



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_47650
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Convection in Global Warming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paper authors have investigated that the geophysical convection calculations could potentially obscure details which is necessary to understand convective-heat-transfer changes caused by changes in the adverse temperature gradient. The objective is to ascertain the functional relationship between adverse temperature gradient and convection efficiency. The results show that it increases atmospheric convection efficiency and potentially provides a radical solution to the global climate crisis. Moreover, reduction of particulate-pollution, the greatest environmental health-threat, will potentially save millions of lives and reduce the suffering of many more.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The study is interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly. Following amendments are needed- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Page 2, Line 49: 2. METHOD is to be replaced as 2. METHODOLOGY Page 7, Lines 209-236; 4. CONCLUSION is to be re-written points wise. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Bharat Raj Singh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam Technical University, India</i>