

Editorial Comments:

The authors have tried to address the issues raised in the original manuscript. However, some issues have not been addressed satisfactorily

- They have not clearly stated why the control population were interviewed in addition to extracting data from their files while in the study population, data was only extracted from their files
- Tables 1 and 2 should be presented as a single table
- Table 3 actually showed that more persons in the control group consume NSAIDs but the discussion presented the reverse.
- Discussion should have some depth. It appeared the authors were just citing literature
- There is need to improve syntax. The manuscript can be sent to someone from an English speaking country
- I suggest these issues be adequately addressed before consideration for publication.

Author Feedback:

Thank you very much for your comments.

1. Control group were selected from patients referring to an ophthalmology hospital in order to reduce confounding factors. To obtain more reliable and exact data we decided to collect them both from interview and their files. The study group were admitted patients in emergency ward and their files had adequate data.
2. Table 1 and 2 were separated according to one of previous comments of viewers.
3. Table 3 is now corrected in case and control title and some of numbers.
4. Discussion was revised and corrected many times due to previous comments.
5. Native edit has been already done.