

1 **Modern fungicides: mechanisms of action, fungal resistance and phytotoxic effects**

2

3

4

ABSTRACT

5
6 The establishment of safe and effective methods for controlling fungal diseases is an urgent issue
7 in agriculture and forestry. Fungicide research has provided a wide range of products with new
8 modes of action. Extensive use of these compounds in agriculture enhances public anxiety due to
9 the harmful potential for the environment and human health. Moreover, the phytotoxic effects of
10 some fungicides are already recognized but still little is known about their influence on the
11 photosynthetic apparatus and plant physiology. This review provides an understanding of the
12 mechanisms of action of fungicides, mechanisms of fungicide resistance development, and the
13 phenomenon of phytotoxicity.

14

15 **KEYWORDS** – contact fungicides, systemic fungicides, disease control, resistance,
16 phytotoxicity

17 **1. INTRODUCTION**

18 Fungicides are chemical substances used for control and treatment of fungal diseases of
19 plants. The employment of fungicides has become widespread in recent decades in agriculture
20 since it was estimated that fungal infections reduce yields of the crops worldwide by nearly 20%
21 (Rohr, Brown, Battaglin, McMahon, & Relyea, 2017). Fungicides have become the primary means
22 of fungal disease control due to their relatively low cost, ease of use and efficiency (Xia et al.,
23 2006).

24 Disease management is an essential component of production for all crops, often having a
25 significant economic impact on their yield and quality. There are three main reasons for using
26 fungicides:

- 27 – To control the infection during the establishment and growth of a grain crop;
 - 28 – To enhance the productivity of cereal and to decrease defects.
- 29 Infection may result in a decrease in productivity due to the damage to photosynthetic parts.
30 Defects in the edible parts of the crop or leaves of ornamentals affect their attractiveness, and
31 consequently the market prices;
- 32 – To improve the shelf life and quality of produced and harvested plants.

33 Some of the significant disease damage occurs post-harvest. Harmful fungi often worsen
34 stocks of grain crops, vegetables, and tubers. Several grain-infecting species of *Fusarium*,
35 *Penicillium* or *Aspergillus* produce important mycotoxins which can cause serious illness or even
36 death in humans and animals after eating contaminated food (Marín, Ramos, Cano-Sancho, &
37 Sanchis, 2012). Fungicides have been used to decrease mycotoxin contamination of wheat
38 affected by *Fusarium* head blight, but most fungicides developed so far have not been entirely
39 adequate for the regulation of mycotoxin production associated with other diseases (Forrer et al.,
40 2014). This is due to insufficient knowledge of the protectants mechanisms action and the
41 response of the plant.

42 The appearance of new strains of fungal pathogens and their resistance to the available
43 commercial products is often associated with extensive use of these compounds (Pablo C. García,
44 Rosa M. Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz, 2003). What is more, the widespread and frequent use of fungicides in
45 plant protection generates a long-term accumulation of residues in food and the environment (*Report*
46 *on the pesticide residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017*, 2017), (Anne-Nolle Petit, Fontaine,
47 Ement, & Vaillant-Gaveau, n.d.). In the Report on the pesticide residues monitoring programme in
48 2017, analyzing vegetables and fruits from 27 countries for contamination with pesticides has shown
49 that dithiocarbamates are among the most common residual contaminants. Accordingly, the
50 excessive use of such compounds in agriculture gave rise to public concerns because of the
51 detrimental effects on the environment and risk for human health (*Report on the pesticide residues*
52 *monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017*, 2017).

53 For example, the fungicide chlorothalonil - the most common synthetic fungicide in the
54 United States - was shown to be toxic to aquatic animals such as tadpoles, oysters, or fish (Vincelli
55 P., 2002).

56 In some cases, fungicides derived from "natural" sources are much safer than synthetic. The
57 primary sources include copper, sulphur, plant oils and bicarbonates. But even copper can be skin
58 irritating, eyes and the respiratory and digestive tracts, while sulphur can result in dermatitis and
59 diarrhea (Southern AG, 2015). To use any fungicide safely and efficiently, one needs to correctly
60 diagnose the problem and choose the best treatment strategy.

61 **2. CLASSIFICATION OF FUNGICIDES**

62 Fungicides are often classified as protective or system. Protective fungicides are usually
63 effective against a range spectrum of fungi and protect the plant from infection on leaf surface and
64 stems. They often require repeated application during the growing season to provide coverage as
65 new plants appear. Systemic fungicides can be absorbed by the plant without damage and be
66 transported to other tissues where they are toxic to fungi. These compounds can control and fight
67 infections, but they are also vulnerable to resistance to fungi, as they usually target only one step,
68 to kill the fungus. To reduce resistance due to excessive use of chemicals, the fungicides are
69 classified according to their chemical class. By alternating between different classes of fungicides
70 the fungal population is less likely to develop resistance to a particular chemical.

71 Chemically, organic molecules always contain carbon atoms in their structure while most
72 inorganic molecules do not. Initially, first fungicides were inorganic compounds based on sulphur or
73 metal ions (copper, tin, cadmium, mercury) that are known to be toxic to fungi. Currently, fungicides
74 based on copper and sulphur are still widely used. Copper sulphate has been registered for use in
75 the United States since 1956. The copper atom binds to proteins, changing their structure. This
76 may break the membranes around the cells, causing the cells to die. Thus, copper sulfate is
77 effective in the destruction of fungi, algae and even snails. However, most fungicides used today
78 are organic synthetic compounds (Lesemann, Schimpke, Dunemann, & Deising, 2006).

79 **2.1. Non-systemic (contact) fungicides**

80 This type of fungicides has a preventive impact by killing or inhibiting fungi and fungal spores
81 before the mycelia can grow and develop within the plant tissues (Oliver & Hewitt, 2014), but have
82 little or no effect once the fungus has entered or colonized host tissue. Additionally, while non-
83 systemic fungicides generally remain on the surface of plants, they are potentially phytotoxic and
84 can damage the plant when absorbed (Lesemann et al., 2006). Contact action has derivatives
85 dithiocarbamates acid, agents based on sulphur, copper, etc. Thus, this kind of fungicides can be
86 used only as protectants. It is therefore also important to apply them on given plants before known
87 infection period begins to decrease the chance of infection. Contact agents – such as zineb,
88 polycarbonate, copper oxychloride, sulfur, mancozeb, bordeaux liquid and others are not able to cure
89 already diseased plants. Despite their potential harm to plants, non-systemic pesticides are thought
90 to be okay as they can be removed or flushed from the plant before harvest. This makes the
91 produce clean from pesticide chemical tainting and thus better for human consumption.

92 Typical examples of the primary contact fungicides are inorganic copper compounds such as
93 Bordeaux mixture, copper carbonate, and inorganic sulphur in the form of elemental sulphur and
94 lime sulphur (Pablo C. García, Rosa M. Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz, 2003). The organic contact
95 fungicides (e.g., thiram, ferbam, and ziram) play an important role in the comprehensive control of
96 plant diseases since they are more efficient and less toxic than the inorganic compounds (Aynalem
97 & Assefa, 2017), (Nason, Farrar, & Bartlett, 2007).

98 Contact fungicides are products suited for preventive (prophylactic) use as they work by contact
99 action on the surface of the plant. Therefore, to protect new plant growth and renewal of the material
100 washed off by rain or irrigation, or degraded by such environmental factors as wind and the amount of
101 UV, repeated applications are necessary. The protective action of these fungicides does not exceed 10-
102 12 days before the first heavy rain, after which the treatment is repeated. The number of treatments with
103 a fungicide of contact action is 3 to 6 treatments per season. During processing, it is necessary to spray
104 not only the surface of the leaves but the underside too, since many types of fungi begin to grow from
105 the underside of the leaves. For example, for processing potatoes the rate of application may be every
106 7 days during the month (Johnson, Hamm, & Sunseri, n.d.).

107 Contact fungicides do not penetrate deeply in the plant tissue and are easily removed,
108 leaving a clean product for consumption. They are effective with timely treatment and following
109 instructions. Because of this, and due to relatively low prices (but it should be remembered that
110 their consumption is much higher than systemic fungicides)), they are still extensively used for
111 plant protection even though new, more potent fungicides are developed.

112 **2.2. Systemic Fungicides**

113 Systemic fungicides are absorbed by the plant and transported to the site of infection. These
114 compounds can, therefore, kill the fungus after the mycelia have penetrated the parenchyma of the
115 plant tissue, stopping the spread of infection (Oliver & Hewitt, 2014). Some systemic fungicides move
116 within the plant only a short distance from the site of penetration. This is local-systemic fungicides.
117 The dicarboximide fungicides are one example of this group (González M., Caetano P., 2017). The
118 dicarboximide fungicides, iprodione, procymidone, vinclozolin, chlozolinate, and metomeclan are
119 especially promising for the control of plant diseases caused by species of *Botrytis*, *Sclerotinia*,
120 *Monilinia*, *Alternaria*, *Sclerotium*, and *Phoma* [56]. The mode of action of these compounds is
121 apparently related to the inhibition of triglyceride biosynthesis in fungi [17].

122 Some locally systemic fungicides cross the leaf plate from one leaf surface to the other but do
123 not spread inside the plant. Those fungicides are called translaminar, i.e. trifloxystrobin. Systemic
124 fungicides, which are called xylem-mobile or acropetal systemics, move inside the water-conducting
125 tissue (xylem), which raises them up in the transpiration flow, however, mobility within the plant is
126 limited. For example, DMI fungicides are moderately mobile within plants. Others are very mobile and
127 easily move around the xylem. The examples of systemic fungicides which are mobile in xylem are
128 thiophanate-methyl and mefanox (Paul Vincelli, Bruce Clarke, 2017). The third type of systemic
129 fungicide is a phloem-mobile system, compound circulates in phloem out of the sheet where
130 deposited upwards to the other leaves and downwards to the roots (Lesemann et al., 2006). Only
131 one example of this type of systemic exists among turfgrass fungicides: the phosphonates, which
132 include fosetyl-Al and the phosphites.

133 Systemic fungicides can be used as protectants, eradicants, or both, and are the most
134 recently developed and the most promising type of fungicides at the moment (Pablo C. García,
135 Rosa M. Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz, 2003). Though systemic fungicides usually have a particular
136 location of action, fungi may quickly develop resistance to them if they are managed
137 inappropriately.

138 Highly specific modern fungicides block only one target in the pathogen (monospecific
139 fungicides or single-site inhibitors). Deising et al. (2008) state that “examples of single-site
140 inhibitors are the benzimidazoles, phenylamides and strobilurins, released to the market in the late
141 1970es and the mid 1990es” (Miguez, Reeve, Wood, & Hollomon, 2004).

142 Extensively used in agriculture are also benzimidazoles, a group of organic fungicides with
143 systemic action. These types of compounds control a wide range of fungi at a comparatively low cost
144 of treatment (Bernauer, Gaines-Day, & Steffan, 2015). For example, benomyl is one of the most
145 effective and extensively used benzimidazoles in crop protection (Pablo C. García, Rosa M. Rivero,
146 Juan M. Ruiz, 2003). The benzimidazoles benomyl, carbendazim, and thiabendazole and the
147 phenylcarbamate diethofencarb specifically interfere with the formation of microtubules, which
148 function in a variety of cellular processes, including mitosis and maintenance of the cell shape
149 (Saladin Gaëlle, Magné Christian, & Clément, 2003), (Elslahi, Osman, Sherif, & Elhoussein, 2014).
150 These fungicides bind specifically to protein subunits called tubulin and prevent their assembly from
151 forming microtubules.

152 The main difference between the effects of systemic and contact fungicides is that the first one
153 sometimes suppresses the fungus after infection of the plant, whereas the second one must be
154 present on the plants surface before infecting. Gradually, since the 1960s, systemic fungicides
155 replaced non-systemic non-systemic preparation, providing higher levels of plant protection (Dias
156 Maria Celeste, 2012). However, compared with the non-systemics, systemic fungicides are roughly
157 twice as expensive regarding sales (McGrath, 2004).

158 3. BREADTH OF ACTIVITY

159 Depending on the scope of their targets, fungicides can be classified as single-site or multi-
160 site. Single-site fungicides active against one point in one metabolic pathway of the fungus (D.
161 Mueller, n.d.). Examples of such fungicides can be various different drugs with one active
162 ingredient, such as prothioconazole, pyraclostrobin, fludioxonil, the benzimidazoles (benomyl,
163 thiophanatemethyl) and others. However, there are connections that are not very desirable to use
164 alone. For example, azoxystrobin is recommended to use as a mixture with other fungicides having
165 a different mechanism of action. The probability of the pathogen's development resistance, in this
166 case, is significantly reduced because resistant isolates to one fungicide will be killed by another
167 fungicide. The effectiveness of this method can be demonstrated by Metalaxyl, phenylamide
168 fungicide. When used as the sole compound in Ireland to combat pollution in potatoes
169 (*Phytophthora infestans*) resistance developed within one growing season. However, in countries
170 such as the UK where it was sold only as a mixture, resistance problems developed more slowly.

171 On the other hand, because of this specific activity, fungi are more likely to develop
172 resistance to the fungicide (Lesemann et al., 2006).

173 Multi-site fungicides can target multiple locations (different metabolic pathways). But single-
174 site fungicides are considered less toxic to plants. Older contact fungicides such as mancozeb,
175 fluazinam etc have multi-site activity and affect many fungal species in different classes
176 (*Sclerotinia*, *Botrytis*, *Alternaria*, *Phytophthora*, *Peronospora*). Due to the rise in the stringency and
177 number of normative tests required to register a new active ingredient, fungicide manufacturers
178 have found it easier to develop single-site systemics recently. Consequently, fungicide resistance
179 has become a more critical issue in disease regulation. Examples of narrow-spectrum fungicides
180 can be Folplan and Karatan.

181 The active ingredient of Folplan — folpet derived phthalimide. Folplan, has a narrow
182 spectrum of activity, suppresses the development of pathogens peronospora and other fungi,
183 except for muchnational. To broaden the spectrum of action can be mixed with other systemic
184 fungicides, insecticides, which have no alkaline reaction. Folplan registered and approved for use
185 on potatoes and grapes. Suppresses the development of *Phytophthora*, *Peronospora*, *Oidium*,
186 *Botrytis*. The flow rate - about 3.0 kg/ha. Maximum number of treatments – two for season.

187 The active substance of Karatan – dinocap derived nitrophenol. It suppresses the
188 development of powdery mildew pathogens and has acaricidal action. Ineffective against
189 peronosporic fungi. Can be mixed with other fungicides and insectoacaricides, which have no
190 alkaline reaction. The duration of the protective effect in the optimal concentrations of 10-15 days.
191 It is advisable to use prophylactic. The fungicide does not penetrate the leaves and fruit, so it's
192 easy to rinse them. Karatan is registered and approved for use on cucumbers the closed and open
193 soil, grapes, Apple, pear. The flow rate of the drug is 0.5-2.0 l/ha. The maximum number of
194 treatments – three for season.

195 4. APPLICATION METHODS

196 Fungicides can be produced in the form of dust, granules, gas, but most often fluid.
197 Depending on the type there are different methods of application:

198 1. Treat of planting material (mordanting). Fungicides can be applied in various solutions or
199 incrustation of seeds, dry method or humidification, encapsulating or pelleting.

200 2. Application to the soil. This process is suitable when dealing with soil-borne pathogens.
201 Most of these fungicides have low selectivity and thus eliminate not only bacteria and fungi but also
202 the larvae of insect pests which could be of concern for environmental protection.

203 3. Spraying. The manual sprayers are used, as well as a specialized automobile or aircraft
204 vehicles. Spraying can be carried out repeatedly in the rate of appearance of the young vegetative
205 organs of the plant, the duration (Woodward, Russell, Baring, Cason, & Baughman, 2015)of action
206 of a fungicide, and the risk of re-infection (E. Lee Butler, 2006).

207 Great importance in the success of seed protection is the correct timing of fungicide
208 treatment. Thus, seed disinfectants are commonly used in packing material deposited in the late
209 summer or autumn, and fungicides are used for spraying perennial plants during dormancy in late
210 fall, winter or early spring, as they can be dangerous to growing plants (Hasan, Ahmed, Tofazzal,
211 Mian, & Haque, 2013)(Shuping & Eloff, 2017). Currently, in addition to the use of the described
212 methods to prevent spoilage during storage, fruit treatment by fungicides is also practiced (Clayton
213 A. Hollier, Jeffrey W. Hoy, Christopher A. Clark, Charles Overstreet, Jaspreet Sidhu, Melanie L.
214 Lewis Ivey, Raghuwinder Singh, Trey Price III, Mary Helen Ferguson, G. Boyd Padgett, 2016).

215 5. ROLE OF FUNGICIDES IN DISEASE MANAGEMENT

216 Forecasting systems are developed for many diseases based on an understanding of the
217 environmental conditions favourable for pathogen development. Typically, these are based on
218 temperature and relative humidity or leaf wetness in the area with a growing crop. Threshold-based
219 fungicide programs involve routinely scouting the crop for symptoms, then applying fungicides
220 when the number of signs reaches a critical level beyond which the disease cannot be controlled
221 adequately. In general, the most crucial aspect of developing and using forecasting systems is the
222 knowledge of the disease cycle of the pathogen. The disease cycle determines whether the
223 disease is monocyclic (one generation per year) or polycyclic (multiple generations) and latent
224 period (time between infection and symptom expression) is also essential aspect [58].

225 There are examples of an artificial neural network (ANN) capable of predicting diseases
226 based on existing data. They perform extraordinarily complex calculations imitating biological in the
227 real world without about course to exact quantitative. Back-propagation neural network (BPNN) is
228 the most important and widely used one. The RBF network is used in Ming-wang Shi research,

229 which is one of the new effective neural networks and is realized through a linear combination of
 230 nonlinear primary functions from the space R^N into a spatial R^M through nonlinear transformation.
 231 He applied the GM Model (1,1) to predict plant diseases collected during the simulations. The
 232 results of the experiments show that the coincidence of the GM model parameter (1,1) coincides
 233 with the standard deviation of the disease index and incidence. This indicates that the GM system
 234 (1,1) is effective for the analysis of morbidity, and the parameters GM (1,1) may well reflect the
 235 change in the incidence of plants (Ming-wang Shi, 2011).

236 Another interesting example of plant diseases prediction is the using of electric fields (Benelli
 237 Jesse J, 2013), (Kuna-Broniowski, Makarski, & Kuna-Broniowska, 2015). In the work of Marek
 238 Kuna-Broniowski and etc., this method is used to predict the spread of plant diseases from the
 239 *Septoria* by determining the splashing of raindrops. Most existing methods use climate conditions,
 240 calendar measurements, and disease cycles to predict infections (Donatelli et al., 2017). However,
 241 it is important to take into account the spraying of rain droplets as a method of transporting spores
 242 to higher parts of plants and neighbouring plants. Measurements of the scattering range and the
 243 number of spray particles using an electric field are achieved using a measuring system that allows
 244 accurate and reliable measurement of the dispersion range of sprayed droplets.

245 Economic factors often influence the choice of fungicide and application timing. The most
 246 expensive fungicides and numerous applications are used on valuable plantings that might suffer a
 247 significant economic loss in the absence of treatment, for example, fruit trees. The crop tolerance
 248 level, or detriment threshold, can change depending upon the stage of the crop development when
 249 attacked, crop management practices, climatic and location conditions.

250 It is important to use the correct type of fungicide at the right time of year because one of the
 251 fungicide side-effects is phytotoxicity, i.e. a toxic effect on (beneficial) plants. For example,
 252 trifloxystrobin, which is often applied to *Vitis vinifera* vines, can damage and even kill some trees of
 253 the genus *Malus*. However, trifloxystrobin is dangerous for particular grape cultivars but not others
 254 (can cause injury to *Vitis labrusca*) (Vincelli P., 2002). Some fungicides are even more specific,
 255 such as triazole + Qols that cannot be applied to glycine max later than during a growth stage
 256 known as R5.

257 6. THE MAIN CLASSES OF FUNGICIDE AND PLANT PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES

258 There are five main chemical classes of fungicides (Table 1). The largest group of them is
 259 triazoles. Fungicides of this class have been using against pathogens of various diseases of fruit
 260 and vegetable crops. Substances differ in the degree of activity, the spectrum of effects on
 261 pathogens, the rate of consumption, the grade of risk to ecosystems, the population and working
 262 personnel, the payback of the costs of their use. Despite the wide range of action, triazoles have
 263 disadvantages. The systematic use of preparation based on triazoles leads to the emergence of
 264 resistant fungal strains. For example, triadimefon does not completely inhibit the fungal germination
 265 of the genus *Puccinia*.

266 The widely accepted assumption that fungicide has low phytotoxicity has started to be
 267 outdated with the publication of more detailed analyses at the cell level that demonstrated several
 268 damages to the photosynthetic apparatus (Anne-Nolle Petit et al., n.d.), (Saladin Gaëlle et al.,
 269 2003).

270 Table 1 – The major classes of fungicides and their effects

Chemical class	Fungicides	Mechanism of action	Fungi	Resistance	Phytotoxicity	References
Triazoles	tebuconazole, prothioconazole, difenoconazole, ciproconazole, propiconazole, epoxiconazole, flutriafol, triadimefon, triticonazole, diniconazole	Inhibit sterol biosynthesis	<i>Botrytis</i> , <i>Ustilago</i> , <i>Cercospora</i> , <i>Tilletia</i> <i>Zymoseptoria</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Cochliobolus</i> , <i>Erysiphe</i> , <i>Altemaria</i> , <i>Puccinia</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Pythium</i> , <i>Drechslera</i> , <i>Pyrenophora</i> , <i>Rhynchosporium</i> , <i>Cladosporium</i>	The systematic use of drugs based on triazoles causes resistance. The triadimefon does not completely inhibit the germination of conidia and rust urediospas.	there is a violation of the synthesis of gibberellins (retardant effect), the synthesis of sterols, a decrease in transpiration of	(Cools, Hawkins & Fraaije, 2013), (Dias Maria Celeste, 2012), (D. S. Mueller, 2006), (Ahemad & Khan, 2012), (Costa

			, <i>Epicoccum</i> , <i>Phoma</i>		plants	et al., 2017)
Phenylpyrrolidines	fluodioxonyl	Inhibit micellar growth, reduce glucose phosphorylation during cell respiration, disrupt the function of cell membranes	<i>Tilletia</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Ascochyta</i> , <i>Alternaria</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Aspergillus</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia</i> <i>Helminthosporium</i> ,	Low risk of resistance due to the mechanism of action	decrease CO ₂ assimilation, transpiration, stomatal conductance and intercellular CO ₂ concentration	(Anne-Nolle Petit et al., n.d.), (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003), (Kilani & Fillinger, 2016), (Lew, 2010), (Ren, Shao, Han, Zhou, & Chen, 2016)
Strobilurins	picoxystrobin, fluoxastrobin, azoxystrobin, trifloxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, krezoxsim-methyl	Inhibit mitochondrial respiration by blocking electron transport in the cytochrome b and c ₁ chain	<i>Puccinia</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Pyrenophora</i> , <i>Alternaria</i> , <i>Cladosporium</i> , <i>Epicoccum</i> , <i>Botrytis</i> , <i>Rhynchosporium</i> , <i>Drechslera</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia</i> , <i>Ustilago</i> , <i>Erysiphe</i>	Field resistance was recorded in <i>Oidium erysiphoides</i> , <i>Erysiphe graminis</i> , <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> . When strobilurins inhibit the activity of cytochrome b, alternative pathways of electron transport can easily be activated	in the plant are rapidly hydrolyzed by ether linkage. During periods of drought, damage is exacerbated	(Balba, 2007), (Reddy, 2012), (Vincelli P., 2002), (Wojdyła, 2007)
Benzimidazoles	prochloraz, thiabendazole, thiophanate-methyl, benomyl, carbendazim	Inhibit the synthesis of ergosterol in the fungal cell and disrupt its life activity	<i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Botrytis</i> , <i>Sclerotinia</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Ucinula</i> , <i>Erysiphe</i>	Stable pathogenic strains: <i>Pseudocercospora</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , <i>Erysiphe</i> ,	decrease plant biomass. induces a considerable reduction on the chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, and the total pigments content	(Dias Maria Celeste, 2012), (Isaac, 1992), (Deising, Reiman, & Pascholati, 2008)
Morpholines (cinnamic acid derivatives)	spiroxamine, dimethomorph	Prevent the formation of mycelium and block the reduction of the double compound C-C and ergosterol synthesis	<i>Erysiphe</i> , <i>Ucinula</i> , <i>Septoria</i> , <i>Puccinia</i>	Stable fungal strains form slowly, fungicides block the reduction reactions in the process of sterol biosynthesis and isomerization	decrease of the sterols synthesis	(Biol et al., 2013), (Isaac, 1992)

271

272

273

Triazoles also have phytotoxicity to protected plants. In a significant amount, fungicides cause a retardant effect (impaired synthesis of gibberellins); violate the synthesis of sterols, reduce

274 transpiration of plants (Tom Allen, 2013). Triadimenol and propiconazole delay the removal of the
275 primary leaf and violate its geotropism in the processing of cereal seeds. Tebuconazole can pass
276 into the retardant under unfavourable conditions (waterlogging of the soil, lack of moisture, low
277 germination energy, etc.). The same properties are inherent in triticonazole, to a lesser extent - to
278 other azoles. But as the review "Constraints on the evolution of azole resistance in plant
279 pathogenic fungi" says, today, the azoles still apply in the fight against pathogens of many culture,
280 including grains, fruits and vegetables, canola and soybeans, despite numerous reports of azole-
281 resistant fungal strains (Cools, Hawkins, & Fraaije, 2013).

282 The next well-known group of fungicides (over 30 years old) is phenylpyrrole. They are
283 chemical analogues of the natural antifungal compound pyrrolnitrin (Kilani & Fillinger, 2016).
284 Currently, fungicoxon is used as the active substance of fungicides. Phenylsilyl inhibits all stages of
285 fungal development, germination of spores, lengthening of the embryonic tubes and mycelium
286 growth. The observed consequences are swollen hyphae with increased branching and apical
287 lysis, which indicate that phenylpyrrols can act on the biosynthesis of the intragenic turgor and cell
288 wall (Lew, 2010).

289 Recently strains resistant to fludioxonil have been isolated from *B. cinerea* populations in
290 China at low levels (<3%). They represent typical osmosensitivity and developmental defects of
291 fludioxonil resistant mutants (Ren, Shao, Han, Zhou, & Chen, 2016), which raises the question of
292 their ability to compete with sensitive and severe strains and the selective pressure of fungicide
293 treatments on these specific populations. Globally, there is no specific resistance to fludioxonil
294 among gray mold populations that support the high efficacy of this fungicide (Walker et al., 2013).

295 To avoid the emergence of resistance to phenylpyrroles, combined preparations should be
296 used or alternate with different mechanisms of action. In addition to problems with possible
297 resistance, there is a risk of phytotoxic effects in relation to protecting plants. For example, in
298 research of Petit A.N, Fontaine F, Clément and Vaillant-Gaveau N (Anne-Nolle Petit et al., n.d.) and
299 also Saladin G, Magne C, Clément C (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003) about effects of fludioxonil in
300 *Vitis vinifera* L. These reports have shown that application of fungicides has consequences for
301 plant physiology, such as a plant growth reduction, perturbation of reproductive organ
302 development, alteration of nitrogen, and/or carbon metabolism and limit photosynthetic activity.

303 Saladin et al. reported that *in vitro* application of some fungicides, i.e. fludioxonil, and a
304 systemic fungicide pyrimethanil, promoted different physiological responses of plants. Firstly, both
305 fungicides decreased net CO₂ assimilation, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and intercellular
306 CO₂ concentration; secondly, in the fruiting cuttings, the fungicides affected CO₂ exchange neither
307 transpiration rates (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003).

308 Strobilurin group includes synthetic substances similar in structure to natural fungicidal toxins
309 - strobilurins A and B, isolated from the culture of microorganisms *Strobilurus tenacellus* (Balba,
310 2007). Strobilurins are recommended to be used first in the growing season because they rapidly
311 reduce the ability of resistant to triazole forms to their development on leaves. In addition, the
312 selection pressure is reduced, since the level of the inoculum is the lowest at the beginning of the
313 growing season. Due to the wide range of action and practical safety for the environment,
314 strobilurins are considered to be the most significant group of fungicides that appeared after the
315 preparations of the triazole classes. These substances can be attributed to biofungicides, since
316 they are of natural origin (Reddy, 2012). High resistance to strobilurins (for example, 200 times less
317 sensitive to them in powdery mildew of wheat) is due to a one-point mutation in that part of the
318 cytochrome b molecule, which determines the binding of this enzyme to fungicides. At the same time,
319 the active centre of the enzyme does not change, and the resistant (mutant) forms of fungi do not
320 lose their viability as a result of mutation and the acquisition of resistance to strobilurins. It is also
321 possible the cross-resistance between strobilurins-methoxyacrylates, oximinoacetates and non-
322 strobilurins with a similar mechanism of action-oxazolidinediones. Resistance is registered in
323 *Oidium erysipoides*, *Erysiphe graminis*, *Botrytis cinerea*. To prevent resistance, only 1-2
324 treatments (in some cases, three) at intervals of 14-16 days are permitted during the season and
325 only preparation in the fungicide alternation system with a different mechanism of action from
326 strobilurins (Benelli Jesse J, 2013) are allowed. For vegetable and fruit, it is triazoles,
327 ethylenebisdithiocarbamates, preparations based on copper and sulfur. When processing annuals
328 on the treated area, it is necessary to practice changing cultures (Reddy, 2012).

329 Some reports suggested that the systemic fungicide strobilurin may improve the water status
330 and stress management of plants under conditions of drought stress (K. Paranjape, V. Gowariker,
331 V.N. Krishnamurthy, S. Gowariker, 2014), (Barr, Neiman, & Taylor, 2005). Nason et al. (D. S.
332 Mueller, 2006) showed that the application of beta-methoxyacrylate, a strobilurin fungicide, improve
333 the water use efficiency only in well-watered *Triticum aestivum* and *Hordeum vulgare* plants.

334 However, when these plants were under drought stress, strobilurin strongly reduced net CO₂
335 assimilation, intercellular CO₂ concentration, transpiration rate, and rate of stomatal conductance to
336 water. In this study, net CO₂ assimilation reduction seems to be related to stomatal conductance
337 decrease. It is possible that stomata respond to strobilurin-induced changes in mesophyll
338 photosynthesis either by sensing changes in the intercellular CO₂ concentration or by responding to
339 the pool size of an unidentified C-fixing substrate. It is also possible that the effects of strobilurin
340 fungicides are mediated via ABA-based chemical signalling (D. S. Mueller, 2006).

341 The analysis of several chlorophylls a fluorescence parameter of plants treated with
342 fungicides (Xia et al., 2006), 14, (D. S. Mueller, 2006), (Deising, Reimann, & Pascholati, 2008)
343 demonstrated that light reactions of photosynthesis are also sensitive to fungicide exposure. Bader
344 and Abdel-Basset showed, for the first time, that fungicides of the triforine type (a systemic and
345 contact fungicide) strongly inhibit electron-transport reactions of chloroplasts. Moreover, the
346 application of systemic fungicides, benzimidazoles and triazole, and a dithiocarbamate contact
347 fungicide affected the effective quantum yield of PSII as well as the maximal quantum efficiency of
348 PSII (Fv/Fm). This reduction was attributed to the decrease in photochemical quenching (qP) (Xia
349 et al., 2006), (Deising et al., 2008). In *Glycine max*, strobilurin fungicides application reduced the
350 ratio of Fv/Fm. Strobilurin fungicides seem to block the transport of electrons between PSII and PSI
351 by binding to the Qi site of the chloroplast cytochrome bf complex (D. S. Mueller, 2006).

352 Benzimidazole formulations were among the first systemic fungicides to appear on the market.
353 Benzimidazole derivatives are effective against diseases of vegetative organs, as well as a complex
354 of phytopathogens transmitted between seeds, so they find wide application as seed disinfectants.
355 Over time, interest in benzimidazole fungicides has fallen, in part, this is due to the emergence of
356 resistant strains to them. Now it is difficult to evaluate how much this is related to the characteristics
357 of the fungicides, and how much with the unpreparedness to such a consequence of their application.
358 Today, in many countries, the scope of their application has declined due to a rapid decrease in their
359 effectiveness. The narrow selectivity of the action contributes to a sufficiently rapid selection of
360 resistant genotypes and the formation of a resistant population after a systematic (within 3-4 years)
361 use of substantive of this group. Several reports show a decrease in biomass production in fungicide-
362 treated plants: benomyl, a systemic fungicide, reduced the growth of *Gossypium hirsutum*,
363 *Helianthus annuus*, *Cucumis sativus*, *Lactuca sativa*, and *Pinus taeda* (Pablo C. García, Rosa M.
364 Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz, 2003), (Hunsche, Damerow, Schmitz-Eiberger, & Noga, 2007). Moreover,
365 the application of carbendazim (systemic benzimidazole fungicide) in *Nicotiana tabacum* affected
366 negatively plant biomass (Pablo C. García, Rosa M. Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz, 2003).

367 Pigment biosynthesis is reported by Ahmed et al. (Hunsche et al., 2007) to be inhibited by
368 benomyl. This fungicide induces a considerable reduction on the chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b,
369 carotenoids, and the total pigments content of *Helianthus annuus* plants (Hunsche et al., 2007).
370 Similarly, the treatment of *Vitis vinifera* with fludioxonil and *Nicotiana tabacum* with carbendazim also
371 decreases the chlorophyll and carotenoid content (Pablo C. García, Rosa M. Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz,
372 2003), (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003). Mihuta-Grimm et al. (Changjun Chen, Jianxin Wang, Qingquan
373 Luo, 2007) and Van Iersel and Bugbee reported leaf chlorosis after benomyl application on *Impatiens*
374 *walleriana*, *Cucumis sativus*, *Celosia plumosa* *Petunia* hybrid, and *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Deising
375 et al., 2008).

376 There is also a phenomenon of cross-resistance. Fungi that are resistant to one fungicide
377 are often also resistant to other fungicides from the same chemical class. Sometimes between
378 fungicides from different chemical classes, there is a negative cross-resistance. For example, one
379 such case was identified in the study of two major pathogens (*Mycosphaerella graminicola* and
380 *Tapesia acuformis*) of winter wheat in France. Negative cross-resistance to edifenphos and several
381 sterol biosynthesis inhibitors, such as prochloraz and fenpropimorph, was observed in strains
382 resistant to fenhexylamide (LEROUX, CHAPELAND, ARNOLD, & GREDD, 2000). The reason for
383 this phenomenon may be that a genetic modification that occurs under the action of a single
384 fungicide and imparts resistance to it, makes the resistant isolate more susceptible to another
385 fungicide (McGrath, 2004).

386 Morpholines are a class of low-toxic and highly effective fungicides, one of the first groups of
387 sterol synthesis inhibitors. They are part of the combined preparations. Although other inhibitors of
388 sterol synthesis outperform the group of morpholines by economic parameters, these substances
389 again acquire importance for the problem of the resistance to fungicides (Lamberth, 2012). In
390 contrast to triazoles, morpholines block the isomerization and reduction reactions in the process of
391 sterols biosynthesis, therefore the populations of fungi that are resistant to them are formed much
392 more slowly. According to the spectrum of action on pathogens, morpholines do not differ from
393 triazoles but require higher application rates. Despite the slow development of resistant strains,

394 there is a potential for dimethomorph to develop resistant strains of pathogens that do not have
395 cross-resistance to phenylamides.

396 There are cases of phytotoxicity with substances from other chemical classes. In study Yuba
397 R. Kandela, Daren S. Mueller and etc. (Kandel et al., 2018) says that preemergence herbicides and
398 seed treatment fluopyram each has led to increased phytotoxicity in the VC-V1 growth stage in
399 soybean compared to the untreated control. Physiological studies after fungicide application on
400 several species reported modifications of both photosynthetic activity and chlorophyll a
401 fluorescence [(Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003). Decreased CO₂ assimilation in fungicide-treated plants
402 is attributed to both stomatal (due to stomatal closure) (Xia et al., 2006) and nonstomatal effects
403 due to a disruption in the capacity of RuBisCO carboxylation, decrease of RuBisCO content, and/or
404 reduction of the ribulose 1.5 biphosphate regeneration (Anne-Nolle Petit et al., n.d.), (D. S.
405 Mueller, 2006).

406 Modifications of dark respiration were reported after mancozeb (contact fungicide) and
407 flusilazol (systemic fungicide) application in *Malus domestica*. The increase in dark respiration can
408 be explained by additional energy requirement, metabolic breakdown of the compound, and/or
409 activation of the alternative, cyanide-insensitive, respiration. Curiously, the treatment with
410 strobilurin fungicides induced different responses: while in *Triticum aestivum* and in *Spinacia*
411 *oleracea* plants respiration was inhibited (K. Paranjape, V. Gowariker, V.N. Krishnamurthy, S.
412 Gowariker, 2014), (Pantazopoulou & Diallinas, 2007) in *Triticum aestivum* dark respiration was
413 reduced (D. S. Mueller, 2006).

414 The most crucial aspect of work of fungicides is their efficiency against fungal pathogens or
415 their residues in crops (*Report on the pesticide residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017,*
416 *2017*), (Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003)]. Several reports found that some fungicides can improve plant
417 defences through phytoalexin synthesis and cell wall lignification or stimulate enzymes involved in
418 the synthesis of phenolic compounds [(Saladin Gaëlle et al., 2003), (War et al., 2012). Others
419 describe the supposed protective role of fungicides for crops against various types of stress
420 factors. Wu and Von Tiedemann (Anne-Noëlle Petit, Fontaine, Clément, & Vaillant-Gaveau, 2008),
421 (Untiedt & Blanke, 2004) described the protective function of triazoles in *Hordeum vulgare* and
422 *Arachis hypogaea* against ozone exposure or salt stress by stimulating antioxidative enzymes.
423 Furthermore, azoxystrobin and epoxiconazole were shown to retard senescence of *Triticum*
424 *aestivum* primarily due to an expansion of the antioxidative potential protecting the plants from
425 damage by active oxygen species (Untiedt & Blanke, 2004). Muthukumarasamy and
426 Panneerselvam described the induction of the synthesis of photosynthetic pigments and proteins in
427 treated plants (Indian Council Of Agricultural Research, 2011). However, only small number of
428 studies have considered the question of whether these products boost or inhibit physiological and
429 metabolic activities in the plant tissues (Pablo C. García, Rosa M. Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz, 2003), and
430 the negative impact of fungicides on photosynthesis, pigment content, growth, and alterations in
431 the reproductive organs was poorly analyzed (Anne-Nolle Petit et al., n.d.), (Saladin Gaëlle et al.,
432 2003).

433 The decrease in photosynthesis rate intensely influences plant biomass production and
434 growth rates. Information about fungicide effects on plant physiology (especially on photosynthesis)
435 is decisive for the understanding of the primary regulatory mechanisms and the phytotoxicity of a
436 given compound.

437 8. MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI RESPONSES

438 Fungicidal compositions for seeds containing a multi-ingredient system are targeted at
439 multiple metabolic processes. And many researchers in this field are concerned with the question:
440 can these fungicides to inhibit inappropriate soil fungi, such as obligate plant symbiotic arbuscular
441 mycorrhizal (AM) fungi.

442 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are symbionts of plants, which interrelate with approximately 80% of
443 plant species (J. Cameron, 2016). For example, multilateral interactions between roots and
444 mycorrhizal fungi can have a synergistic effect on the growth and systemic priming of wheat
445 (Pérez-de-Luque et al., 2017). These symbionts often have a beneficial effect on the host plant,
446 increasing nutrient intake and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses, improving soil quality in
447 cropping systems.

448 The study of Huan Jing Ke Xue says that in the treatment with benomyl, the content of K in
449 the shoot and the Fe in the root decreased significantly in mycorrhizal plants; in the treatment with
450 difenoconazole, the total N and K content in the shoot also decreased, Ca in the roots; mycorrhizal
451 colonization, total P, K and Cu content in the shoot, the total amount of N, Ca, Zn and Fe in the root
452 was significantly reduced with flusilazole. The inhibitory effect of flusilazole on the colonization of

453 *Glomus mosseae* and the growth of *Scutellaria baicalensis* were higher than with difenoconazole
454 and benomyl (He, Wang, Ma, & Meng, 2012).

455 But in other studies, in the analysis of corn (*Zea mays* L.), soybean (*Glycine max* L.) and
456 oats (*Avena sativa* L.) treated with azoxystrobin, fludioxonil, mekenoxane, trifloxystrobin, and
457 pyraclostrobin, no found significant effect on AM fungal colonization (J. C. Cameron, Lehman,
458 Sexton, Osborne, & Taheri, 2017). Fungicides were applied according to the recommended
459 dosages. In small amounts, the following negative effects were observed. Corn treated by Cruiser
460 Extreme had significantly lower ($P < 0,05$) colonization of AM fungi compared to the other two
461 fungicides (Trilex, Stamina) and tended to decrease the colonization of AM corn roots as compared
462 to controls ($P = 0,08$). The Cruiser Extreme consists of a locally systemic fungicide (azoxystrobin)
463 inhibiting respiration, a systemic fungicide (mekenoxane) inhibiting the synthesis of nucleic acids,
464 and a contact fungicide (fludioxonil), which prevents the transduction of cells.

465 However, in the analysis of soy, the same relation was not found. In oats, the results were
466 lower than the rest, but not lower than the controls. The differences in the colonization of AM fungal
467 between fungicidal medication, apparently, are not related to a particular mode of action. There
468 was no relationship between the treatment of fungicide and plant genotype during colonization of
469 AM fungi or the content of plant nutrients. The plant genotype has a consistent effect on the
470 colonization of AM fungi and the nutrient content of plants.

471 Schreiner and Bethlenfalvay have shown that a higher variety of AMF can better withstand
472 the negative effects of fungicides (Schreiner & Bethlenfalvay, 1997). The essential role of fungicidal
473 action on AMF can be played by their movement in the plant. As a rule, contact fungicides are less
474 harmful than systemic fungicides when using seeds measured by sporulation, glomalin and
475 biomass of the host plant (Hongyan, Germida, & Walley, 2013).

476 Murillo-Williams and Pedersen found that fludioxonil in treated seed had a positive effect
477 on the AMF colonization in soy (*Glycine max* L.) due to a decrease in competition with the
478 aggressive pathogen *Rhizoctonia spp* (Murillo-Williams & Pedersen, 2008). But in another case,
479 fludioxonil had no significant effect on the colonization of AMF in onions (Hernández-Dorrego &
480 Mestre-Parés, 2010). Thus, the potential negative effects of systemic and contact fungicides on
481 non-targeted, useful AMF are not fully understood and studied. With the recent introduction of
482 commercial modified AMF for large-scale crop production, understanding the effects of fungicides
483 on these beneficial organisms can help minimize the unintentional interactions between fungicides
484 and AMF.

485 **7. CONCLUSION**

486 Fungicides are widely used and have become the main means of inhibiting the growth of
487 fungi and fungal spores due to their relatively low cost, high efficiency and ease of use.

488 However, despite the wide variety of existing products and various routes of use, the
489 problem of the emergence of new fungicide-resistant strains of pathogens remains open. Available
490 studies have demonstrated that fungicide application may impair photosynthesis, the synthesis of
491 sterols, gibberellins, transpiration, reduce CO₂ assimilation and biomass, influence on the total
492 pigments content. However, reports on phytotoxicity are generally based on a few physiological
493 parameters using a large variety of plant species and different types and concentrations of
494 fungicides, leading in some cases to contradictory results. This significantly jeopardizes a
495 comprehensive knowledge on the primary effects of fungicides on the photosynthesis and certainly
496 deserves further investigation.

497 It may be worthwhile to study in more detail methods for predicting the spread of diseases
498 and testing theories during the development of fungicides using machine learning (i.e. artificial
499 neural network). And as an attractive aspect for further fungicide study are such aspects as cross-
500 resistance and negative cross-resistance of different chemical classes fungicides. This knowledge
501 would be extremely useful when developing new preparations.

502 Furthermore, the problem of the negative impact of fungicides on the environment due to
503 their high toxicity still remains unresolved. However, the situation can be improved with the use of
504 new technologies and a deeper understanding of the fungicides mechanism of action. Because it
505 allows to create preparations with a lower content of active substance, but not less effective. The
506 solution to that problem will provide benefits not only for plants yield but also for the environment
507 and human health.

508 Concerns about the non-targeted effects of fungicides on AMF are mainly focused on the
509 potential impact on natural AMF in integrated management systems. However, understanding the
510 compatibility of fungicides used for seeds, not only with natural but with modified useful AMF, is
511 important if we want to maximize the benefits of both, obtained from sowing crops.

512 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

513 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

514 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

515 All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

516 **REFERENCES**

- 517 Ahemad, M., & Khan, M. S. (2012). Alleviation of fungicide-induced phytotoxicity in greengram
518 [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] using fungicide-tolerant and plant growth promoting
519 *Pseudomonas* strain. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 19(4), 451–459.
520 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SJBS.2012.06.003>
- 521 Aynalem, B., & Assefa, F. (2017). Effect of Glyphosate and Mancozeb on the Rhizobia Isolated
522 from Nodules of *Vicia faba* L. and on Their N₂-Fixation, North Showa, Amhara Regional
523 State, Ethiopia. *Advances in Biology*, 2017, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5864598>
- 524 Balba, H. (2007). Review of strobilurin fungicide chemicals. *Journal of Environmental Science and*
525 *Health, Part B*, 42(4), 441–451. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03601230701316465>
- 526 Barr, C. M., Neiman, M., & Taylor, D. R. (2005). Inheritance and recombination of mitochondrial
527 genomes in plants, fungi and animals. *New Phytologist*, 168(1), 39–50.
528 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01492.x>
- 529 Benelli Jesse J. (2013). *Non-target effects of strobilurin fungicide applications on creeping*
530 *bentgrass putting greens during summer stress*. University of Tennessee. Retrieved from
531 http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_gradthes/1595
- 532 Bernauer, O., Gaines-Day, H., & Steffan, S. (2015). Colonies of Bumble Bees (*Bombus impatiens*)
533 Produce Fewer Workers, Less Bee Biomass, and Have Smaller Mother Queens Following
534 Fungicide Exposure. *Insects*, 6(4), 478–488. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects6020478>
- 535 Biol, T. J., Jitoreanu, A., Pădureanu, S., Tătăringă, G., Tuchiluş, C., & Stănescu, U. (2013).
536 Evaluation of phytotoxic and mutagenic effects of some cinnamic acid derivatives using the
537 Triticum test. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, 748–756. <https://doi.org/10.3906/biy-1304-39>
- 538 Cameron, J. (2016). *Effects of Seed Applied Fungicide on Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Colonization of*
539 *South Dakota Cultivars of Oat, Soybean, and Corn*. South Dakota State University. Retrieved
540 from <http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/etd>
- 541 Cameron, J. C., Lehman, R. M., Sexton, P., Osborne, S. L., & Taheri, W. I. (2017). Fungicidal Seed
542 Coatings Exert Minor Effects on Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Plant Nutrient Content.
543 *Agronomy Journal*, 109(3), 1005–1012. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2016.10.0597>
- 544 Changjun Chen, Jianxin Wang, Qingquan Luo, S. Y. and Mingguo Z. (2007). Characterization and
545 fitness of carbendazim-resistant strains of *Fusarium graminearum* (wheat scab). *Pest*
546 *Management Science*, 63(12), 1201–1207. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1449>
- 547 Clayton A. Hollier, Jeffrey W. Hoy, Christopher A. Clark, Charles Overstreet, Jaspreet Sidhu,
548 Melanie L. Lewis Ivey, Raghuwinder Singh, Trey Price III, Mary Helen Ferguson, G. Boyd
549 Padgett, D. G. (2016). *Louisiana Plant Disease Management Guide*. *Plant Disease*.
550 Louisiana. Retrieved from file:///C:/Users/ekate_000/Desktop/Pub1802-
551 *plant_management_guide.pdf*
- 552 Cools, H. J., Hawkins, N. J., & Fraaije, B. A. (2013). Constraints on the evolution of azole
553 resistance in plant pathogenic fungi. *Plant Pathology*, 62, 36–42.
554 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12128>
- 555 Costa, A. V., Oliveira, M. V. L. de, Pinto, R. T., Moreira, L. C., Gomes, E. M. C., Alves, T. de A., ...
556 Júnior, W. C. de J. (2017). Synthesis of Novel Glycerol-Derived 1,2,3-Triazoles and
557 Evaluation of Their Fungicide, Phytotoxic and Cytotoxic Activities. *Molecules*, 22(10), 1666.
558 <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22101666>
- 559 Deising, H. B., Reimann, S., & Pascholati, S. F. (2008). Mechanisms and significance of fungicide
560 resistance. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 39(2), 286–295. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822008000200017>
- 561
- 562 Dias Maria Celeste. (2012). Phytotoxicity: An Overview of the Physiological Responses of Plants
563 Exposed to Fungicides. *Journal of Botany*, 2012, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/135479>
- 564 Donatelli, M., Magarey, R. D., Bregaglio, S., Willocquet, L., Whish, J. P. M., & Savary, S. (2017).
565 Modelling the impacts of pests and diseases on agricultural systems. *Agricultural Systems*,
566 155, 213–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGSY.2017.01.019>
- 567 E. Lee Butler, L. P. T. (2006). Method and Timing of Fungicide Applications for Control of Spring
568 Dead Spot In Hybrid Bermudagrass. *Plant Health Progress*. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHP-2006-0901-01-RS>
- 569

- 570 Elslahi, R. H., Osman, A. G., Sherif, A. M., & Elhussein, A. A. (2014). Comparative study of the
571 fungicide Benomyl toxicity on some plant growth promoting bacteria and some fungi in pure
572 cultures. *Interdisciplinary Toxicology*, 7(1), 12–16. <https://doi.org/10.2478/intox-2014-0002>
- 573 Forrer, H.-R., Musa, T., Schwab, F., Jenny, E., Bucheli, T. D., Wettstein, F. E., & Vogelgsang, S.
574 (2014). Fusarium head blight control and prevention of mycotoxin contamination in wheat with
575 botanicals and tannic acid. *Toxins*, 6(3), 830–849. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins6030830>
- 576 González M., Caetano P., S. M. E. (2017). Testing systemic fungicides for control of Phytophthora
577 oak root disease. *Forest Pathology*, 47(4), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1111/efp.12343>
- 578 Hasan, M. A., Ahmed, J. U., Tofazzal, H., Mian, M. A. K., & Haque, M. M. (2013). Evaluation of the
579 physiological quality of wheat seed as influenced by high parent plant growth temperature.
580 *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology*, 16(1), 69–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12892-010-0056-1>
- 581
- 582 He, X.-L., Wang, P., Ma, L., & Meng, J.-J. (2012). Effects of three fungicides on arbuscular
583 mycorrhizal fungal infection and growth of *Scutellaria baicalensis* Georgi. *Huan Jing Ke Xue=*
584 *Huanjing Kexue*, 33(3), 987–91. Retrieved from
585 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22624398>
- 586 Hernández-Dorrego, A., & Mestre-Parés, J. (2010). Evaluation of some fungicides on mycorrhizal
587 symbiosis between two *Glomus* species from commercial inocula and *Allium porrum* L.
588 seedlings. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*, 8(S1), 43.
589 <https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/201008S1-1222>
- 590 Hongyan, Germida, J. J., & Walley, F. L. (2013). Suppressive effects of seed-applied fungicides on
591 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) differ with fungicide mode of action and AMF species.
592 *Applied Soil Ecology*, 72, 22–30. Retrieved from [http://agris.fao.org/agris-](http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201400165252)
593 [search/search.do?recordID=US201400165252](http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201400165252)
- 594 Hunsche, M., Damerow, L., Schmitz-Eiberger, M., & Noga, G. (2007). Mancozeb wash-off from
595 apple seedlings by simulated rainfall as affected by drying time of fungicide deposit and rain
596 characteristics. *Crop Protection*, 26(5), 768–774. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2006.07.003>
- 597 Indian Council Of Agricultural Research. (2011). *Handbook of agriculture* (6th ed.). New Delhi:
598 Directorate of Information and Publications of Agriculture, Indian Council of Agricultural
599 Research.
- 600 Isaac, S. (1992). *Fungal-plant interactions* (1. ed.). London: Chapman & Hall. Retrieved from
601 <http://www.worldcat.org/title/fungal-plant-interactions/oclc/231386069>
- 602 Johnson, D. A., Hamm, P. B., & Sunseri, M. (n.d.). Fungicide Application for Management of Potato
603 Late Blight. Retrieved from http://public.wsu.edu/~djohnsn/index_files/Paper4.pdf
- 604 K. Paranjape, V. Gowariker, V.N. Krishnamurthy, S. Gowariker, K. P. (2014). *The Pesticide*
605 *Encyclopedia* (UK ed. edi). London: CABI.
- 606 Kandel, Y. R., Mueller, D. S., Legleiter, T., Johnson, W. G., Young, B. G., & Wise, K. A. (2018).
607 Impact of fluopyram fungicide and preemergence herbicides on soybean injury, population,
608 sudden death syndrome, and yield. *Crop Protection*, 106, 103–109.
609 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.12.009>
- 610 Kilani, J., & Fillinger, S. (2016). Phenylpyrroles: 30 Years, Two Molecules and (Nearly) No
611 Resistance. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.02014>
- 612 Kuna-Broniowski, M., Makarski, P., & Kuna-Broniowska, I. (2015). Application of Electric Fields as
613 a Method for Plant Disease Forecasting. *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia*, 7,
614 146–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AASPRO.2015.12.009>
- 615 Lamberth, C. (2012). Morpholine Fungicides for the Treatment of Powdery Mildew. In *Bioactive*
616 *Heterocyclic Compound Classes* (pp. 119–127). Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Verlag
617 GmbH & Co. KGaA. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527664412.ch10>
- 618 LEROUX, P., CHAPELAND, F., ARNOLD, A., & GREDET, M. (2000). New Cases of Negative
619 Cross-resistance between Fungicides, Including Sterol Biosynthesis Inhibitors. *Journal of*
620 *General Plant Pathology*, 66(1), 75–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00012925>
- 621 Lesemann, S. S., Schimpke, S., Dunemann, F., & Deising, H. B. (2006). Mitochondrial
622 heteroplasmy for the cytochrome b gene Controls the level of strobilurin resistance in the
623 apple powdery mildew fungus *Podosphaera leucotricha* (Eil. & Ev.) E. S. Salmon. *Journal*
624 *of Plant Diseases and Protection*, 113(6), 259–266. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03356191>
- 625 Lew, R. R. (2010). Turgor and net ion flux responses to activation of the osmotic MAP kinase
626 cascade by fludioxonil in the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*. *Fungal Genetics and*
627 *Biology*, 47(8), 721–726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FGB.2010.05.007>
- 628 Marín, S., Ramos, A. J., Cano-Sancho, G., & Sanchis, V. (2012). Reduction of mycotoxins and
629 toxigenic fungi in the Mediterranean basin maize chain. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 51(1),

630 93–118. Retrieved from www.fupress.com/pm

631 McGrath, M. T. (2004). What are Fungicides? *The Plant Health Instructor*.
632 <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHI-I-2004-0825-01>

633 Miguez, M., Reeve, C., Wood, P. M., & Hollomon, D. W. (2004). Alternative oxidase reduces the
634 sensitivity of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* to QOI fungicides. *Pest Management Science*, 60(1),
635 3–7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.837>

636 Ming-wang Shi. (2011). Based on time series and RBF network plant disease forecasting. *Procedia*
637 *Engineering*, 15, 2384–2387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROENG.2011.08.447>

638 Mueller, D. (n.d.). Field Crop Fungicides For the north Central united states. Retrieved from
639 <https://www.ncipmc.org/action/FungicideManual4.pdf>

640 Mueller, D. S. (2006). Fungicides: Terminology. *Integrated Crop Management*, 120–123. Retrieved
641 from <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/cropnews>

642 Murillo-Williams, A., & Pedersen, P. (2008). Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Colonization Response to
643 Three Seed-Applied Fungicides. *Agronomy Journal*, 100(3), 795.
644 <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2007.0142>

645 Nason, M. A., Farrar, J., & Bartlett, D. (2007). Strobilurin fungicides induce changes in
646 photosynthetic gas exchange that do not improve water use efficiency of plants grown under
647 conditions of water stress. *Pest Management Science Pest Manag Sci*, 63, 1191–1200.
648 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1443>

649 Oliver, R. P., & Hewitt, H. G. (2014). *Fungicides in crop protection* (2th ed.). CAB International.
650 Retrieved from
651 [https://books.google.pl/books/about/Fungicides_in_Crop_Protection_2nd_Editio.html?id=4x0x](https://books.google.pl/books/about/Fungicides_in_Crop_Protection_2nd_Editio.html?id=4x0xBQAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y)
652 [BQAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y](https://books.google.pl/books/about/Fungicides_in_Crop_Protection_2nd_Editio.html?id=4x0xBQAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y)

653 Pablo C. García, Rosa M. Rivero, Juan M. Ruiz, L. R. (2003). The Role of Fungicides in the
654 Physiology of Higher Plants: Implications for Defense Responses. *The Botanical Review*,
655 69(2), 162–172. [https://doi.org/10.1663/0006-8101\(2003\)069\[0162:TROFIT\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1663/0006-8101(2003)069[0162:TROFIT]2.0.CO;2)

656 Pantazopoulou, A., & Diallinas, G. (2007). Fungal nucleobase transporters. *FEMS Microbiology*
657 *Reviews*, 31(6), 657–675. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00083.x>

658 Paul Vincelli, Bruce Clarke, G. M. (2017). *Chemical Control of Turfgrass Diseases 2017*. University
659 of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food and Environment Chemical Control of Cooperative
660 Extension Service. Retrieved from <http://www2.ca.uky.edu/agcomm/pubs/ppa/ppa1/ppa1.PDF>

661 Pérez-de-Luque, A., Tille, S., Johnson, I., Pascual-Pardo, D., Ton, J., & Cameron, D. D. (2017).
662 The interactive effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria
663 synergistically enhance host plant defences against pathogens. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1).
664 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16697-4>

665 Petit, A.-N., Fontaine, F., Clément, C., & Vaillant-Gaveau, N. (2008). Photosynthesis Limitations of
666 Grapevine after Treatment with the Fungicide Fludioxonil. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
667 *Chemistry*, 56(15), 6761–6767. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf800919u>

668 Petit, A.-N., Fontaine, F., Ement, C. C., & Vaillant-Gaveau, N. (n.d.). Photosynthesis Limitations of
669 Grapevine after Treatment with the Fungicide Fludioxonil. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf800919u>

670 Reddy, P. P. (2012). Strobilurin Fungicides. In *Recent advances in crop protection* (pp. 185–200).
671 New Delhi: Springer India. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-0723-8_12

672 Ren, W., Shao, W., Han, X., Zhou, M., & Chen, C. (2016). Molecular and Biochemical
673 Characterization of Laboratory and Field Mutants of *Botrytis cinerea* Resistant to Fludioxonil.
674 *Plant Disease*, 100(7), 1414–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-11-15-1290-RE>

675 *Report on the pesticide residues monitoring programme: Quarter 1 2017*. (2017). London.
676 Retrieved from
677 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/655056/pesticide](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/655056/pesticide-residues-quarter1-2017-report.pdf)
678 [-residues-quarter1-2017-report.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/655056/pesticide-residues-quarter1-2017-report.pdf)

679 Rohr, J. R., Brown, J., Battaglin, W. A., McMahon, T. A., & Relyea, R. A. (2017). A pesticide
680 paradox: fungicides indirectly increase fungal infections. *Ecological Applications*, 27(8), 2290–
681 2302. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1607>

682 Saladin Gaëlle, Magné Christian, C. C., & Clément, C. (2003). Effects of fludioxonil and
683 pyrimethanil, two fungicides used against *Botrytis cinerea*, on carbohydrate physiology in *Vitis*
684 *vinifera* L. *Pest Management Science*, 59(10), 1083–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.733>

685 Schreiner, R. P., & Bethlenfalvay, G. J. (1997). Plant and soil response to single and mixed species
686 of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under fungicide stress. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 7, 93–102.
687 Retrieved from
688 <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.579.3176&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

689 Shuping, D. S. S., & Eloff, J. N. (2017). THE USE OF PLANTS TO PROTECT PLANTS AND

690 FOOD AGAINST FUNGAL PATHOGENS: A REVIEW. *African Journal of Traditional,*
691 *Complementary, and Alternative Medicines : AJTCAM*, 14(4), 120–127.
692 <https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.14>

693 Southern AG. (2015). *LIQUID COPPER FUNGICIDE* (No. 10465-3-829). New Jersey . Retrieved
694 from <https://www.domyown.com/msds/SDSCopper.pdf>

695 Tom Allen. (2013). Not Everything is as it Seems: Fungicide Phytotoxicity and Plant Diseases |
696 Mississippi Crop Situation. Retrieved January 9, 2018, from [http://www.mississippi-](http://www.mississippi-crops.com/2013/08/09/not-everything-is-as-it-seems-fungicide-phytotoxicity-and-plant-diseases/)
697 [crops.com/2013/08/09/not-everything-is-as-it-seems-fungicide-phytotoxicity-and-plant-](http://www.mississippi-crops.com/2013/08/09/not-everything-is-as-it-seems-fungicide-phytotoxicity-and-plant-diseases/)
698 [diseases/](http://www.mississippi-crops.com/2013/08/09/not-everything-is-as-it-seems-fungicide-phytotoxicity-and-plant-diseases/)

699 Untiedt, R., & Blanke, M. M. (2004). Effects of fungicide and insecticide mixtures on apple tree
700 canopy photosynthesis, dark respiration and carbon economy. *Crop Protection*, 23(10), 1001–
701 1006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2004.02.012>

702 Vincelli P. (2002). QoI (Strobilurin) Fungicides: Benefits and Risks. *The Plant Health Instructor*.
703 <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHI-I-2002-0809-02>

704 Walker, A.-S., Micoud, A., Rémuson, F., Grosman, J., Gredt, M., & Leroux, P. (2013). French
705 vineyards provide information that opens ways for effective resistance management of
706 *Botrytis cinerea* (grey mould). *Pest Management Science*, 69(6), 667–678.
707 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3506>

708 War, A. R., Paulraj, M. G., Ahmad, T., Buhroo, A. A., Hussain, B., Ignacimuthu, S., & Sharma, H.
709 C. (2012). Mechanisms of plant defense against insect herbivores. *Plant Signaling &*
710 *Behavior*, 7(10), 1306–20. <https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.21663>

711 Wojdyła, A. T. (2007). Influence of strobilurin compounds on the development of *Puccinia horiana*.
712 *Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences*, 72(4), 961–6. Retrieved
713 from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18396835>

714 Woodward, J. E., Russell, S. A., Baring, M. R., Cason, J. M., & Baughman, T. A. (2015). Effects of
715 Fungicides, Time of Application, and Application Method on Control of Sclerotinia Blight in
716 Peanut. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/323465>

717 Xia, X. J., Huang, Y. Y., Wang, L., Huang, L. F., Yu, Y. L., Zhou, Y. H., & Yu, J. Q. (2006).
718 Pesticides-induced depression of photosynthesis was alleviated by 24-epibrassinolide
719 pretreatment in *Cucumis sativus* L. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 86(1), 42–48.
720 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PESTBP.2006.01.005>

721