



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_49217
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TRICHODERMA AS BIOFUNGICIDE AND INDUCER OF CORN PLANT RESISTANCE AGAINST DOWNY MILDEW DISEASE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic should read Biocontrol potential of Trichoderma species on Downy mildew disease of maize. 2. What you have written as abstract is not an abstract. Please rewrite a proper abstract. 3. The materials and methods is very incomplete and unacceptable. You need to explain in detail how the experiment was done in step by step procedure. What you have written is a summary and very confusing. How were the fungicide applied on the maize plants and in what concentrations? 4. Was the experiment carried out in the field or in a screen house? 5. In which part of the plant was the Fungicide and disease organism applied? 6. How was the maize plants inoculated with the downy mildew disease? 7. How was the disease organism isolated from the disease plants and identified? 8. How where the Trichoderma species isolated and identified? 9. Line 117 should read results and discussion. 10. Please you need to write out a concise experimental design. The experiment is a factorial experiment. Bring out the treatment factors, treatment combinations, treatment levels and number of plots. 11. Make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript. 12. Arrange the manuscript according to the journal specifications. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The materials and methods have a lot of gaps. Please, follow the recommendations strictly.	

PART 2:



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liamngee Kator
Department, University & Country	Benue State University, Nigeria