



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_47918
Title of the Manuscript:	Experiences of fathers of babies admitted into a neonatal unit in a tertiary hospital in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The theme is interesting. I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract: "Fathers found the SCBU experience stressful and need to be given more support in and outside the hospital.": this statement cannot be deduced from this study. You did not study "if requirement of more support is needed". You can write this is the text; however, abstract should consist solely based on the present "data". Rephrase this part. 2. Delete Figure 2. You need not make this figure to illustrate this simple data. 3. Data/results become different based on the questionnaire that you made/employed here. Thus, please show the questionnaire itself as a supplement. 4. Line 58: Please state inclusion criteria of fathers. Were ALL fathers in this period study population? 5. The number studied here was too small to conclude something. Thus, the following should be added. "Since there have been few data of fathers' attitude and situation, we preliminary studied it in this hospital. Although the study number here was naturally small considering the aim of this study, the present data can be useful to expand the present study. Further study based on the present data will improve the parents (including fathers) welfare, which will eventually improve maternal-paternal-neonatal care in this region". The point is that you had better confess "yes, the number was small; however, this study is useful as a basic data, on which further study should be made". This is a "preliminary" study and as such context should be written. You need not use the expression per se. 6. English should be edited. 	
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shigeki Matsubara
Department, University & Country	Jichi Medical University, Japan