



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_49665
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Open Angle Glaucoma: The Pathophysiology, Mechanisms, Future Diagnostic and Therapeutic Directions
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Differential diagnosis These should include entities that can be mistaken for POAG. Entities like Normal tension glaucoma and PACG are not in the differential diagnostic list of POAG. 5.1.1. Visual field assessment: these tools are very basic and most of them not in operation currently. Focus on automated perimetry and its advances (e.g. FDT) 5.1.3. Ophthalmoscopy and Biomicroscopy are important for anterior segment evaluation as well in POAG. 5.2. Modern diagnostic imaging tools: although the physical principle behind the technology is important, yet focus has to be on the findings in POAG. Details of the OCT technology are not necessary and can be safely omitted. Please include a hint about the newer modalities of Bruch's membrane imaging by OCT.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Manuscript needs thorough English language and typographic review.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nader Bayoumi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alexandria University, Egypt</b>