



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_47345
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATE THE ADC VALUES IN PROBABLY BENIGN AND SUSPICIOUS MALIGNANT BREAST LESIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please specify the abbreviation term in abstract ,No ADC,DWI It should be mentioned in full form . Simple bar ,pie diagram should better be avoided. One single table showing conglomeration of all results is better.	
Minor REVISION comments	Statistical analysis results can be given in a table. Some references are not in proper Vancouver style.	
Optional/General comments	Good study ,may help in patient benefit ,cases are good ,But does it cost effective to patient ? Nowadays ADC Values are being used in different tumours of body.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chhanda Das
Department, University & Country	India