



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_45187
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Shea Nut Shell Biochar on Root Knot Nematodes ( <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.) of Tomato ( <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>1. Materials and methods:</b>                      (1) Amount of application of biochar should be introduced, and how long was the plot experiment? Why did you choose the application rate of two parts of biochar is to one part of soil, which was two higher application rate?                      (2) What was the basic information of the soils?</p> <p><b>2. Results:</b>                      ( 1 ) The author didn't explain the season why that lower height was observed in the highest biochar concentration. If because of increase in alkalinity, the author should give the pH.                      ( 2 ) What was WAP?</p> <p><b>3. The discussion should be strengthened.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. Line 27, First time shown on the paper, RKN should be written as "Root Knot Nematodes".                      2. Line 56, nematode normally identified at a magnification of 400x rather than 100x.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article must be revised before it can be published.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Manqiang Liu</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Nanjing Agricultural University, China</i></b>