



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49116
Title of the Manuscript:	The use of biostimulants in high-density olive growing. Quality and production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes, it has scientific quality and the parameters evaluated were adequate. Only little errors were founded during the revision.</p> <p>Title: Is ok.</p> <p>Abstract: Add more information about Results obtained in this study.</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To write economic importance of olive production in Spain (annual production, yield and production value). 2. Line 61 Reference Rosati et al. is 2015 or 2014. 3. Line 64 Reference Neilsen and Neilsen 1997 or Neilsen et al. 1997. 4. Line 70 Reference EBIC, 2012 is missing in the reference list. 5. Line 96 Reference Bourne and Prescott, 2002 is missing in the reference list 6. Line 98 Reference Byrne et al. 1992 is missing in the reference list. 7. Line 112 Reference Ibrahim and Gaddas, 2015 is missing in the reference list. <p>Materials and methods: Are ok.</p> <p>Results and discussion: Are ok. This section id good with proper evidences. Also, Tables are clear and explicative.</p> <p>Conclusion: Is ok.</p> <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To write reference in accordance with rules of this Journal 2. The Next reference are missing inside manuscript: Borges et al. 2017, Boussadia et al. 2010, COI, 2015, Du Jardin, 2015 and Fernandez-Escobar et al, 2009. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Raúl Leonel Grijalva-Contreras</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias, Mexico</i>