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Field evaluation of some cassava cultivars against the African Cassava Mosaic disease in the humid forests of Cameroon

Abstract

There is a considerable deficit in the annual production of cassava in Cameroon of about 31 million tons, and this has been mainly due to constraints related to pest attacks and most especially diseases like the African Cassava Mosaic Disease (ACMD). This study was therefore undertaken on three sites in the locality of Bityili (South Region of Cameroon), to determine amongst improved and local cassava cultivars those that provide resistance to the development of the ACMD. The severity and incidence of this disease was evaluated and its impact on cassava yield. In each site, cassava was grown in a randomized complete block design. The local cultivars (Ekobele and Ngon kribi) showed higher severity (76-100%) and incidence of ACMD compared to the improved cultivars (TMS 92/0326 and TMS 96/1414) of 0-25% and 0-10%, respectively. Strong inverse correlations were observed between ACMD severity and yield performance, measured in terms of number of tubers/plant and weight of fresh tubers. The improved cultivars, TMS 92/0326 and TMS 96/1414, could therefore be recommended for large-scale planting in a bid to promote cassava production in the South Region of Cameroon.
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1. Introduction

Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) is a perennial shrub of the Euphorbiaceae family, introduced in Africa by the Portuguese in the 16th century [1]. Its global production is estimated at 250 million tons with about half of this coming from Africa [2]. In Cameroon, the annual production stands at 19 million tons [3], ranking the crop as the country’s third most important cash food crop after coffee and cocoa [4] (FAO, 2013). Cassava procures food security in rural areas of Cameroon through subsistence agricultural practices. It has diverse usage, mainly as staple food crop (85 %), as well as in animal and several industrial sectors [5]. It is cropped in all the five agro ecological zones of Cameroon, but more intensely in the Southern part of the country (East, Center, South, Littoral, South-West, North-West and West administrative regions) where several Cultivars are cultivated for their ease of cropping and tolerance to some biotic and abiotic constraints [6].

Even though, cassava cropping has some advantages, its annual demand remains high for a production of about 50 million tons, leading to a deficit of about 31 million tons [7]. The rather lower than expected yields could be attributed to agronomic constraints like low soil fertility, use of local less productive planting materials, diseases and attacks from pests like the White fly (Stictoccus vayssihei Richard), the brown scaly insect (Phenacoccus manihoti, Matile-Ferrero), the cassava green mites (Mononychellus tanajoa Bondar) and cassava white flies (Bemisia tabaci Genn) [8] [9]. Among the diseases, there are the brown leaf spots, root rot, cassava bacterial blight, anthracnose and most especially the African Cassava Mosaic Disease (ACMD), caused by the African cassava mosaic virus (ACMV) disseminated by the white flies. The ACMD is a real pandemic in Central Africa and accounts for 40-90% yield losses [10] [11].

Several methods have been used to minimize these losses with varying degrees of success [12]. The main objective of this study is for the field identification of cassava cultivars tolerant to the ACMV in the humid forests of Cameroon, and which can serve as bases in subsequent breeding programmes [13].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Site and Climatic Characteristics

This study was conducted in three quarters in the Bityli village (2°56’ N, 11°11’ E; Tyele, Minkon-Mingon and Mekoto) in the Mvila division, of the South region of Cameroon. This region is bordered to the East by the Congo Basin, to the West by the Gulf of Guinea where it is open to the Atlantic Ocean by a coastline of 380 km, to the East by a vast equatorial domain with
the Central African Republic, and to the South by the Republics of Equatorial Guinea, Congo
and Gabon (Figure 1). The region’s predominant climate is the humid tropical forest with
bimodal rainfall pattern, characterized by four distinct seasons; two dry (December-March and
July-August) and two rainy (April-June and September-November). Annual mean rainfall is
between 1500 - 2000 mm [14] with soils that are mostly hydromorphic or red/yellow ferralitic.

Figure 1: location of the experimental site

2.2. Biological Materials
The study was done on five cassava cultivars, three of which were improved (TMS92/0326,
locally called “abui-pkwem”, TMS96/1414 and 8034) and two were local (“Ekobélé” and
“NgonKribi”).
Cuttings of improved cultivars were provided by IRAD (8034) and IITA (TMS92/0326 and
TMS96/1414, locally called “Nkoh’ Menzui”); and those of the local cultivars were obtained
through participatory selection with farmers of healthy plants in their fields in each locality. The
potential yields of the varieties ranged from 20 – 30 tons/ha, 22 – 35 tons/ha and 30 – 40 tons/ha
for the TMS 92/0326, TMS 96/1414 and 8034, respectively. The life cycle of the tested cultivars
lasted for 12 months except for the 8034 that lasted for 9 – 12 months.

2.3. Experimental Design and Treatments
The experiment was conducted during the second cropping season of 2016 (June –
November). The crops were planted in a randomized complete bloc design with three replicates.
Each site was made up of 30 experimental units of 5 m x 10 m each. A guard row of 2 m was allowed between experimental units. Prior to the study, the fields used had been left under fallow for variable periods of time: MINKON MINGON (10 years), MEKOTO (3 years) and TYELE (2 years). The fields were manually cleared, and 30-cm long cassava cuttings were sowed in equidistant rows and columns of 1 m.

2.4. Data collection
Agronomic data were collected fortnightly during the small dry season (August - September) in each site and the plants evaluated for yields and for ACMD severity and incidence. Visible symptoms were used to identify the presence of ACMD on each plant. The disease severity was determined as the percentage ratio of the attacked surface area of the cassava leaves to the total surface area considered for each plant. Here, the evolution of the disease on each crop was estimated using a scale from 1 to 5 where (1) was for no symptoms or disease, (2) for 1%-25% severity, (3) for 25%-50% severity, (4) for 50%-75% severity and (5) for 75%-100% severity [15]. The disease incidence on its part was assessed as the percentage ratio of the number of attacked plants to the total number of considered plants in each experimental unit.

During harvest, the number of tubers per plant, the weight of aerial biomass and the fresh tuber yield were assessed for each plant using a precision balance.

2.5. Statistical analyses
Collected data were subjected to analyses of variance (ANOVA) using the General Linear Model procedure with the Statistical Analyses System (SAS) software package (Version 9.2). Mean treatment values were separated using Turkey (PPDS) and Student-Newman-Keuls test at 5% probability level.

3. Results
3.1. Severity of Cassava Mosaic disease on Cassava cultivars
All cultivars showed but to different extents, a certain number of symptoms related to the viral attack.

Interaction between the site and the cultivars was highly significant (p<0.0001), and CMD severity varied with the sites. Consequently, at the level of each site, there was a significant difference (p<0.0001). Generally, trials with TMS 92/0326 and TMS 96/1414 had low ACMD severity, whereas the local cultivars were most affected as more than half of them had a severity of more than 75%. The severity also varied with the sites as the ACMD was much higher in Tyele and Minkon Mingon, than in Mekoto (Table II).
### Table I: Average severity of infected cassava cultivars per experimental site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mekoto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8034</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 92/0326</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS 96/1414</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekobele</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngonkribi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scale: 1: no symptoms or no disease; 2: 1%-25% severity; 3: 25%-50% severity; 4: 50%-75% severity; 5: 75%-100% severity

#### 3.2. CMD’s incidence on Cassava cultivars

Analyses of variances showed that ACMD was similar on all the three sites used, although the difference between cassava cultivars was highly significant (p<0.0001). As shown in Figure 2, the attack was highest on the local varieties Ngon Kribi (94%) and Ekobele (98%) and least on the improved cultivars.
3.3. Yield of cassava cultivars

Table II indicates that cassava cultivars were significantly different with respect to the number of tubers per plant (P < 0.001), the aerial biomass per plant (P = 0.004) and the fresh tuber yields (P < 0.001). The yield was not statistically different in different sites.

Table II: Tubers yield and aerial biomass of each cassava cultivars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Number of tubers /Plant</th>
<th>Biomass /Plant (Kg)</th>
<th>Tubers weight (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8034</td>
<td>3.02 ab</td>
<td>3.38 a</td>
<td>12.96 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92/0326</td>
<td>3.19 a</td>
<td>2.6 b</td>
<td>14.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96/1414</td>
<td>3.79 a</td>
<td>2.62 b</td>
<td>16.35 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekobele</td>
<td>2 b</td>
<td>1.97 bc</td>
<td>12.43 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NgonKribi</td>
<td>2.65 b</td>
<td>1.95 bc</td>
<td>13.08 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sign Dif. * * *

Figures followed by the same letters were not significantly different at 5 % level probability.

3.4. Effect of CMD on cassava yields

The results presented in Figure 3 showed a linear relationship between CMD’s severity and the number of tubers per plant, as well as CMD’s severity and tuber yields, and a negative and significant relation between ACMD severity and the number of tubers/plant. In effect, the number of tubers/ plant decreased by 26% when the severity increases by 1-25%.
Figure 3: Regression curve between the number of tubers/plant and the severity of CMD

Figure 4, presenting the trend of fresh tuber yield with respect to the ACMD severity, shows a negative and highly significant regression between ACMD and unit fresh tuber weight. Here, an increase in the severity from 1-25% resulted in a decrease in the fresh tubers weight by 43.4%.

4. Discussion

All cultivars presented symptoms of the Mosaic although the improved cassava genotypes gave a weak severity and incidence rates for CMD, indicating that these genotypes (particularly TMS 92/0326 and TMS 96/1414) had resistant genes to withstand the attack. The
susceptibility of local cultivars could most likely be genetic [16], [17] and [15]. So the highest severity and incidence scores in the local cultivars can be attributed to the absence of resistant genes as in the case of improved cultivars with fewer severity and incidence. However, we should note that the biological material can be disease free but its capacity to resist infection remain identical as the mother plant from which it was collected [18]. Generally, when the infection is due to cassava cutting, it is the first leaves that are infected with severe severity of the virus [19], thus this infection of 7 months after planting could be due to white flies and not on the quality of the cutting; this is probably why incidence and severity of were very severe on susceptible cultivars, Ekobele and Ngon Kribi. In their recent study, the result obtained by [11] showed that the highest severity and incidence scores in the local cassava variety monocrop. This may be due to the early attacks of those cultivars by with flies population (Bemisia tabaci).

Strong severity of CMD observed at Minkon Mingon could be due to the reduced cropping land in that locality. Results from the study carried out by [20] revealed that, cassava cropped the same year is more infected in forest area than in savanna areas, this is probably due to the presence of potential host. Equally, as shown by [18], the chance to have a severe severity for CMD is high in reduced cropped areas since the proximity of cassava plants increases the contamination rate. Whatever the year or clone considered, the contamination was always greater in the forest than in the savannah. All clones and years combined, the percentage of contamination varies from 10 to 88% in the forest zone while it varies from 1 to 20% in savanna zone, this during the same years [20].

Low yield registered by the different cultivars generally could solely due to the presence of rotten tubers as well as the fact that harvest took place during the dry season when the soil had become compact. Improved cultivars gave a yield relatively high as compare to the local cultivars. This is because these improved cultivars possess traits that confer them the capacity to produce much and better resist disease as compared to local cultivars that have lost their potential to resist diseases and became susceptible to disease particularly CMD which have significant impact on the yield or genetically have a low tubers production capacity. It is therefore from similar observation that, the [21] declared that improved cultivars resist to diseases and pests, and have a better tuber yield better than local cultivars.

Yield evolution was inversely proportional to the increase in the degree of severity of CMD. An increase in severity from 1-25% brings about a decrease in fresh cassava tuber biomass by 43.4%. [20] with different investigations confirms that, based on the increase in severity, yield losses can reach up to 24-78%. Taken into consideration that the severity of local
cultivars and an improved cultivar (8034) had severity of CMD higher in the leaves than in the
cultivars TMS92/0326 and TMS961414. It is therefore evident that, a decrease in the cassava
leave surface area followed by a decrease in the photosynthetic activities will result in a decrease
in the crop to produce tubers; it has been demonstrated by [22] in his PhD thesis that CMD
causes mosaic and leaf distortion, leading to defoliation and severe plant stunting. In the other
hand, [23], [24] was also confirms that the leaf distortion caused by mosaic not only reduces the
number of tubers, but also their growth and the ability of the tubers to grow and ripen for
harvest.

5. CONCLUSION

This study evaluated the resistance of five cassava cultivars to the African cassava mosaic
disease and showed globally that the disease severity and incidence were very weak on the
improved cultivars (TMS 92/0326 and TMS 96/1414) as compared to the locals (Ekobele and
NgonKribi). Similarly, improved cultivars had higher fresh tuber yields as compared to the
locals. The regression between number of tubers per plant decreased considerably with an
increase in the severity. Furthermore, a concomitant increase in ACMD severity led to a decrease
in fresh tuber weight. Cultivars TMS 92/0326 and TMS 96/1414 could be recommended in the
Southern part of Cameroon as they presented the lowest incidence and severity to CMD. Hence,
the results presented here could serve as basic strategy in the search of long lasting solution to
curb the presence of the ACMD on the cassava crop in the Tropics.
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