



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_48965
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different drying methods (oven, sun and solar) on the mineral content of three accessions of roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa) calyces
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	All in all the paper is well written and included the drying rate obtained by various methods for hibiscus of different species. The paper included the mineral content study after the drying and is the predominant parameter.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please ensure the graphs readability.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dr. Kiran D. Devade
Department, University & Country	Pune University, India