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### PART 1: Review Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Type</th>
<th>Reviewer’s comment</th>
<th>Author’s comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compulsory</strong> REVISION comments</td>
<td>Article is well written, informative and excellent designed. Requested to enrich some of the sentences.</td>
<td>(If agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Minor** REVISION comments | Abstract: 1. The ethanolic extract exhibited better antimicrobial activity on *S. typhi*, *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *Ps. aeruginosa* and *Candida albicans* compared to the aqueous extract. Is it possible to clarify to explain the reason  
Introduction: Ok  
Materials and Methods: 2. Is there any accession no of this leaves from National herbarium/ botanist?  
3. 2.2.1 Preparation of Aqueous Extract: A 100 g of powdered *C. odorata* was weighed and soaked in 1000 mL of distilled water in a conical flask, swirled intermittently at an hour interval. After 72 hours, the mixture was filtered using Whatman No.1 filter paper into a clean beaker. (Is there any possibility to grow any microbial organism?, need to clarify)  
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 4. May requested to write few lines about result at the starting point.  
5. Fig 1. Quantitative phytochemical composition of *Chromolaena odorata* revealing the ethanolic and aqueous extraction potentials (Please mention the reference by using which method)  
Conclusion: Ok  
Ref: Ok | |
| **Optional/General** comments | Recommended for publication. | |
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