



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_49444
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF ESSENTIAL THERAPEUTIC TARGETS ON <i>Toxoplasma gondii</i> PARASITE TO COMBAT TOXOPLASMOSIS
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title is best written as 'THERAPEUTIC TARGETS ON TOXOPLASMA GONDII PARASITE IN COMBATTING TOXOPLASMOSIS'.</li> <li>2. Even Narrative Reviews must be structured in Introduction, Aim, Material and Methods, Results and Discussion and Conclusion. In the same manner the Abstract.</li> <li>3. Under Material and Methods, you need to outline the manner you searched to obtain relevant literature, including citing the search-engine(s) used. In what languages were the selected-literature. What factors (criteria) were taken in account in selecting the articles (literature)?</li> <li>4. Try to have not just one (mostly) or two references in what you cite. Try to annotate additional references in each – including contrasting views. Such would differentiate an essay from a Narrative Review.</li> <li>5. My remaining Comments towards Revision are found highlighted yellow in the attached accompanying Manuscript text.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Meer Ahmad Mydin Meera</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Meer Ahmad Health-care Consultancy, Malaysia</i></b>