



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_48193
Title of the Manuscript:	The influence of buttonwood ash application on seedling growth of cowpea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Precise justification should be reported in the abstract. Soil analysis before and after experiment and possibly wood ash analysis should be reported in the manuscript to improve quality of work. Results should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor corrections should be corrected as highlighted and indicated in the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Introduction and methodology were clear and understandable. Precise justification should be reported in the abstract. Soil analysis before and after experiment and possibly wood ash analysis should be reported in the manuscript to improve quality of work. Results should be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines. The manuscript should be consider for publication after minor correction and moderate revisions especially for supporting the results with recent citations and soil and wood ash analyse.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Habu Saleh Hamisu</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Breeding and Genetic National Horticultural Research Institute, Nigeria</i></b>