



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_43593
Title of the Manuscript:	Oil yield and quality of Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) as influenced by organic manures and biofertilizers
New Title of the Manuscript:	Oil yield and quality of Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) varieties as influenced by organic manures and biofertilizers
Type of Article:	Original Research Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>I noticed that although the author made some corrections to improve the writing, several of the questions that I scored were not met:</p> <p>Introduction: The introduction does not adequately expose the context of the work, cites only a very old bibliographical reference.</p> <p>Matherial and methods</p> <p>Raise the geographic coordinates of the experiment. Write in full the first time the RDF terms appear; FYM; PSB; How was the Vermicompost made or is it commercial? As for the methods lacked a brief description of each (how the samples were prepared, reagents ..., since they were only referenced with references quite old.</p> <p>Discussion: Since the statistical data were not presented correctly in the tables, since the comparison of means for all the variables is lacking, it is very difficult to analyze the affirmations made as to the greater or lesser result. References cited to compare results are also very old and should be reviewed. I suggest that in the table add the standard deviation next to each result and the letters comparing the averages, p value at the end of the table. What SE and CD mean in the tables, put below the meaning. 100% of references have more than five years.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Giani Mariza Barwald Bohm</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Brazil</i>