



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_46506
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF POULTRY DROPPINGS, COW DUNG, SAW DUST AND NPK FERTILIZER ON THE GROWTH, YIELD COMPONENTS AND YIELD OF Glycine max. L (SOYA BEAN) IN THE NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNA REGION OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Topic is too long. Poultry droppings, cow dung, saw dust are organic products. NPK is inorganic. Yield components and yield can be merged. Relook at the topic and frame it well.</p> <p>How did you measure all these (growth and yield parametrs). Give a brief description of how this was done. Remember that your Materials and Methods should be reproducible anywhere anytime</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How was the data you obtained statistically analysed? SPSS, R or Genstat software 2. How were means separated statistically? 3. Please adhere to the journal style of referencing- For example look at this: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. <p>Kindly check the position of the years in the reference and compare to yours. Check this website--- http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/24/authors-instruction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Check the grammar and spelling mistakes as well 	
Optional/General comments	Paper can be published when corrections are made.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Kweku Tandoh
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana