



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_47650
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Convection in Global Warming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I will like to suggest that the title of the article should be –“Role of Atmospheric Convection in Global Warming.</p> <p>The experiment the author presented is not replete with sufficient empirical evidence to successfully counter a scientific fact/truth as a fallacy or dubious claim as allege by the author. Emphasis was only made on CO2 which incidentally is not the only greenhouse gas implicated in global warming when other GHG have their combined contributory roles.</p> <p>Lastly, i may suggest that it may be more accommodating to say that particulate pollution emission has been implicated as a significant contributor to global warming</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The full meaning of the acronym in the abstract and in the body of the work was not mentioned.</p> <p>Line 62 had beaker –beaker repetition</p> <p>Fig 1 containing the 2 photos can be separated by using Fig 1A and 1B respectively for a clearer illustration.</p> <p>Fig 2 graph legend is devoid of what the thick, thin and broken black lines represent</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Benjamin Chukwumah Anwadike</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Nigeria</i>