

Original Research Article

Capture Efficiency of some Artisanal Fishing Gears employed at Upper Benue Basin, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to compare the capture efficiency of some artisanal fishing gears employed at Upper Benue Basin, Nigeria. The study was carried out for a 6 months period running from July to December 2017. Sampling was by Direct observation of the Fish at the landing sites and the gears used by the fishermen. Coefficient of Variation is used for the assessment of variability in the Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) by gear types. Other data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). A total of 5 different gear types were identified to include: Gill net – Taru; Malian trap – Gura/Malia; Lift net – Akauji; Cast net – Birgi; Longline – Rincha. The result further indicated that Coefficient of Variations (C.V) differ with the gear used. The study therefore recommends that further investigation on the efficiency of the nets over a longer period (for at least 2 seasons) should be carried out; government should take immediate action through public awareness and education to regulate fishing activities such that adequate numbers of fishermen should be licensed to fish in a particular water body, together with their gear and craft nets; and a minimum of 3” mesh size has been recommended for all inland net fishing. This is to protect the spawning stock of commercially valued species.

Keywords: Add 4 to 6 different from the title

INTRODUCTION

Fish catching methods have been known since humanity’s earliest days (Binyotubo, 2011). The artisanal form of fishing constitutes the most important sector of fisheries. It accounts for the major fish supply in the developing world (Food and Agriculture Organization, FAO, 2012). According to Raw Materials Research and Development Council (2007), over 10 million people are directly or indirectly engaged in fishery in Nigeria. Artisanal fishery is the harvesting of fish from rivers, streams, lakes and ponds by small scale fishermen using both traditional and modern fishing gears. It is the most important of fish production in Nigeria and accounts for over 90% of her fish production (Ogunbadejo *et al.*, 2007).

A high percentage of landed fish in Nigeria is from artisanal catch. According to Emmanuel (2009) artisanal catch made up to about 40% of all the fish consumed in Nigeria, in order to improve in the catching efficiency, there is need for good knowledge of fishing gears availability and its effectiveness. The great divergence in the efficiency of different forms of fishing gear, in their adaptability to certain conditions, and in their desirability for specific job is important (Kingdom and Kwen, 2009).

Traditional fishing arts have been developed over the years to adapt to local body conditions; the species of fish desired and targeted size. The most successful fishing methods of an area or a region are those that have stood the test of time (Kingdom and Kwen, 2009). The artisanal fishermen apart from fishing engage in other economic activities such as farming and tailoring which in turn improves their socioeconomic status. Large population of the artisanal fishermen rely mainly on the predominant use of small fishing gears like gill nets, cast nets, clap net, Malian trap (gura), hook and line etc to harvest fishery resource in the various fishing grounds (inland rivers, streams, lakes, reservoirs, lagoons and creeks) of Nigeria (Adeleke *et al.*, 2013). Hence the study is to Artisanal fishing gears and the acceptability of the different fishing techniques used and to also determine the effects of using the different fishing gears

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area: Mayo Ranewo is located in the Southwestern part of Ardokola LGA of Taraba State, Nigeria. It is located at the confluence of River ~~Fan Mangel~~ Fan Mangel with the Benue river. The town is roughly located between latitude 8°47' to 8°53' N and longitude 10°50' to 10°55' E. The town has a population of about 11,000 people according to the 2006 National Population Census. There are about 25 fishing ponds in the community.

Comment [A1]: Please check again if all citations are in the reference's section and vice-versa.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [A2]: This sentence is confusing and should be rewritten. An additional one is needed in order to clearly understand the authors' objectives.

The largest is the Mariwo. Others include Abarku, Anji, Kinkau, Na-huta, Ruwan Barau, Ruwan Juma'a, Kambari, Yoride, Nubi, Ji, Faran Kaya, Dogon Yashi, Jimdakoli and so on.

Method of Data Collection: The study was carried out for a 6 months period running from July to December 2017. The study area is characterized by wetlands and River Benue and thus has different landing sites. The study area was categorized into three sites: site A, B and C. Site A (fishing, farming, washing, bathing, other commercial activities). Site B (fishing farming, commercial activities site), while site C (fishing and farming). The sites were sampled twice monthly for fish species. Sampling was by: Direct observation of the Fish at the landing sites; The Fish were sorted into taxonomic groups, identified to family and species level. Fishers and fishing gears used.

All the fish species landed were counted. Fish species that cannot be identified at the landing site were preserved and transported in cool box and labeled for laboratory identification and analysis. In the laboratory, the fish were preserved in 2% formaldehyde solution (Bankole, *et al.*, 1994). Identification of the fish species was according to Olaosebikan and Raji (2013).

Statistical Analysis: Coefficient of Variation is used for the assessment of variability in the Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) by gear types (Abu Sayeed *et al.*, 2014). Other data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).

RESULTS

Table 1 and Fig 1 identified the various artisanal fishing techniques identified to be used by the fishermen. A total of 5 different gear types were identified and the commonest ones used by fishers at the study area were Gill net – Taru, Malian trap – Gura/Malia, Lift net – Akauji, Cast net – Birgi and Longline – Rincha.

Table 1: Fishing gears identified at the study sites

Gear	Local name	Site A	Site B	Site C
Gill net	Taru	+	+	+
Cast net	Birgi	+	+	+
Lift net	Akauji	+	+	+
Longline	Rincha	+	+	+
Malian Trap	Gura/Malia	+	+	+

Table 2: Gears types, specification and period of operation

Gear name	Local name	Parameter		Mesh size (cm)	Age of gear (yrs)	Target species	Period of Operation
		Length of net (m)	Depth of net (m)				
Gill net	Taru	50-100	1-5	1-4	1-3	All	Sept – Dec.
Cast net	Birgi	1-5	1-5	1-2	1-2	All	Year Round
Lift net	Akauji	3-5	1-3	≤1	1-2	All	Sept – Dec
Longline	Rincha	No. of Hooks	Size of Hooks (inch)		1-3	Carnivores	July – Dec.
		Width (cm)	Height (cm)	Entrance Valve diameter (cm)	Mesh size (cm)		
Malian Trap	Gura	10 – 65	10 – 90	8 – 10	1 – 4	Economic fish	July – Dec.




Fig 1: Fishing gears distribution across the study sites

Table 3: Choice of the Artisanal Fishing Gear in the study sites


Reason(s) for Gear Usage (n=65)	Site A	Site B	Site C	Total	Mean	S.D	% Total Sum
Area to be Fish	1	0	1	2	1.00	0.00	0.91
Cost of Gear	1	1	1	3	1.00	0.00	1.30
Efficiency	19	15	11	45	15.00	4.00	19.30
Fish to be caught	21	17	18	56	18.66	2.08	24.00
Freshness of catch	27	18	12	57	19.00	7.54	24.50
Live catch	1	1	1	3	1.00	0.00	1.30
Safety at operation	1	0	1	2	1.00	0.00	0.91
Season	30	21	14		21.66	8.02	100.0

Table 4 shows the Mean, Standard Deviation (SD) and Coefficient of Variations (C.V) of the Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) for each artisanal fishing gear in respect to the sites studied. The analysis of variation between the sites and types of artisanal fishing gear showed no significant variation difference. The highest Coefficient of Variations (C.V) of 84% was recorded in Site A for Longline.

Table 4: Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) of the study sites

GEAR	SITE A			SITE B			SITE C		
	MEAN	S.D	C.V	MEAN	S.D	C.V	MEAN	S.D	C.V
Gill net	7.65	2.69	35	8.03	2.83	35	6.92	2.77	40
Cast net	6.19	20.8	46	5.96	2.77	47	4.38	1.81	41
Lift net	5.84	3.03	52	6.08	2.55	42	6.03	2.69	45
Longline	21.8	18.3	84	16.2	10.1	62	12.1	8.07	67
Malian Trap (Taru)	32.0	24.8	77	25.5	21.0	82.1	19.71	12.6	64

84 Fig 2 shows the mean monthly estimate (kg)/gear across the study sites for the six months surveyed. It is
85 observed that the highest total catch is recorded in October.



86
87 **Fig 2:** Mean Month estimate (kg)/gear across the study sites from July – December, 2017
88

89 DISCUSSION

90 The gear types found in this present study are: Gill net – Taru, Malian trap – Gura/Malia, Lift net – Akauji, Cast
91 net – Birgi and Longline – Rincha. All these gear types have been acknowledged by researchers such as du Feu
92 *et al.*, (1997); NIFFR (2002) during previous surveys of the inland water bodies in Nigeria. The artisanal fishing
93 gears are the commonest gear in Kainji Lake (du Feu *et al.*, 1997; Damilare, 2014); Lake Alau (Bankole *et al.*,
94 2007); Lake Chad Basin (Neiland, *et al.*, 2000), Tabatu floodplain (Tagogo and Ahmed, 2011) all in Nigeria.
95 Gill net and Malian Trap is ranked as the most important and the most used fishing gear among the fishers. The
96 dominance of gill net and Malian Trap followed by Lift net can be traced back to the mid 70's as it has been
97 earlier reported (Seisay, 1998). Gill nets and Malian traps are widely used in artisanal fisheries in developing
98 countries because they are efficient, relatively inexpensive and capable of catching higher amount of
99 economically valuable fish than other artisanal gears (Kingdom and Kwen, 2009). The efficiency of these net
100 types is influenced by mesh size, exposed net area, flotation, mesh shape and hanging ratio, visibility and type
101 of netting material in relation with stiffness and breaking strength (Binyutobo, 2011; Emmanuel, 2009;
102 Kingdom and Kwen, 2009). Despite acceptance of the gears in the study area, fishermen switch gear during
103 fishing activities, a practice that is in consonance with the research conducted in Lake Chad and Nguru-Gashua
104 wetlands of North East Nigeria by Neiland *et al.*, (2000). For instance, a fisherman could own one gill net, one
105 cast net, one set of hook & line as well as some traps and any of them can be used anytime the fisher want
106 (Neiland *et al.*, 2000). This may be as a result of fishing patterns (mixed fisheries) of the region, but region but
107 is also a reflection of the flexibility in nature utilization and lack of rigid fishing regulations as has been reported
108 by Tagago and Ahmed (2011), different gears are used for targeting fish because of habitat changes. According
109 to du Feu *et al.*, (1997), and Bankole *et al.*, (2007), fishers used different kind of fishing gear because of
110 seasonal variations in species availability. Kingdom and Kwen (2009) also reported that more than 70% of
111 fishers in the lower creek of the Niger Delta had more than three fishing gears in-use. Emmanuel (2010) stated
112 that gill nets were the main gears used by local fishers, followed by longlines and castnets. Emmanuel *et al.*,
113 (2008) also found gill nets and cast nets as dominant gears in Lagos lagoon and its adjacent creeks in Nigeria.
114 Kingdom and Kwen, (2009) also observed that majority of the artisanal fisherfolks are characterized by
115 utilization of low cost craft/gear, usually one-three gears. Furthermore, Solarin and Okorie (2007), reported nets

116 as constituting the most abundant Small Scale fishing gear in Nigeria. Gill net was the commonest gear in River
117 fishing in the Niger Delta in Nigeria (Kingdom and Kwen, 2009).
118 The efficiency of gear is directly associated to the possibility that a fish will encounter and be caught in the gear
119 (Kingdom and Kwen, 2009). According to Portt *et al.* (2007), efficiency varies among gear types. There is
120 variation in efficiency of the fishing gear used by the fishers in the study area, this efficiency variation may be
121 influenced by the mesh size of the gear, which may invariably have greater influence on the size of species
122 caught (Portt *et al.*, 2007). This may be attributed to the behavioral pattern of the gear itself (passive or active)
123 and even be related to materials used in the fabrication of the fishing gear (Binyotubo, 2011).
124 The mean CPUE for all fishing gears in study area differs because the CPUE is affected not only by
125 environmental factors (e.g. water level, water quality, productivity, turbidity), but also by fishing gears, fishing
126 pressure and the fishers' preferences (Abu Sayeed *et al.*, 2014). The reasons for the significant differences in the
127 CPUE were dependent on the size of the nets, the total number of hooks used, bait and the experiences of the
128 artisanal fishers. Another reason for the difference in the fish catches was the location. According to Abu
129 Sayeed *et al.*, (2014), the environmental factors such as waves, turbidity, wind direction, rainfall and weather
130 most ~~times affect~~times affect catches. Furthermore, the CPUE showed an increased and decreased trends over
131 the study period. This is because the month of July was the water entering period, therefore, fishes entered with
132 flood water in the Upper Benue Basin and this results to fish abundance. Moreso, the high yield recorded in
133 October may be as result of minimal water level.

134 CONCLUSION

135 Gill nets, Cast nets, Lift nets, Longlines and Malian trap are typical gears employed in the Upper Benue Basin.
136 The most prominent among them is the Gill-net and Malian trap. The Lift net which is used during the high
137 water can encourage a fishing festival to be introduced during high water period.
138 The gill net at appropriate mesh size (1-4cm) proof highly efficient and it is durable when properly maintained.
139 It has no discrimination in selecting species to be entangle or gilled into the net, hence fishermen enjoy the
140 usage. As a passive gear it can be set and other daily activities can be possible for the fishermen such as farm
141 and marketing.
142 Longline is an efficient gears, it hook mostly the carnivorous fishes. But it is labour intensive, time wasting,
143 both during construction and operation. But the fishermen enjoyed the high market value of the catch.
144 Cast net (an active gear) the usage is seasonal~~seasonal~~, and it select suitable water body. Fishermen at the study
145 area enjoyed the usage during the high water level with low current. It catches species such as Tilapia,
146 mormyrus and heterotis species.

147 REFERENCES

148
149 Abu Sayeed, M.D., Salam, M.A., Hossain, M.A.R., Wahab, M.A., (2014). Efficiency of Fishing Gears and their
150 Effects on Fish Biodiversity and Production in the Chalan Beel Of Bangladesh. *European Scientific Journal*.
151 Vol.10(30). 294 - 309
152 Adeleke, B.A., Ayelaja, A.A., Popoola, M.A., Jimoh, W.A., Olawepo, K.D. and Rifhat, A.O. (2013).
153 Assessment of fishing gear and crafts utilized by fishermen in Eleyele Lake, Ibadan, Oyo state. Proceedings
154 of 28th annual conference of the fisheries society of Nigeria, Abuja. Pp 5-20
155 Bankole, N.O., Sule, O.D., Okwundu, E.C. and Amadi, M. (1994). Preliminary investigation into the fish and
156 catch assessment survey of Alau Lake. Annual Report of National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries
157 Research (NIFPRI). 28pp
158 Bankole N.O., Raji I.A., Adikwu O.A. and Okwundu E.C. (2007). Fishing gear survey of Lake Alau, In: A.A.
159 Eyo and E.A. Ajao (Eds), Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria
160 (FISON). Maiduguri, 4th-9th November, 2001. 99-103.
161 Binyotubo, T.E. (2011). A Guide to Fishing Gear Technology. National Institute of Freshwater Fisheries
162 Research, New-Bussa, Niger State, Nigeria. 345p.

Comment [A3]: What do you mean with this sentence?

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [A4]: What is this? Refer to these species with full scientific name and authority.

Formatted: Highlight

163 Damilare, I.O. (2014). Survey of Artisanal Fishing Gear and Craft: A case study of Kainji Lake lower basin,
164 Nigeria. Unpublished Master Thesis in International Fisheries Management submitted to the Faculty of
165 Bioscience, Fisheries and Economics, The Arctic University, Norway
166 du Feu, T.A. Abayomi, O.S. and Seisay, M.D.B. (1997). Fishing Gear Survey, Kainji Lake, Northern Nigeria.
167 Nigeria German Kainji Lake Promotion Technical Report. pp 6-20.
168 Emmanuel, B. E. (2008). Gill net selectivity and catch rates of pelagic fish in tropical coastal lagoonal
169 ecosystem. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 7(21), 3962-3971
170 Emmanuel, B.E. (2009). The artisanal fishing gears, crafts technology and their efficiency in Lekki lagoon,
171 Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. University of Lagos. 256p.
172 Emmanuel, B.E. (2010). Assessment of fishing practices in a tropical low brackish lagoon ecosystem in
173 southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of life and physical sciences*. 4(2), 13-17
174 Food and Agricultural Organization, FAO (2012). Sample-based Fishery Surveys. *FAO Fishery Technical*
175 *Paper*. No. 425. ISBN 92-5-104699-9. pp 144.
176 Kingdom, T. and Kwen, K. (2009). Survey of Fishing Gear and Methods in the Lower Taylor Creek Area,
177 Bayelsa State, Nigeria. In: *World Journal of Fish and marine Sciences* 1 (4), 313-319.
178 National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research, NIFFR (2002). National surveys of fishing gears and crafts
179 on Nigerian inland water bodies. NIFFR occasional paper No.4.ix, pp 4. ISSN 0794-2451, ISBN 978-177-
180 051-1.
181 Neiland, A.E., Jaffry, S., Ladu, B.M.B., Sarch, M.T. and Madakan, S.P. (2000). Inland Fisheries of North East
182 Nigeria including the Upper River Benue, Lake Chad and the Nguru-gashua Wetlands. I. Characterization
183 and analysis of planning suppositions. pp 229-243
184 Neiland, A.E., Jaffry, S., Ladu, B.M.B., Sarch, M.T. and Madakan, S.P. (2000). Inland Fisheries of North East
185 Nigeria including the Upper River Benue, Lake Chad and the Nguru-gashua Wetlands. I. Characterization
186 and analysis of planning suppositions. pp 229-243.
187 Ogunbadejo, H.K., Alhaji, T. and Otubusin, S. (2007). Productivity of Labor in Artisanal fish farming in
188 Nigeria. *African Journal of Applied Zoology & Environmental Biology*, 9: 74-77.
189 Olaosebika, B.D. and Raji, A. (2013). Field Guide to Nigerian Freshwater Fishes. Revised Edition. Published
190 by Lubar Press, Lagos, Nigeria, 450pp
191 Portt, C.B., Coker, G.A., Ming, D.L. and Randall, R.G. (2007). A review of fish sampling methods commonly
192 used in Canadian freshwater habitats. *Canada Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 4(1), 2604-2608.
193
194 Seisay, M.D.B. (1998). The cast net fishery, Kainji Lake, Nigeria, 1970-1997. Nigerian- German (GTZ) Kainji
195 Lake fisheries promotion project Technical Report Series 12. ISSN: 1119- 1449: pp 28p
196 Solarin, B.B. and Okorie, P.V (2007). Nigeria fisheries at a time of economic paradigm shift. Proceeding of the
197 22nd annual conference of the fisheries society of Nigeria (FISON)
198 Tagago, T.A. and Ahmed, Y.B. (2011). Fishing gear survey of Tatabu floodplain, In: R.J. Koko and A.M. Orire
199 (Eds), Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON). 28th
200 November-2nd December, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria. pp 109-116.
201 Taraba State Official Diary (2016). A property of Taraba State Investment and Property Limited (TRIP Ltd),
202 Jalingo.
203