



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_47788
Title of the Manuscript:	Portrayal of Religious Syncretism in Akiki Nyabongo's Novel: Africa Answers Back
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract Line 1 remove " " on the paper title. Italics only is required Line 9 insert "a" before major to read "a major issue" Line 10 "for the near" should read "in the near" Line 10 "I conclude" should read "The researcher concludes" Line 12 "practices is rooted" should be "practices are" Line 12 "I recommend" should be "The researcher recommends" Line 13 "as each has" to "as they have"</p> <p>Introduction 3rd paragraph under introduction "African traditional religions are..." should read "African traditional religion is" 4th paragraph, last line "practice" should be "practised" 4th paragraph, last line "up today" should be "up till today " 4th paragraph, last line "even if" should be "even though" *check source 5th paragraph, line 4 "flo, commits" should be "flo and he commits" 6th paragraph, line 1, insert , after 'African religious' before 'sin'</p> <p>5. Methodology 1. Line 4 "I" should be "the researcher " 2. Last line "me" to "the researcher "</p> <p>6. Findings 1. Line 1 "we" should be "the researcher (s)" 2. Line 6 "leads should read lead" 3. Line 7 "I present" to "the researcher presents" 4. Line 8. "Discuss" to discusses 5. Last line, delete "the outcome in form of religious syncretism "</p> <p>6.1. Pregnancy and birth 1. Line 11, faulty should read "faults" 2. Line 18, put , after culture</p> <p>6.2. Naming: 1st paragraph, 1. lines 3 – 4 delete from "accompabied by presents to the parent's child" 2nd paragraph, 1. line 4 "men of women" to "men or women" 2. Line 8 "think" to "thinks" 3. Line 14 should be "Chief Ati impresses" without "upon"</p> <p>Last paragraph 1. Line 2 Chief Ati is portrayed to desires.... Rephrase!</p> <p>6.3. Marriage 1. 1st paragraph line 3, "preparation" to be "preparing" 2. Line 7, began to read "begins" 3. Last paragraph, line 2 "Mujungu having" to "Mujungu as having "</p> <p>6.4. Death and burial 1. 3rd paragraph, line 1 "chief" to "Chief"</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>2. Last line of last paragraph, "African" to "Africans"</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 4 "I conclude" to "the researcher concludes" Line 5, "their to "her" <p>Recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1st paragraph, line 1 "I recommend " to "the researcher recommends" Line 6 delete from "otherwise to the spot" <p>References</p> <p>This section needs to be revisited by the author. He should be consistent with the style he is using</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uche Nnyagu
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria