | *Zhejiang Univ. sci. B.* **7**(1): 70-78.

318 | Jackson, M.L. (1973) Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. pp. 214-221.

319 | Jakhar, P. (2004) Effect of planting methods, biofertilizers and nitrogen levels on wheat. MSc. Thesis submitted to
320 | CCS HAU, Hisar.

321 | Kachroo, D. and Razdan, R. (2006) Growth, nutrient uptake and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as influenced by
322 | biofertilizers and nitrogen. *Indian J. Agron.* **51** (1): 37-39.

323 | Kader, M.A., Milan, M.H. and Hoque, M.S. (2002) Effects of *Azotobacter* inoculant on the yield and nitrogen
324 | uptake by wheat. *Online J. Bio. Sci.* **2** (4): 259-261.

325 | Kaur, G., Asthir, B. and Bains, N.S. (2015) Nitrogen levels effect on wheat nitrogen use efficiency and yield under
326 | field conditions. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* **10** (23): 2372-2377.

327 | Kaur, H., Ram, H., Sikka, R. and Kaur, H. (2016) Productivity, agronomic efficiency and quality of bread wheat
328 | [*Triticum aestivum* (L.)] cultivars in relation to nitrogen. *IJAEB*: **9**(1): 101-106.

- 329 Khan, M.S. and Zaidi, A. (2007) Synergistic effects of the inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria
330 and an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus on the performance of wheat. *Turk. J. Agric.* **31**: 355-362.
- 331 Khandare, R.N., Chandra, R., Pareek, N. and Raverkar, K.P. (2015) Effect of varying rates and methods of carrier
332 based and liquid *Azotobacter* and PSB biofertilizers on yield and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum*
333 *aestivum* L.) and soil properties. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* **63** (4): 436-441.
- 334 Kumar, A., Singh C.B. and Raj, R. (2016) Biofertilizer a potent supplement of N and P fertilizers in economical and
335 sustainable wheat cultivation in NEPZ. *J. Community Mobilization and Sustainable Dev.* **11**(1): 122-126.
- 336 Kumar, Rajesh. (2001) Effect of planting systems, seed rates and nitrogen levels on the wheat productivity (*Triticum*
337 *aestivum* L.). Ph. D. Thesis submitted to CCS HAU, Hisar.
- 338 Kumar, S., Singh, K. and Jatav, A.L. (2007) Effect of nitrogen levels on growth and yield of recently released wheat
339 variety malviya 468 under late sown condition. *Prog. Agric.* **7** (1/2): 25-27.
- 340 Kushare, B.M., Kushare, Y.M. and Sandhan, V.S. (2009) Effect of N and P levels and biofertilizers on the growth
341 and yield of wheat under late sown irrigated conditions. *Intern. J. Agric. Sci.* **5**(2): 424-427.
- 342 Lindner, R.C. (1944) Rapid analytical methods for some of the more common inorganic constituents of plant tissues.
343 *Plant Physiology*, **19**, 76-86.
- 344 Mane, A.R., Karanjikar, P.N. and Wayase, K.P. (2014). Performance of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as
345 influenced by different levels of fertilizers along with biofertilizers. *Adv. Res.J. Crop Improv.* **5** (2): 197-
346 199.
- 347 Massoudifar, O., Kodjouri, F. D., Mohammadi, G. N. and Mirhadi, M. J. (2014) Effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels
348 and irrigation on quality characteristics in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Arch. Agron. and Soil Sci.*
349 **60**(7): 925-934.
- 350 Milošević, N., Tintor, B. and Cvijanović, G. (2012) Effect of inoculation with *Azotobacter chroococcum* on wheat
351 yield and seed quality. International conference: conventional and molecular breeding of field and vegetable
352 crops. *Romanian Biotechnological Letters.* **17**(3): 7352-7357.
- 353 **Minaxi, Saxena, J., Chandra, S. and Nain, L. (2013)** Synergistic effect of phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria
354 and arbuscular mycorrhiza on growth and yield of wheat plants. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **13**(2),
355 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162013005000040>.
- 356 Muurinen, S. (2007) Nitrogen dynamics and nitrogen use efficiency of spring cereals under Finnish growing
357 conditions. *Yliopistopaino*, **29**: 1-38.
- 358 Narolia, R.S., Meena, H., Singh, P., Meena, B.S. and Ram, B. (2016) Effect of irrigation scheduling and nutrient
359 management on productivity, profitability and nutrient uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown under
360 zero-tilled condition in south-eastern Rajasthan. *Indian J. Agron.* **61** (1): 53-58.
- 361 Narula, N., Kumar, V., Singh, B., Bhatia, R. and Lakshminarayana, K. (2005) Impact of biofertilizers on grain yield
362 in spring wheat under varying fertility conditions and wheat-cotton rotation. *Archives Agron. Soil Sci.*
363 **51**(1): 79-89.
- 364 Nishant, Vivek; Singh, V., Sharma, D.K. and Raju (2016) Influence of organic and inorganic sources on nutrient
365 uptake and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* l.) in western Uttar pradesh. *Prog. Agric.* **16** (2): 223-228.
- 366 Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S. & Dean, L.A. (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by
367 extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *USDA Circulation*, 939.

- 368 Pandey, A.K., Kureel, R.S., Shukla, U.N., Singh, S., Mishra, M.L. and Kumar, J. (2014). Effect of nitrogen doses
369 and scheduling on yield, quality and uptake of NPK under late sown wheat. *Bioinfolet*, **11** (2A): 284-288.
- 370 Patel, C.B., Singh, R.S., Yadav, M.K., Singh, S.K., Singh, M.K., Singh, K.K. and Mall, R.K. (2012) Response of
371 different wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. emend Fiori & Paol.) genotypes to various nitrogen levels under late
372 sown conditions of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Env. & Ecology*, **30** (3C): 1192-1196.
- 373 Patil, G.B., Lakshman, H.C. and Puttaradder, J. (2015) Synergistic effect between phosphate solubilising bacteria
374 and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on growth and P uptake in *Sorghum vulgare* Pers. *International J. Res.
375 in Eng. and Applied Sci.* **5**, ISSUE 10.
- 376 Ram, T., Yadav, S.K. and Sheoran, R.S. (2005) Growth analysis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under varying
377 fertility levels and *Azotobacter* strains. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* **39** (4): 295-298.
- 378 Ranwa, R.S. (1997) Studies on integrated nutrient management with vermicompost in wheat. Ph. D. Thesis
379 submitted to CCS HAU, Hisar.
- 380 Saber, Z., Pirdashti, H., Esmaceli, M., Abbasian, A. and Heidarzadeh, A. (2012) Response of wheat growth
381 parameters to co-inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and different levels of
382 inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus. *World Appl. Sci. J.* **16** (2): 213-219.
- 383 Saleem, A.N. (1987) Effect of nitrogen and seed rate on agronomic parameters of wheat. *J. Agri. Res.* **18**(2): 34-38.
- 384 Sharma, J. and Bhatnagar, V.P. (2005) Effect of nitrogen levels along with *Azotobacter* on crude protein and
385 carbohydrate contents in seed of wheat cultivars. *Agric. Sci. Digest.* **25** (3): 170-173.
- 386 Singhal, S.K., Sharma, V.K. and Singh, R.D. (2012) Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers (vam and psb) on yield of
387 maize and wheat cropping sequence and soil fertility. *Indian j. agric. res.* **46** (2): 167-172.
- 388 Singh, M.S. (2006) Cereal crops response to azotobacter - A review *agric. rev.* **27** (3): 229-231.
- 389 Singh, V., Singh, S.P., Singh, S. and Shivay, Y.S. (2013) Growth, yield and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum
390 aestivum*) as affected by biofertilizers, FYM and nitrogen. *Indian J. Agri. Sci.* **83** (3): 331-4, March 2013/A
- 391 Singh, M.P., Kumar, P., Kumar, A., Kumar, R., Diwedi, A., Gangwar, S., Kumar, V. and Sepat, N.K. (2016) Effect
392 of NPK with biofertilizers on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in western
393 Uttar Pradesh condition. *Prog. Agric.* **16** (1): 83-87.
- 394 Singh, N.K., Choudhary, F.K. and Patel, D.B. (2013) Effectiveness of *Azotobacter* bio-inoculant for wheat grown
395 under dry land condition. *J. Env. Biology*, **34**: 927-932.
- 396 Subbiah, B.V. & Asija, A.K. (1956) A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soil. *Current Sci.*
397 **24**, 259-260.
- 398 Suri, V.K. and Choudhary, A.K. (2010) Comparative performance of va-mycorrhizal (vam) fungi and applied
399 phosphorus in wheat under controlled environment in a phosphorus deficient acid alfisol. *Prog. Agile.* **10**
400 (1): 23-28.
- 401 Sushila, R. and Giri, G. (2000) Influence of FYM, nitrogen and biofertilizers on microbial population, dry matter
402 accumulation and nutrient uptake of wheat under limited water supply. *Biofert. Newsl.* **7**: 22-26.
- 403 Tarafdar, J.C. and Rao, A.V. (1997) Response of arid legumes of VAM fungal inoculation. *Symbiosis*, **22**: 265-274.
- 404 Tiwana, U.S. and Narang, R.S. (1997) Crop productivity and soil nitrate nitrogen change in rice-wheat cropping
405 sequence grown under maximum yield fertilization. *Indian J. Agron.* **42**(4): 559-563.

- 406 Tulasa Ram (1999) Performance of *Azotobacter* under different fertility levels in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).
407 Ph.D. thesis submitted to CCS HAU, Hisar.
- 408 Van Herwaarden, A.F., Farquhar, G.D. and Howe, G.N. (1998) Haying-off² the negative grain yield response of
409 dryland wheat to nitrogen fertilizer. I Biomass grain yield and water use. *Aust J Agric. Res.* **49**:1067-1081.
- 410 Verma, V.K., Singh, V., Chaudhary, S., Tripathi, A.K. and Srivastava, A.K. (2015) Effect of organic manures and
411 microbial inoculants superimposed over inorganic fertilizers on production and profitability of wheat
412 (*Triticum aestivum*). *Current Adv. in Agric. Sci.* **7**(2): 129-132.
- 413 Woldeyesus Sinebo., Gretzmacher, R. and Edelbaue, A. (2004) Genotypic variation for nitrogen use efficiency in
414 Ethiopian barley. *Field Crops Res.* **85**: 43-60.
- 415 Yadav, S.M., Singh, R., Kumar, H., Khan, N., Verma, S.P., Shweta; Yadav, B.K. and Kumar, S. (2014) Response of
416 late shown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) to FYM, biofertilizers and inorganic N alone and in different
417 combinations. *Plant Arc.* **14** (2): 1127-1129.
- 418
- 419

UNDER PEER REVIEW