

**THE EFFECTIVENESS OF *TRICHODERMA* AS BIOFUNGICIDE AND
INDUCER OF CORN PLANT RESISTANCE AGAINST DOWNY
MILDEW DISEASE**

ABSTRACT

One of environmentally friendly controlling is the use of *Trichoderma* spp. as a natural controlling agent. The objective of this research was to find out the effectiveness of *Trichoderma* spp. as a biofungicide, as an inducer for corn plant resistance, and the combination of both, against downy mildew disease. This research was conducted in the plant pest and disease laboratory in the Agrotechnology Department of Faculty of Agriculture in Lampung University. This research used completely randomized design (CRD) consisting without treatment (0), *Trichoderma* spp. GDR isolate (1) *Trichoderma* spp. NTF isolate (2), and *Trichoderma* spp. TRJ isolate (3) treatments which were applied to the plant growing points as fungicide (B) and as inducer of plant resistance to be applied in the plant roots (P). The research results showed that the *Trichoderma* spp. treatments as biofungicide and inducer of plant resistance could reduce the disease occurrence at 4 and 5 days after inoculation (DAI), but they could not reduce the disease severity and improve stover dry weight of corn plant. The *Trichoderma* spp. treatment as biofungicide and inducer of plant resistance could extend the incubation time and reduce downy mildew disease significantly at the early course of the disease.

Keywords : biofungicide, downy mildew, plant resistance inducer, *Trichoderma* spp.

1. Introduction

Corn (*Zea mays* L.) is one of harvest crops which has an important economy value in Indonesia. The corn seeds contain of carbohydrate, protein, fat, vitamin, and important minerals. Lampung province is one of corn production center areas in Indonesia, and the areas are distributed in some districts like South Lampung, East Lampung, and Middle Lampung.

According to Central Bureau of Statistic (2016), the dry peeled corn production in Lampung in 2012-2015 decreased from 1,760,275 ton in 2012; 1,760,278 ton in 2013; 1,719,386 ton in 2014; into 1,502,800 ton in 2015. Decreasing trend of corn production is related to problems in the existing corn culturing such as pest and disease attacks, and one of important disease in corn plant is downy mildew disease.

The downy mildew disease is caused by *Peronosclerospora* spp. fungi. The potential of corn production cannot be obtained if the corn is infected by the downy mildew disease (Lukam *et.al.*, 2016). This disease in the beginning only occurred in some corn plantation areas in Indonesia, but then it spread into some provinces. This downy mildew reduces up to 90% of

38 corn production, especially when the pathogen infection occurs at the early of vegetative
39 growth course (Hoerussalam *et.al.*, 2013).

40 The downy mildew disease can be controlled by using resistant varieties, environment
41 sanitation, plant rotation, simultaneous planting time arrangement, and treatment of seeds by
42 using synthetic fungicide which one of them uses active metalaxyl substance. Continuous use
43 of metalaxyl in long term can cause resistance to the cause of downy mildew disease
44 (Burhanuddin, 2009). The alternative for controlling this disease is by using natural
45 controlling agent which is environmentally friendly such as *Trichoderma* spp. fungi which
46 can be used to control downy mildew disease by functioning as biofungicide and improving
47 plant resistance to pathogen by inducing corn plant resistance.

48 The most common known inhibiting type and working mechanism of *Trichoderma* spp. are
49 micro-parasitism, space and nutrition competition, the toxin production of *Trichodermin*,
50 *Gliotoxin*, and *Gliovirinto* degrade pathogenic cells so that they cannot develop, the plant
51 resistance induction, sprouting metabolism stimulation and additional mechanism related to
52 plant resistance against the disease (Howell, 2003). The target-specific *Trichoderma* spp.
53 controlling mechanism which is colonizing rhizosphere immediately and protecting roots from
54 pathogenic fungal attack, accelerating the plant growth and improving plant production result,
55 become the superiorities as a natural controlling agent. *Trichoderma* spp. is easy to propagate
56 massively and easy to store in long term (Purwantisari and Hastuti, 2009). Based on these
57 elaborations, a research was required to test *Trichoderma* spp. fungi effectiveness as
58 biofungicide and inducer of corn (*Zea mays* L.) plant against downy mildew disease.

59 The objective of this research was to find out the effectiveness of *Trichoderma* spp. as
60 biofungicide and inducer of corn plant resistance.

61 **2. Materials and Method**

62 This research was conducted from May to July 2018, in the Plant Pest and Disease Laboratory
63 of Agrotechnology Department of Faculty of Agriculture in Lampung University. This
64 research used a completely randomized design (CRD) with 16 treatments and repeated 3 times
65 with a total of 48 units of trials. The treatments were without treatment (0), *Trichoderma* spp.
66 GDR isolate (1) *Trichoderma* spp. NTF isolate (2), and *Trichoderma* spp. TRJ isolate (3)
67 which were applied to the plant growing points as fungicide (B) and as inducer of plant
68 resistance to be applied in the plant roots (P).

69 Obtained data were tested for homogeneity by using Bartlett test and Tukey test to test
 70 additions. When the assumption was satisfied, data were analyzed by using analysis of
 71 variance and middle value comparison between treatments was tested by using Scheffe test in
 72 5% trust level. In Scheffe test, treatments were grouped as they are shown in Table 1 and
 73 Table 2.

74 Table 1. Group/structure of *Trichoderma* spp. treatments as biofungicide and corn plant
 75 resistance inducer against downy mildew disease at Scheffe test

Group/ Structure ofTreatments	Description	Symbol
A	Control	B0P0
B	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. isolate treatments at growth points	B1P0, B2P0, B3P0
C	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. isolate treatments at roots	B0P1, B0P2, B0P3
D	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. isolate similar treatments at growth points and roots	B1P1, B2P2, B3P3
E	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. isolate different treatments at growth points and roots	B1P2, B1P3, B2P1, B2P3, B3P1, B3P2

76 Note: B0 = without biofungicide at growth points, B1 = GDR isolate biofungicide treatment
 77 at growth points, B2 = NTF isolate biofungicide treatment at growth points, B3 = TRJ
 78 isolate biofungicide treatment at growth points, P0 = treatment without inducer at
 79 roots, P1 = GDR isolate inducer treatment at roots, P2 = NTF isolate inducer treatment
 80 at roots, P3 = TRJ isolate inducer treatment at roots

81
 82

83 Table 2. The main contrast of *Trichoderma* spp. treatments as biofungicide and corn plant resistance
 84 inducer against downy mildew at Scheffe test.

Comparison	Description	Symbol
A vs B, C, D, E	Control versus all treatments of <i>Trichoderma</i> spp.	B0P0 vs B0P1, B0P2, B0P3, B1P0, B1P1, B1P2, B2P3, B2P0, B2P1, B2P2, B2P3, B3P0, B3P1, B3P2, B3P3.
B, C vs D, E	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatments at one of plant parts (either growth points or roots only) versus both of them (growth points and roots)	B1P0, B2P0, B3P0, B0P1, B0P2, B0P3 vs B1P1, B2P2, B3P3, B1P2, B1P3, B2P1, B2P3, B3P1, B3P2
B vs C	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatments at growth points versus roots.	B1P0, B2P0, B3P0 vs B0P1, B0P2, B0P3
D vs E	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatments at both plant parts (growth points and roots); the same isolate versus different isolate.	B1P1, B2P2, B3P3 vs B1P2, B1P3, B2P1, B2P3, B3P1, B3P2

85 Note: B0 = without biofungicide at growth points, B1 = GDR isolate biofungicide treatment at growth
 86 points, B2 = NTF isolate biofungicide treatment at growth points, B3 = TRJ isolate biofungicide
 87 treatment at growth points, P0 = treatment without inducer at roots, P1 = GDR isolate inducer
 88 treatment at roots, P2 = NTF isolate inducer treatment at roots, P3 = TRJ isolate inducer
 89 treatment at roots

90 **Incubation time (day).** The incubation time is the required by the plant from inoculation
 91 until early plant sicknesssymptom appears. Observation was done every day until early
 92 downy mildew disease symptom appeared.

93 **Disease occurrence (%).** Disease occurrence is the numbers of units of sick plants compared
 94 to all observed units. Unit here could be parts of a plant, a whole individual, or a clump of
 95 plant. The disease occurrence can be estimated by using a formula (Ginting, 2013):

$$TP = \frac{n}{N} \times 100 \%$$

96 Description:

97 TP = Disease occurrence (%)

98 n = unit of sick plant

99 N = observed unit of plant

100 **Disease severity (%).** Disease severity is defined as area or volume of sick plant tissue
 101 compared to all area or volume. Disease score and scaleare used to measure the plant disease
 102 severity.A disease is given a score according to the occurring severity level. The severer a
 103 disease then the higher will be the score and vice versa. Disease score or scale and formula of
 104 disease severity was modified based on Ginting (2013) reference, which is expressed as
 105 follows:

$$PP = \sum \frac{(n \times v)}{N \times V} \times 100 \%$$

106 Description:

107 PP : Disease severity (%)

108 n : numbers of leaf with certain score

109 v : Numeric value for each category of disease attack

110 N : Observed numbers of leaf(sample)

111 V : Highest score or scale

112 **Stover dry weight (g).**The plant was cut at the part between stem and root. The stover was
 113 then cut and dried with sunrays for 4 days. The dried stover was entered into envelops to be
 114 entered into oven with 80°C temperature for 7 days until the stover weight was constant.

116 3. Result and Discussion

117 3.1 Symptoms of corn downy mildew disease

118 The downy mildew disease symptom was started with leaf with chlorosis or whitey color
 119 extending parallel to the leaf bone (Figure 3A). This symptom appeared most early at 4 days
 120 after inoculation of *Peronosclerospora* sp. fungi. There was conidium layer of fungi with
 121 white color like powder on leaf surface (Figure 3B).



122
 123 Figure 3. The symptom of downy mildew disease: (A) leaf chlorosis, (B) conidium layer fungi
 124 with white color like powder on leaf surface.

125 3.2 Incubation time of downy mildew disease

126 The *Trichoderma* spp. fungi treatment result as biofungicide and plant resistance inducer
 127 affected in extending the downy mildew incubation time (Table 4).

128

129 Table 4. The downy mildew disease incubation time (day) with *Trichoderma* spp. treatment as
 130 biofungicide and plant resistance inducer

No	Treatment Group	Description	Incubation time	F-hit Scheffe	F-table
1	A	Control	4,0	6,84 *	2,01
	vs B, C, D, E	versus all <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatments	4,6		
2	B, C	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at either part of plant (growth point or root only)	4,2	30,14 *	2,01
	vs D, E	versus <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at both parts of plant (growth point and root)	4,9		
3	B vs C	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at growth point	4,1	1,62 ^{tn}	2,01
		versus <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at root	4,3		
4	D vs E	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at both growth points and roots with same isolate	4,9	0,10 ^{tn}	2,01
		versus <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at both growth points and roots with different isolate	4,9		

131 Note: * = significant, tn = not significant.

132 3.2 Occurrence of downy mildew disease

133 The occurrence of downy mildew disease is the percentage of sick plant compared to all
 134 observed plants in a certain area. The *Trichoderma* spp. fungi treatment as biofungicide and
 135 plant resistance inducer affected in reducing the downy mildew disease occurrence at 4 and 5
 136 days after inoculation (DAI) (Table 5).

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148
149

Table 5. Downy mildew disease occurrence (%) with *Trichoderma* spp. treatment as biofungicide and resistance inducer of corn plant

No	Treatment Group	Description	Disease occurrence (%)		F-hit Scheffe		F-table
			4 DAI	5 DAI	4 DAI	5 DAI	
1.	A vs B, C, D, E	Control versus all <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatments	33,27 (1,72)	61,87 (7,85)	7,40 *	2,59 *	2,01
			12,35 (1,44)	45,98 (6,65)			
2.	B, C vs D, E	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at either part of plant (growth point or root only) versus <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at both parts of plant (growth point and root)	26,14 (1,62)	62,66 (7,89)	33,60 *	29,90 *	2,01
			3,16 (1,32)	34,86 (5,82)			
3.	B vs C	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at growth point versus <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at root	26,92 (1,66)	65,04 (8,03)	0,99 ^{tn}	0,21 ^{tn}	2,01
			29,72 (1,63)	60,28 (7,76)			
4.	D vs E	<i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at both growth points and roots with same isolate versus <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. treatment at both growth points and roots with different isolate	1,58 (1,30)	38,03 (6,08)	0,17 ^{tn}	0,61 ^{tn}	2,01
			3,96 (1,33)	33,27 (5,68)			

150 Note : DAI = day after inoculation; * = significant, tn = not significant,
151 number in parenthesis is the transformation result of $\sqrt{(x + 0,5)}$.

152 3.4 Downy mildew disease severity level

153 The result of analysis of variance of all *Trichoderma* spp. fungi treatments as biofungicide
154 and plant resistance inducer showed that they were not able to suppress the downy mildew
155 disease severity level at 7, 14, and 21 days after inoculation (DAI) (Table 6). The middle
156 value separation test with Scheffe test at 5% was not conducted because F_{count} value at the
157 analysis of variance was lower than F_{table} value (not significant).

158 Table 6. The analysis of variance of downy mildew disease severity level with *Trichoderma* spp.
159 treatment as biofungicide and corn plant resistance inducer

Analysis of Variance	F-count			F-table
	7 DAI	14 DAI	21 DAI	
Treatment	0,73 ^{tn}	0,54 ^{tn}	0,84 ^{tn}	2,01

160 Note : DAI = Day after inoculation; * = significant, tn = not significant.

161

162 **Stover dry weight**

163 The result of analysis of variance of all *Trichoderma* spp. fungi treatments as biofungicide
164 and plant resistance inducer showed that they were not able to improve the stover dry weight
165 of corn plant with downy mildew disease (Table 7).The middle value separation test with
166 Scheffe test at 5% was not conducted because F_{count} value at the analysis of variance was
167 lower than F_{table} value (not significant).

168 Table 7. The analysis of variance of corn plant stover treated with *Trichoderma* spp. as biofungicide
169 and corn plant resistance inducer against downy mildew disease

Analysis of Variance	F-count	F-table
Treatment	1,21 ^{tn}	2,01

170 Note : * = significant, tn = not significant.

171 The research results showed that the early downy mildew disease symptom appeared at 4 days
172 after inoculation or 14 days after planting. The symptom was leaf with chlorosis or whitey
173 color extending in parallel along the leaf bone (Figure 3A). There was fungal spore layer with
174 white color like powder on the leaf surface (Figure 3B), and these fungi were very visible in
175 the morning. The symptom was systemic because it attacked growth points and spread to all
176 plant parts. This is in line with Semangun (2004) who suggests that the downy mildew disease
177 is able to cause spreading systemic symptom extending to all plant parts or able to cause local
178 symptom. The systemic symptom only occurs when fungus in the infected leaf can reach
179 growing points so that they will infect all leaf formed by the growth points. At 2-3 weeks
180 plant, the stem growth is inhibited, the color goes into yellowish, and there are fungal
181 conidium layers with white color at underside of the leaf.

182 The *Trichoderma* spp. as biofungicide and plant resistance inducer was able to extend
183 incubation time of downy mildew disease (Table 4) and reduced disease occurrence at 4 and 5
184 days after inoculation (Table 5). The *Trichoderma* spp. treatment in this research could only
185 reduce the disease occurrence at 4 and 5 days after inoculation. The research result of Sutama
186 *et.al.* (2015) suggests that the *Trichoderma* spp. application could reduce the disease
187 occurrence of downy mildew disease at hybrid corn NK22 only at 33 and 40 days after
188 planting. This might be because the severe pathogen attack level from natural pathogen
189 inoculation caused by climate factor such as air humidity and temperature. This factor was
190 strengthened by the continuous rainy climate condition when inoculation was conducted.

191 *Trichoderma* spp. is able to serve as a biofungicide in extending the incubation time and to
192 reduce disease occurrence of downy mildew by inhibiting the *Peronosclerospora* sp. fungus

193 growth that cause downy mildew disease by competing aggressively or occupying unoccupied
194 spaces. This is in line with Herlina (2009) who suggests that the *Trichoderma* spp. as an
195 active biofungicide fungal agent has micro-parasite nature, which inhibits other fungal
196 growths by parasitism mechanism. The occurring mechanism is that the *Trichoderma* spp.
197 fungal growth runs twice faster so that they shall coil surrounding pathogen fungal hypha.
198 Along with coiling and surrounding these hyphae, enzyme capable of modifying cellular walls
199 of pathogenic fungal hypha is released.

200 *Trichoderma* spp. serving as inducer of plant resistance is also proven to be able to induce
201 actively plant resistance gens from being passive into active. This is in line with Oanh *et.al.*
202 (2006) who suggests that *Trichoderma* spp. fungus can improve plant resistance by activating
203 resistance gens in the plant. The *Trichoderma* spp. fungus applied to corn plant roots can
204 trigger peroxide enzyme activity serving to strengthen cellular walls against enzyme
205 degradation produced by pathogen through formation of structural protein in cellular walls.
206 Peroxide is an enzyme serves as catalysator at final process of lignin biosynthesis and
207 hydrogen peroxide processes.

208 This result is strengthened with Davis (2010) who states that induced resistance commonly is
209 systemic, because resistance ability is improved not only in the infected plant parts, but also
210 in uninfected plant parts. Some *Trichoderma* spp. strains have potentials as influencers that
211 produce systemic resistance reactions in the plant. One of produced resistance reactions is
212 improvement of chitinase enzyme in the plant tissues. Therefore, the *Trichoderma* spp. fungal
213 isolate can reduce the disease occurrence of downy mildew disease at corn plant through
214 mechanism of plant resistance induction.

215 Induced systemic resistance can be made as an alternative to obtain genetic diversity,
216 especially the plant resistance character against a disease. The systemic resistance induction is
217 a process to stimulate resistance of host plant without introduction of new genes. Systemic
218 resistance induction causes a physiological condition that regulates to activate resistance
219 system and stimulate natural resistance mechanism owned by the host by applying external
220 induction materials. External inducer materials can be biological, chemical, and physical
221 agents (Agrios, 2005).

222 Plant resistance improvement by induction can be done by Systemic Acquired Resistance
223 (SAR) process or Induced Systemic Resistance (ISR) that involve varying types of genes,

224 enzymes, and proteins. According Pieterse *et.al.* (2009), the plant resistance improvement by
225 SAR occurs after a pathogen infection locally at the plant, and then the infected plant
226 activates genes serving for resistance (pathogenic related genes) that produce chemical
227 compounds for plant defense, salicylate acid and PR-protein group like peroxides. When the
228 plant has been induced for its resistance, and then being infected again by other pathogens, the
229 plant will be able to defend itself against undeveloped or localized pathogen infection because
230 the plant cells around infected site die. The cell death in this process is commonly referred to
231 as hypersensitive reaction. Meanwhile, the trigger of resistance by SAR occurs not because of
232 pathogenic infection, but by *Trichoderma* spp. infection. The plant responses the *Trichoderma*
233 spp. infection, and then the plant produces plant defense compounds such as jasmonic acid
234 and ethylene compounds.

235 *Trichoderma* spp. can also stimulate the formation of varying compounds that are able to
236 induce plant resistance both locally and systematically against pathogens (Harman *et.al.*,
237 2004). In inducing plant resistance, *Trichoderma* spp. had been reported to be able to activate
238 jasmonic acid and ethylene compound signal paths (Saksirirat *et.al.*, 2009). The potential of
239 some *Trichoderma* spp. strains in inducing plant resistance has been widely reported.
240 *Trichoderma harzianum*T39 induces bean plant resistance (De Meyer *et.al.*, 1998) and other
241 *Trichoderma* spp. are able to induce resistance for varying plants against different diseases.

242 *Trichoderma* spp. serving as biofungicide and plant resistance inducer ins not influential to
243 reduce disease severity and to improve plant stover dry weight. It is suspected because
244 unsuccessful efficacy of *Trichoderma* spp. formulation as soil infectious fungal controller is
245 determined by some factors including soil humidity, types of soils, method and application
246 (Dini, 2016 in Faishol *et.al.*, 2018). According to Chatri *et.al.* (2018), natural agent before
247 being introduced into soil should be propagated massively in organic materials according to
248 growth and development so that it will make adaptation with a new environment after being
249 introduced into the soil. The antagonist fungal growth is very depending on input of energy
250 and nutrition that are commonly available in the planting media. Antagonist fungus obtain
251 energy and nutrition from organic material decomposition in the soil and use it for their
252 activities and propagation of the population.

253 According to Ginting and Maryono (2012), to obtain effective antagonist fungus in
254 controlling plant disease, the antagonist fungus must have good quality. The antagonist
255 fungus quality is determined by numbers of formed propagule (conidia) and percentage of

256 fungal propagule growth. To obtain good quality fungus, a supporting media is required to
257 improve numbers of propagule and its growth, one of them is rice grain organic material
258 (Ginting and Maryono, 2011). A research done by Gusnawaty *et.al.* (2017) indicates that the
259 *Trichoderma* spp. propagation in rice bran media is better. It is because more nutrition content
260 in rice bran media and it is easier to degrade by *Trichoderma* spp. fungus, so that the numbers
261 of *Trichoderma* spp. spores are much more compared to other propagation media. According
262 to Gusnawaty *et.al.* (2014), the rice bran nutrition content is very suitable for *Trichoderma*
263 spp. fungal sporulation and higher sporulation process will produce more numbers of spores,
264 and vice versa.

265 **4. Conclusion**

266 The conclusion of this research is that the *Trichoderma* spp. treatment as biofungicide and
267 plant resistance inducer is effective to extend incubation time and to reduce disease
268 occurrence of downy mildew disease significantly at the early course of the disease.

269 **References**

- 270 Agrios, G.N. 2005. *Plant Pathology* 5th ed. Elsevier Academic Press. United States.
- 271 Badan Pusat Statistik Lampung. 2016. *Laporan Tahunan Balai Proteksi Tanaman Pangan*
272 *dan Hortikultura*. Provinsi Lampung.
- 273 Burhanuddin. 2009. Fungisida Metalaksil Tidak Efektif Menekan Penyakit Bulai di
274 Kalimantan Barat dan Alternatif Pengendaliannya. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional*
275 *Serealia*. Maros. ISBN: 978-9798940-27-9.
- 276 Chatri, M., Handayani, D., & Septiani, J. 2018. Influence of Media (Mixture of Rice and
277 Sugar Cane) on *Trichoderma harzianum* Growth and Its Resistance to *Fusarium*
278 *Oxysporum* by In Vitro. *Bioscience*. 2(1): 50-60.
- 279 Darwis, H.S. 2010. Induksi Resistensi Konidia *Trichoderma koningii* Terhadap *Phytophthora*
280 *nicotianae* Pada Beberapa Varietas Tembakau Deli. *Jurnal Agrium*. 16(2): 46-56.
- 281 De Meyer, G., Bigirimana, J., Elad, Y., & Höfte, M., 1998. Induced systemic resistance in
282 *Trichoderma harzianum* T39 biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea*. *European Journal of*
283 *Plant Pathology*. 104(3): 279–286.
- 284 Faishol, F., Ivayani, Sudiharta, N., & Prasetyo, J. 2018. Efektivitas Beberapa Isolat
285 *Trichoderma* spp. terhadap Keterjadian Penyakit Bulai yang Disebabkan oleh
286 *Peronosclerospora maydis* dan Pertumbuhan Tanaman Jagung (*Zea mays*). *Jurnal*
287 *Penelitian Pertanian Terapan*. 18(1): 39–45.
- 288 Ginting, C. 2013. *Ilmu Penyakit Tumbuhan Konsep dan Aplikasi*. Lembaga Penelitian
289 Universitas Lampung. Bandar Lampung.
- 290 Ginting, C. & Maryono, T. 2011. Efikasi *Trichoderma Harzianum* Dengan Berbagai Bahan
291 Organik Dalam Pengendalian Penyakit Busuk Pangkal Batang Pada Lada. *Jurnal*
292 *Hama dan Penyakit Tumbuhan Tropika*. 11(2): 147–156.

- 293 Ginting, C. & Maryono, T. 2012. Penurunan keparahan penyakit busuk pangkal batang pada
294 lada akibat aplikasi bahan organik dan *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Jurnal Hama dan*
295 *Penyakit Tumbuhan Tropika*. 12(2): 162–168.
- 296 Gusnawaty, H.S., Taufik, M., Banda, L.O.S., & Asis, A. 2017. Uji Efektivitas Beberapa
297 Media Untuk Perbanyak Agens Hayati *Trichoderma* sp. *Jurnal Hama dan Penyakit*
298 *Tumbuhan Tropika*. 17(1): 84– 95.
- 299 Gusnawaty, H.S., Taufik, M., Triana, L. & Asniah, D.A.N. 2014. Karakterisasi Morfologis
300 *Trichoderma* spp. Indigenus Sulawesi Tenggara. *Jurnal Agroteknos*. 4(2): 87–93.
- 301 Harman, G.E., Howell, C.R., & Viterbo, A. 2004. *Trichoderma* Species Opportunistic,
302 Avirulent Plant Symbionts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiology*. 2(1): 43-56.
- 303 Herlina, L. 2009. Potensi *Trichoderma harzianum* Sebagai Biofungisida pada Tanaman
304 Tomat. *Jurnal Biosaintifika*. 1(1): 62-69.
- 305 Hoerussalam., A. Purwanto, & A. Kheruni. 2013. Induksi Ketahanan Tanaman Jagung (*Zea*
306 *mays* L.) Terhadap Penyakit Bulai Melalui Seed Treatment Serta Pewarisannya Pada
307 Generasi S1. *Jurnal Ilmu Pertanian*. 16(2): 42-59.
- 308 Howell, C. R. 2003. Mechanism employed by *Trichoderma* species in the biological control
309 of plant disease: the history and evaluation of current concepts. *Plant Disease*. 87(1):
310 1-10.
- 311 Lukman, R., A. Afifuddin, & L. Thomas. 2016. Tracing The Signature of *Peronosclerospora*
312 *maydis* in Maize Seed. Australia. *Plant Pathology*. 45(1): 73-82.
- 313 Oanh, K.L., Vichai, K., Chainarong, R. & Sirikul, W. 2006. Influence of Biotic and Chemical
314 Plant Inducers on Resistance of Chilli to Anthracnose. *Jurnal Departement of Plant*
315 *Pathology*. 40(1): 39-48.
- 316 Pieterse, C.M.J., Leon-Reyes, A., Van der Ent, S., & Van Wees, S. C M. 2009. Networking by
317 small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. *Nature Chemical Biology*. 5(5): 308-316.
- 318 Purwantisari, S. & Hastuti, R.B. 2009. Uji Antagonisme Jamur Patogen *Phytophthora infestans*
319 Penyebab Penyakit Busuk Daun dan Umbi Tanaman Kentang Dengan Menggunakan
320 *Trichoderma* spp. Isolat Lokal. *BIOMA*. 11(1): 24–32.
- 321 Saksirirat, W., Chareerak, P., & Bunyatrachata, W. 2009. Induced systemic resistance of
322 biocontrol fungus, *Trichoderma* spp. against bacterial and gray leaf spot in tomatoes.
323 *As. J. Food Ag-Ind.* 99-104.
- 324 Semangun, H. 2004. *Penyakit-Penyakit Tanaman Pangan di Indonesia*. Gajah Mada
325 University. Yogyakarta.
- 326 Utama, K., Ratih, S., Maryono, T., & Ginting, C. 2015. Pengaruh Bakteri *Paenibacillus*
327 *polymyxa* dan Jamur *Trichoderma* sp. Terhadap Penyakit Bulai (*Peronosclerospora*
328 *maydis* (Rac.)Shaw) Pada Tanaman Jagung. *Jurnal Agrotek Tropika*. 3(2):199-203.