



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_49643
Title of the Manuscript:	Proof of Collatz conjecture
Type of the Article	Original research

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reference such as wikipedia is not sufficient. There were at least 30 publications in <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collatz_conjecture">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collatz_conjecture</a> , from where literature and references can be taken after reading through the papers.  -Cross reference for Collatz conjecture is required to add value to the work.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olumide Adesina</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria</b>