



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_49598
Title of the Manuscript:	Some effects of sound and music on organisms and cells: a review
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract can not contain the same sentences as the introduction. 2. 'At the cellular level, sound vibrations can affect microfilament rearrangements, increase levels of soluble polyamines and sugars, modify the activity of various proteins, and regulate the transcription of certain genes [4, 9], 10].' in the given references I have not found any information about microfilaments and sugar. 3. In the "Effects on unicellular organisms" the author/authors found only one article on this topic. 4. There are many scientific reports on the influence of music on stress, and in this work section only two of them have been presented. 5. Is the whole chapter (Allograft survival) based on only one reference? 6. 4.1 Effects on unicellular organisms- is unnecessary since it has been described above – what's the point of that comment? 7. Tumor cell strain- it is used cell line rather cell strain and the reference no. 20 contains information about chickens, not about MCF-7 cell line. 8. In my opinion the work is unfinished, and the number of chapters does not affect the benefit, on the contrary, there are incomplete and based on several literature items (sometimes on one). 9. I suggest to check the literature reports and information contained in them. <p>The author should focus on a specific part of the work and describe it in detail, then the work would be very valuable</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Izdebska Magdalena</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Poland And Nicolaus Copernicus University, Poland</i>